



# USE OF AI TOOLS IN ASSESSING STUDENT PERFORMANCE IN INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Joy Jerop Kibor, PhD

Lecturer, Machakos University, P.O. Box 136 – 90100, Machakos, Kenya

joycheropk@gmail.com

## Abstract

*The purpose of this study was to examine how AI technologies are used to evaluate student performance in higher education institutions, with an emphasis on both the advantages of employing them and the privacy and ethical issues that come with doing so. Four major themes emerged from a scoping study that used thematic analysis to review pertinent literature on AI in education: Efficiency and Scalability (1), Personalization of Learning (2), Bias and Fairness (3), and Ethical and Privacy Concerns (4). The results showed that by automating grading, offering real-time feedback, and facilitating individualized learning experiences, AI systems greatly improve assessment procedures. The study did, however, also draw attention to important ethical concerns, such as the possibility of algorithmic bias and the requirement for strict data security procedures to protect student privacy. It advocated on educational institutions to embrace ethical frameworks that prioritize equity and justice in AI-driven assessments and underlined the need for transparency in AI systems to foster trust between students and instructors. The study emphasizes how crucial it is to strike a balance between creativity and moral obligation when utilizing AI's potential in the classroom. It also calls for continued research and cooperation amongst interested parties to guarantee that the application of AI technologies serves every student equally.*

*Keywords: Performance, AI in education, algorithmic bias, data privacy, ethical issues, individualized learning, educational technology, data security, AI transparency, and higher education*

## INTRODUCTION

The swift development of artificial intelligence (AI) has had a profound impact on a number of industries, including education. Higher education institutions are using AI tools more and more to improve administrative, instructional, and learning processes (Schmidt & Glassner, 2020). The evaluation of student performance is one of the main uses of AI in education. Standardized tests, assignments, and exams have historically been used to assess student achievement; these methods can demand a significant investment of time and energy from teachers (Robinson et al., 2021). However, the process has become more effective, individualized, and data-driven with the inclusion of AI tools, allowing educators to make well-informed judgments regarding learning outcomes and student progress (Sotiriou et al., 2021). AI systems for evaluating student performance make use of a variety of technologies, such as predictive analytics, natural language processing, and machine learning algorithms. To offer immediate feedback and tailored suggestions, these systems examine sizable databases pertaining to student conduct, academic achievement, and engagement levels (Kim et al., 2022). Institutions that adopt these tools stand to transform not only the way evaluations are carried out but also the way teachers assist different students in reaching their academic objectives (Nguyen & Saran, 2020).

The demand for more effective, scalable, and flexible assessment techniques is one of the main causes of higher education institutions' growing reliance on AI tools. Traditional evaluation techniques become more time-consuming and less able to meet the needs of individual students as class sizes increase and student diversity increases (Mou et al., 2019). By automating the evaluation process and giving teachers and students ongoing feedback, artificial intelligence (AI) provides a creative solution (Baker & Smith, 2020). Additionally, complicated tasks that are difficult to measure using traditional evaluation methods, such as creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving, can be evaluated by AI tools (Liu et al., 2021). AI solutions not only increase productivity but also assist in mitigating biases that may exist in conventional evaluation methods. AI reduces subjectivity in grading and provides more objective assessments of student achievement by utilizing data-driven insights (Holmes et al., 2022). Additionally, by monitoring students' development over time, teachers can spot possible problems early and provide the right kind of support when needed (Watson et al., 2023). It has been demonstrated that this proactive approach increases retention rates and promotes improved academic results for pupils (Turner & Newman, 2023).

While there have been noteworthy breakthroughs in Europe, Asia, and Africa, the incorporation of AI in education differs greatly among regions. Due to efforts to improve educational outcomes and equity, 39% of European higher education institutions had integrated

AI into their evaluation practices as of 2021 (European Commission, 2021). AI's function in evaluating student data and offering insights for customized interventions is highlighted in the European Union's Digital Education Action Plan. By early detection of at-risk students, predictive analytics techniques can increase student retention rates by as much as 15% (Smith & Jones, 2022). With the AI in education market expected to reach \$6 billion by 2025, Asian nations like China and India have made significant investments in EdTech (Holon, 2023). By drastically cutting grading time by 60%, AI-driven platforms allow teachers to improve the educational process (Chen et al., 2020). AI integration in higher education is still in its infancy in Africa, especially East Africa, where just 20% of institutions now use AI for evaluations (African Union, 2022). Pilot programs in nations like Kenya and Uganda, however, have shown promising results, connecting data-driven interventions and AI-driven analytics to a 25% improvement in student performance (Ngugi & Mwangi, 2024).

Notwithstanding the possible advantages, there are a number of difficulties and moral dilemmas with using AI to evaluate student achievement. Since AI systems need access to private student data in order to work properly, data privacy is a major concern (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Institutions are required to guarantee the security of the data they gather and the protection of students' right to privacy. An additional worry is the possibility of algorithmic bias, in which AI systems can unintentionally give preference to particular student groups over others, resulting in unfair treatment (West & Allen, 2022). To avoid prejudice and discrimination, organizations must make sure AI algorithms are open and routinely audited. Furthermore, the use of AI tools could lessen the importance of human judgment in the evaluation procedure. AI may not have the contextual knowledge and emotional intelligence that human educators offer to student evaluation, despite its ability to handle data rapidly and objectively (Johnson et al., 2024). To guarantee that assessments are impartial, accurate, and comprehensive, a balance between AI-driven evaluations and human supervision must be struck (Smith et al., 2023). Thus, this study looks at how AI techniques are used to evaluate student performance in higher education institutions.

## **METHODOLOGY**

This study used a methodical and thorough methodology that included a scoping study, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and a thematic analysis of pertinent literature. These approaches helped investigate the application of AI technologies in evaluating student performance in higher education institutions by guaranteeing the validity and rigor of the research findings.

## **Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

To make sure the chosen studies and sources matched the particular goals of this investigation, the inclusion and exclusion criteria were used. Peer-reviewed studies published between 2019 and 2024, on the use of AI tools in education, and studies pertaining to student performance evaluation in postsecondary educational institutions were among the inclusion criteria. Furthermore, credible publications and conference proceedings pertaining to AI, educational technology, and student evaluation were taken into account. Studies that did not specifically address AI in the context of higher education or that lacked empirical support for the usefulness of AI in assessing student performance were excluded under the exclusion criteria. To keep the analysis current and pertinent, grey literature, opinion pieces, and materials released prior to 2019 were also disregarded. By using these criteria, the study was able to concentrate on publications that offered significant insights into the current state of student performance assessment using AI techniques. By ensuring that only the most relevant and recent research was examined, this focused approach provided a more accurate picture of the issues and trends that are now facing this sector.

## **Scoping Study**

To investigate the scope and depth of research on AI tools for evaluating student performance in higher education, a scoping study was carried out. In order to map the important ideas, gaps, and new developments in this field, this methodology was selected. Because they enable the identification of important themes without restricting the scope to simply the most commonly investigated features, scoping studies are especially helpful in fields where research is still developing (Munn et al., 2018). Using keywords like "AI in student assessment," "higher education," "machine learning in education," and "AI tools for academic evaluation," the scoping study entailed a methodical search of electronic databases, including Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, and PubMed. Studies that satisfied the requirements for inclusion were examined and grouped according to how well they addressed the goals of the study. This preliminary phase of the study aided in defining the scope of current understanding and pinpointing areas that may require more research.

## **Thematic Analysis**

The pertinent literature was examined using the thematic analysis approach in order to find recurring themes and important patterns pertaining to the application of AI tools in student performance evaluation. Using a qualitative approach, the data from a few chosen studies was coded and categorized into broad themes that capture the main problems with AI-driven student

evaluation. Finding patterns and insights in a wide range of studies is a good use for thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The following were the primary themes that came out of the analysis: Efficiency and Scalability: Many studies highlighted the ability of AI tools to automate assessments, reducing the time and effort required by educators. This theme emphasized how AI can handle massive amounts of data, enabling real-time and large-scale student performance assessments (Sotiriou et al., 2021). Learning Personalization: AI-powered solutions were widely praised for their capacity to offer tailored learning paths and feedback according to each student's performance. The importance of AI in providing customized assessments to varied learners was highlighted by this theme (Kim et al., 2022).

Bias and Fairness: One persistent worry was the problem of bias in AI tools. The possibility of algorithmic bias, which could result in differing assessment results for students from various backgrounds, was covered in a number of studies. The significance of openness and equity in AI applications was emphasized by this theme (Holmes et al., 2022). Privacy and Ethical Issues: The theme of data privacy and ethics emerged prominently, with multiple studies pointing to the need for robust data protection measures. As AI tools require access to large datasets, safeguarding student privacy is critical to the responsible use of these technologies (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). The study offered a thorough grasp of the advantages and disadvantages of utilizing AI techniques to evaluate student performance in higher education by recognizing and examining these topics. The thematic analysis showed that although AI has a lot of promise to enhance educational outcomes, its successful incorporation into academic evaluation procedures requires careful attention to ethical issues and fairness.

## IDENTIFIED THEMES

### Theme 1: Efficiency and Scalability of AI Tools in Student Assessment

Significant gains in scalability and efficiency, especially in evaluating student performance, have been brought to light by the quick integration of artificial intelligence (AI) tools into educational systems (Gligorea et al., 2023). In order to meet the increasing need for scalable solutions in higher education, this theme examines how AI tools improve the educational process by automating tests, handling big datasets, and offering real-time feedback (Tan, 2023). The potential of AI to automate the evaluation process, which drastically cuts down on the time and effort needed by teachers, is a crucial component of AI in student assessment (Khosravi et al., 2022). Exams, assignments, and projects are traditionally graded by instructors by hand, which can take a lot of time, especially in big classrooms (Sotiriou et al., 2021). By automatically grading assignments, examining student responses, and even providing feedback based on predetermined criteria, AI systems simplify this procedure (Schiff, 2021).

Multiple-choice questions can be promptly assessed by automated grading systems, like those found in online courses and learning management systems (LMS), and they can even incorporate natural language processing (NLP) to provide insights into more complex written responses (Kim et al., 2022). This feature increases overall teaching efficiency by enabling teachers to concentrate more on instruction and less on administrative duties. According to Schmidt and Glassner (2020), artificial intelligence (AI) systems provide consistency and objectivity in addition to lowering the workload associated with grading. Accurate grading may suffer from human evaluators' prejudices and weariness (Palanivel, 2020). AI tools, on the other hand, provide fairness in the evaluation process by continuously using the same set of rules (Ferrara, 2023). AI-driven tests increase grading reliability by removing subjective biases and errors, particularly in big classes where consistency is crucial (Baker & Smith, 2020).

Furthermore, scalable evaluation techniques are becoming increasingly necessary as higher education institutions deal with rising student population (Castro, 2019). By enabling evaluations that can manage massive data sets without sacrificing the caliber or promptness of input, artificial intelligence (AI) techniques provide a solution (Mou et al., 2019). In massive open online courses (MOOCs), where thousands of students may be enrolled in a single course, AI-powered systems are very helpful (Goel, 2020). The sheer volume of students in these settings would make traditional evaluation methods impossible; however, AI systems can effectively handle these extensive examinations (Robinson et al., 2021). Apart from scalability, AI solutions enable the provision of individualized evaluations on a large scale. For instance, machine learning algorithms are used by adaptive testing systems to customize questions based on each student's skill level (Srinivasa et al., 2022). These systems provide a more personalized and interesting learning experience by modifying the questions' level of difficulty according to the student's prior responses (Kim et al., 2022). Even in big, diverse classrooms, these adaptive exams allow teachers to evaluate students' progress as well as their retention of the material (St-Hilaire et al., 2022). Last but not least, AI tools facilitate feedback by giving pupils instant findings and tailored recommendations for development. This kind of immediate feedback promotes active learning and enables students to quickly fill in knowledge gaps, improving the educational process as a whole (Watson et al., 2023).

Furthermore, AI's real-time analysis of massive datasets enhances student performance monitoring and evaluation (Hooda et al., 2022). AI solutions can monitor a number of variables, including assignment submission rates, engagement levels, and student participation in online platforms, providing teachers with information about the behavior and development of their students (Turner & Newman, 2023). Teachers can take early action and provide more help by using these analytics to identify kids who might be at risk of falling behind (Sotiriou et al., 2021).



Another aspect of AI tools that helps with scalability is predictive analytics. AI-powered systems are able to forecast future results, like grades or completion rates, by utilizing past data on student performance (Shoaib et al., 2024). Teachers can better adapt their interventions to meet the needs of each individual student by using AI models that have been trained on data from past students to find trends that are associated with academic achievement or failure (Baker & Smith, 2020). Additionally, by concentrating on areas where students are most likely to require assistance, predictive analytics enables schools to more efficiently spend resources.

However, even though AI technologies have many benefits in terms of scalability and efficiency, there are issues that need to be resolved for their integration to be successful (Gill et al., 2022). The expense of deploying AI systems is one of the main issues, especially in underdeveloped nations or organizations with little funding (Nguyen & Saran, 2020). Additionally, the complexity of AI algorithms can create barriers for educators who may not have the technical expertise to operate or interpret the results generated by these tools. Furthermore, while AI tools are effective at handling routine assessments, they may struggle to accurately evaluate higher-order thinking skills, such as creativity or critical analysis, which often require human judgment (Liu et al., 2021). Because of this restriction, AI tools ought to be used in conjunction with human evaluators rather than in substitute of them, especially for tests that require complex or subjective responses. Finally, an over-reliance on AI tools due to scalability may result in fewer meaningful interactions between students and teachers (Bit et al., 2024). According to some detractors, automating tests might lead to less individualized attention for pupils, especially those who need more help or who gain from instructors' direct input (Johnson et al., 2024).

## **Theme 2: Personalization of Learning Through AI in Student Assessment**

One of the most important developments in higher education is the personalization of learning, which is made possible by AI technologies. AI technology makes it possible to design customized learning experiences that adjust to the needs, skills, and learning preferences of each individual student (Kaswan et al., 2024). This theme examines the impact on learning outcomes, the difficulties and possibilities of personalized learning in higher education, and how AI tools assist customized student assessments. The creation of adaptive learning systems, which modify the pace, difficulty, and content of the material according to the learner's performance, is one of the main ways AI has contributed to personalized learning (Gligorea et al., 2023). To guarantee that every student has a customized educational experience, these systems make use of algorithms that examine student behavior, progress, and preferences and provide real-time adjustments (Kim et al., 2022). An adaptive assessment system might, for

instance, give remedial material to a student who is having trouble or more challenging questions to a student who does well on simpler assignments. As a result, the learning environment becomes more dynamic and flexible, adapting to the skills of the students.

According to studies, by meeting each student's unique needs, adaptive learning aids can greatly enhance learning outcomes. Wang and Lindvall (2020) claim that students can more easily concentrate on areas that need improvement when they receive tailored feedback from AI systems that helps them pinpoint their unique strengths and shortcomings. AI-driven technologies can deliver fast, focused recommendations that help students along their learning path, in contrast to traditional evaluation approaches that frequently provide generic or delayed feedback (Maghsudi et al., 2021). Students who might otherwise fall behind in a fast-paced educational environment benefit most from such prompt interventions.

Additionally, using AI to personalize learning boosts student enthusiasm and engagement in addition to academic achievement. Because it closely reflects their own development and objectives, students are more likely to have a connection to the content when they receive tailored assessments and learning routes (Chen et al., 2020). More intrinsic motivation has been associated with a sense of ownership and autonomy in the learning process, which is fostered by this increased relevance (Holmes et al., 2022). Additionally, gamified learning environments, where tests are made to be dynamic and interesting—are made possible by AI tools. To keep students interested and promote active engagement, gamification components like challenges, prizes, and progress tracking can be incorporated into personalized learning platforms (Zainuddin et al., 2020). An AI-powered platform, for instance, would enable students to earn badges or points for finishing tests, creating a supportive yet competitive learning environment (Robinson et al., 2021). These components can boost students' motivation and keep them focused on reaching their learning goals.

Furthermore, AI technologies are in a unique position to support a variety of learning styles, which is essential in the diversified higher education environment of today (Bates et al., 2020). Every student learns differently; some may learn best visually, while others learn best through kinesthetic or aural means. Over time, AI systems can monitor students' preferences and learning patterns and modify tests as necessary (Kim et al., 2022). For instance, auditory learners may benefit from audio-based instructions or assessments, whereas visual learners may be given more graph-based questions or interactive visual information. According to research, students with disabilities or learning issues may find it easier to succeed when learning diversity is accommodated by AI customization (Salas-Pilco et al., 2022). By offering different formats or more time for completion, AI-driven tests can be tailored to meet the needs of students with dyslexia, ADHD, or other learning disabilities (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).



This guarantees a more fair and inclusive evaluation procedure, assisting every student in realizing their full potential irrespective of their aptitude or preferred method of learning.

However, the personalization of learning by AI has constraints and difficulties despite its benefits. The possibility of an excessive dependence on AI systems, which could reduce the value of human connection in education, is one of the main worries (Dimitriadou & Lanitis, 2023). Although effective, personalized learning technologies may not have the same sophisticated awareness of students' needs as human teachers (Christodoulou & Angeli, 2022). AI systems may find it difficult to understand complicated emotional or psychological elements that can influence learning, and they are only as good as the data they are trained on (Holmes et al., 2022). Additionally, concerns regarding data security and privacy are raised by the use of AI to customize tests. AI systems need to gather and examine a lot of data about student performance, behavior, and preferences in order to produce individualized learning experiences (Yanamala, 2023). Maintaining confidence between students and educational institutions depends on making sure that this data is utilized ethically and is preserved securely (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Lastly, there are issues with AI technology' accessibility. It can be expensive to implement AI-driven personalized learning systems, especially for institutions with limited funding or in poor nations (Bhutoria, 2022). This broadens the educational divide by potentially creating an equality gap where only well-funded schools can afford to give their students access to state-of-the-art AI tools (Robinson et al., 2021).

### **Theme 3: Ethical and Bias Considerations in AI-Assisted Student Assessment**

Discussions about ethics and bias in AI tools have gained traction as AI becomes a crucial component of student assessments in higher education. AI presents issues with justice, accountability, transparency, and data protection even while it has the capacity to expedite and customize the evaluation process (Yanisky-Ravid & Hallisey, 2019). This theme examines the moral dilemmas raised by AI-assisted student assessments as well as the actions required to reduce biases that could compromise the validity and fairness of these instruments. Machine learning models that have been trained on enormous volumes of data are frequently the foundation of AI systems used in student assessments (Cope et al., 2021). However, the caliber and variety of the data used to train these algorithms determine how fair they are. The possibility of prejudice in the underlying algorithms, which could lead to unequal treatment of pupils from diverse backgrounds, is one of the main ethical concerns with AI (Holmes et al., 2022). Unbalanced training data, societal biases ingrained in the data, and algorithmic shortcomings in identifying a variety of learning patterns are some of the causes of bias in AI systems (Akter et al., 2021).

AI tools may find it difficult to evaluate students from underrepresented or underprivileged populations if they are trained exclusively on data from students in a certain demographic group (such as those from wealthy, urban locations). This can result in biased findings that disadvantage some groups and benefit others, hence sustaining systemic injustices in education (Turner & Newman, 2023). Biases can also arise when AI systems have differing interpretations of language or cultural quirks, which can result in poor assessment judgment, particularly when it comes to open-ended activities like writing essays (Baker & Smith, 2020). The lack of transparency of AI tools' decision-making process is a serious ethical issue with assessments that use AI (Ayling & Chapman, 2022). Many AI models, especially those that rely on deep learning, function as "black boxes," meaning that neither consumers nor even developers can readily understand the mechanisms that produce particular results (Schmidt & Glassner, 2020). This lack of openness creates problems for accountability when it comes to student assessments (Čartolovni et al., 2022). When an AI system assigns a student a low mark, it could be challenging for both the teacher and the student to comprehend the rationale behind the evaluation, making it more difficult to correct mistakes or challenge the findings.

Experts support the creation of explainable AI (XAI) models that offer more precise insights into the decision-making process of AI in order to address these problems (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). By enabling teachers to examine the variables affecting the test results and giving students intelligible performance feedback, explainable AI can increase system trust. Accountability is also promoted by transparency in AI systems, which guarantees that educators and students can contest and fix errors in the evaluation procedure (Conijn et al., 2023). Lastly, a multifaceted strategy is needed to overcome bias and ethical issues in AI-assisted student assessments. First and foremost, the development of AI technologies needs to include the production of representative and varied training datasets that capture the vast array of student backgrounds, experiences, and learning preferences (Schicktanz et al., 2023). By doing this, AI systems will be more capable of evaluating every student equally, irrespective of their demographic traits (Holmes et al., 2022). Regular audits are necessary to examine the accuracy and impartiality of the evaluations, and AI systems must be continuously monitored for biases (Chinta et al., 2024).

To create unambiguous ethical standards for the application of AI in student assessments, educators and AI developers should work together. These rules ought to address topics like data privacy, equity, openness, and the limitations of AI in evaluating intricate human abilities and actions (Farazouli, 2024). Furthermore, in education, AI tools ought to support human judgment rather than take its place. Teachers must continue to play a key role in

understanding assessment findings and giving feedback that takes into account the entire context of a student's performance, even while AI can offer useful insights and efficiency (Turner & Newman, 2023).

#### **Theme 4: Ethical and Privacy Concerns in AI-Assisted Student Assessment**

Concerns about privacy and ethics have become important issues that need careful thought as AI-driven systems are being incorporated into educational institutions for student assessments. Given AI's capacity to gather, process, and evaluate vast amounts of student data, concerns are raised over its handling, access, and safeguards against abuse (Bulut et al., 2024). This theme examines the privacy and ethical ramifications of AI-assisted student assessments, including informed consent, data protection, and possible abuse of AI in the classroom. Data privacy is among the most important ethical issues pertaining to AI in student assessments. Large datasets, which frequently gather comprehensive data about students' academic achievement, learning habits, and personal preferences, are necessary for AI systems to operate efficiently (Lim et al., 2023). Inappropriate handling of this data puts student privacy at danger, particularly if the systems are breached or accessed by unauthorized individuals. Robinson et al. (2021) claim that vulnerabilities in AI systems may reveal private student information, such as attendance, academic records, and even private communication habits, which may result in identity theft or improper use of private data.

Educational institutions must have strong data protection measures in place, such as encryption, secure storage, and stringent access controls, to preserve student privacy (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Additionally, adherence to data privacy laws is essential, such as the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) in the US and the General Data privacy Regulation (GDPR) in Europe. By establishing rules for the collection, processing, and storage of student data, these laws guarantee that students' privacy is protected throughout their academic careers (Rustad & Koenig, 2019). Informed consent is a crucial ethical issue pertaining to assessments aided by AI. AI systems must gather and examine student data in order to function properly (Kobis & Mehner, 2021). However, there are questions regarding whether kids and their guardians are providing informed permission because they frequently lack a thorough grasp of how their data is being utilized (Yanamala & Suryadevara, 2023). According to Holmes et al. (2022), educational establishments need to give students easily understandable information on how AI systems operate, what data is being gathered, and how it will be used to enhance their learning.

Furthermore, fostering confidence between students and educational institutions requires transparency. Students should be able to comprehend how AI-based judgments are

produced and be told about the algorithms that are being used to evaluate their performance (Schmidt & Glassner, 2020). This entails giving justifications for how AI systems modify learning paths, provide feedback, and grade tests. Maintaining transparency helps students feel in control of their educational data and results while also fostering trust (Corbeil, & Corbeil, 2021). Another major ethical problem is the possible abuse of AI technology in educational assessments (Wang, 2021). Although AI can increase evaluation efficiency and fairness, it also creates opportunities for data and technological abuse. For instance, information gathered from AI tests may be utilized for objectives other than teaching, such creating student profiles for marketing or business objectives depending on their academic achievement (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). In these situations, third parties may unfairly target or take advantage of students without their knowledge or agreement. Furthermore, if not properly planned and executed, the employment of AI systems in assessments could unintentionally reinforce inequality (Devillers et al., 2021). The biases in the data that AI systems are trained on may be reflected in the algorithms themselves. These approaches may therefore unfairly evaluate certain students, especially those from underrepresented or underprivileged groups (Turner & Newman, 2023). These prejudices have the potential to worsen already-existing educational inequalities, especially if AI tools are not routinely examined for inclusivity and justice.

Furthermore, the ethical issues with AI in student evaluation go beyond data privacy to include the collection and use of student data by AI systems. According to AI ethics, student data must only be gathered for valid educational reasons, and the volume of data gathered must be commensurate with those goals (Kim et al., 2022). To make sure AI systems don't violate students' rights or autonomy by gathering excessive or unnecessary data, educational institutions must set explicit ethical standards (Rubel & Jones, 2019). Institutions must implement moral AI frameworks that put equity, fairness, and the welfare of students first in order to allay these worries (Elantheraiyan et al., 2024). Institutions may guarantee that AI is utilized to improve learning without jeopardizing student privacy or autonomy by integrating ethical design principles into AI systems (Baker & Smith, 2020). Furthermore, students should have the option to decline AI-based tests if they would rather use more conventional evaluation techniques, and AI tools should be routinely inspected to make sure they adhere to accepted ethical standards.

## CONCLUSION

As the study progressed, it became evident that AI could increase student evaluations' efficacy, efficiency, and personalization which would ultimately lead to better learning outcomes. The results emphasized how crucial it is to incorporate AI tools into educational frameworks in

order to develop flexible assessment settings that meet the various demands of students. Finding a number of important themes about the use of AI in student assessment was one of the study's main results. The first theme focused on the advantages of artificial intelligence (AI) in simplifying assessment procedures, such as automating grading, offering immediate feedback, and enabling individualized learning opportunities. By providing insights into student performance, AI systems have been shown to improve educators' abilities and enable focused interventions that close individual learning gaps. Institutions could create a more favorable learning environment by using data-driven analytics to influence decisions about curriculum modifications and resource allocation.

However, the study also shed light on important privacy and ethical issues related to AI's application in education. The possibility of bias in AI algorithms, which could result in unfair evaluation results for particular student demographics, was one of the major concerns noted. The study underlined the need for ongoing AI system monitoring and assessment in order to guarantee inclusion and fairness in evaluations. It became clear that creating representative and varied training datasets and conducting regular audits of AI algorithms to find and remedy any discriminatory behaviors were necessary to overcome prejudice. The study also looked at the security and privacy concerns of data in relation to AI-assisted evaluations. Important concerns regarding informed consent and the possibility of personal information misuse were brought up by the gathering and processing of large amounts of student data. The results made clear that in order to preserve student privacy, educational institutions must put strict data protection procedures in place and adhere to current laws like FERPA and the GDPR. The study also emphasized the significance of openness in AI systems, stressing that students should be fully informed about the purpose of AI-driven exams and how their data would be used.

Therefore, the study demonstrated that although AI tools have a lot of potential to improve student evaluation procedures, there are serious privacy and ethical issues that need to be resolved. When using AI technologies, educational institutions are encouraged to implement ethical frameworks that put student rights, equity, and transparency first. Institutions can maximize the advantages of AI while lowering the hazards by encouraging cooperation between educators, technologists, and legislators. This study provides important insights for further research and practice in the subject, laying the groundwork for comprehending the complex implications of AI in education. In the end, as they negotiate the changing terrain of AI-assisted student assessments, institutions must find a balance between innovation and ethical responsibility.

## LIMITATIONS AND FURTHER STUDIES

This study encountered several limitations. First, the reliance on secondary data limited the analysis of real-time AI implementations in educational assessments. To mitigate this, the study included recent, diverse sources, focusing on empirical findings to ground its conclusions. Additionally, potential biases in source selection could have affected the objectivity of thematic findings. This was managed by drawing from peer-reviewed studies and cross-disciplinary perspectives to ensure balanced viewpoints. Finally, the evolving nature of AI in education may limit the longevity of findings. To counter this, the study suggests continuous monitoring and adjustments of AI systems, encouraging future research to capture new developments such as use of AI in teaching.

## REFERENCES

- African Union. (2022). State of higher education in East Africa: Technology and innovation report. African Union Press.
- Akter, S., McCarthy, G., Sajib, S., Michael, K., Dwivedi, Y. K., D'Ambra, J., & Shen, K. N. (2021). Algorithmic bias in data-driven innovation in the age of AI. *International Journal of Information Management*, 60, 102387.
- Ayling, J., & Chapman, A. (2022). Putting AI ethics to work: are the tools fit for purpose?. *AI and Ethics*, 2(3), 405-429.
- Baker, T., & Smith, P. (2020). The future of AI in education: Assessment, ethics, and human rights. *Journal of Educational Technology*, 45(2), 123-135.
- Bates, T., Cobo, C., Mariño, O., & Wheeler, S. (2020). Can artificial intelligence transform higher education? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17, 1-12.
- Bhutoria, A. (2022). Personalized education and artificial intelligence in the United States, China, and India: A systematic review using a human-in-the-loop model. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100068.
- Bit, D., Biswas, S., & Nag, M. (2024). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence in Educational System.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Bulut, O., Beiting-Parrish, M., Casabianca, J. M., Slater, S. C., Jiao, H., Song, D., ... & Morilova, P. (2024). The Rise of Artificial Intelligence in Educational Measurement: Opportunities and Ethical Challenges. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.18900*.
- Čartolovni, A., Tomičić, A., & Mosler, E. L. (2022). Ethical, legal, and social considerations of AI-based medical decision-support tools: A scoping review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 161, 104738.
- Castro, R. (2019). Blended learning in higher education: Trends and capabilities. *Education and Information Technologies*, 24(4), 2523-2546.
- Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *Ieee Access*, 8, 75264-75278.
- Chen, L., Wang, Y., & Zhang, M. (2020). The impact of AI on educational practices: A study on grading systems. *International Journal of Educational Technology*, 15(3), 45-58.
- Chinta, S. V., Wang, Z., Yin, Z., Hoang, N., Gonzalez, M., Quy, T. L., & Zhang, W. (2024). FairAIED: Navigating Fairness, Bias, and Ethics in Educational AI Applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.18745*.
- Christodoulou, A., & Angeli, C. (2022, June). Adaptive learning techniques for a personalized educational software in developing teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 7, p. 789397). Frontiers Media SA.
- Conijn, R., Kahr, P., & Snijders, C. C. (2023). The effects of explanations in automated essay scoring systems on student trust and motivation. *Journal of Learning Analytics*, 10(1), 37-53.



- Cope, B., Kalantzis, M., & Sears-Smith, D. (2021). Artificial intelligence for education: Knowledge and its assessment in AI-enabled learning ecologies. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 53(12), 1229-1245.
- Corbeil, M. E., & Corbeil, J. R. (2021). Establishing trust in artificial intelligence in education. In *Trust, Organizations and the Digital Economy* (pp. 49-60). Routledge.
- Devillers, L., Fogelman-Soulié, F., & Baeza-Yates, R. (2021). AI & human values: Inequalities, biases, fairness, nudge, and feedback loops. *Reflections on artificial intelligence for humanity*, 76-89.
- Dimitriadou, E., & Lanitis, A. (2023). A critical evaluation, challenges, and future perspectives of using artificial intelligence and emerging technologies in smart classrooms. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10(1), 12.
- Elantheraiyan, P., Priya, K. M., Gamadia, R., Abdulhasan, M. M., Abood, B. S. Z., & Al-Khalidi, A. (2024, May). Ethical Design and Implementation of AI in the Field of Learning and Education: Symmetry Learning Technique. In *2024 4th International Conference on Advance Computing and Innovative Technologies in Engineering (ICACITE)* (pp. 1144-1148). IEEE.
- European Commission. (2021). *Digital education action plan 2021-2027: Resetting education and training for the digital age*. European Union.
- Farazouli, A. (2024). Automation and Assessment: Exploring Ethical Issues of Automated Grading Systems from a Relational Ethics Approach. In *Framing Futures in Postdigital Education: Critical Concepts for Data-driven Practices* (pp. 209-226). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Ferrara, E. (2023). Fairness and bias in artificial intelligence: A brief survey of sources, impacts, and mitigation strategies. *Sci*, 6(1), 3.
- Gill, S. S., Xu, M., Ottaviani, C., Patros, P., Bahsoon, R., Shaghghi, A., ... & Uhlig, S. (2022). AI for next generation computing: Emerging trends and future directions. *Internet of Things*, 19, 100514.
- Gligorea, I., Cioca, M., Oancea, R., Gorski, A. T., Gorski, H., & Tudorache, P. (2023). Adaptive learning using artificial intelligence in e-learning: a literature review. *Education Sciences*, 13(12), 1216.
- Goel, A. (2020). AI-powered learning: making education accessible, affordable, and achievable. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.01908.
- Holmes, W., et al. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing bias in student assessment. *AI and Society*, 37(1), 57-70.
- Holon, E. (2023). *The global education technology market: Trends and forecasts 2023-2025*. HolonIQ Reports.
- Hooda, M., Rana, C., Dahiya, O., Rizwan, A., & Hossain, M. S. (2022). Artificial intelligence for assessment and feedback to enhance student success in higher education. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2022(1), 5215722.
- Johnson, A., et al. (2024). Ethical implications of AI in higher education: A focus on student performance assessment. *Computers & Education*, 196, 104654.
- Kaswan, K. S., Dhatwal, J. S., & Ojha, R. P. (2024). AI in personalized learning. In *Advances in Technological Innovations in Higher Education* (pp. 103-117). CRC Press.
- Khosravi, H., Shum, S. B., Chen, G., Conati, C., Tsai, Y. S., Kay, J., ... & Gašević, D. (2022). Explainable artificial intelligence in education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100074.
- Kim, S., et al. (2022). Enhancing student assessment using AI tools in higher education: A systematic review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 130, 107237.
- Köbis, L., & Mehner, C. (2021). Ethical questions raised by AI-supported mentoring in higher education. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 624050.
- Lim, T., Gottipati, S., & Cheong, M. L. (2023). Ethical Considerations for Artificial Intelligence in Educational Assessments. In *Creative AI Tools and Ethical Implications in Teaching and Learning* (pp. 32-79). IGI Global.
- Liu, X., et al. (2021). Assessing higher-order thinking skills using AI-driven tools: Challenges and opportunities. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 40(4), 42-53.
- Maghsudi, S., Lan, A., Xu, J., & van Der Schaar, M. (2021). Personalized education in the artificial intelligence era: what to expect next. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 38(3), 37-50.
- Mou, R., et al. (2019). Adopting AI in university assessment practices: Benefits, challenges, and the future. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 56(6), 676-689.
- Munn, Z., et al. (2018). Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 18(1), 143.

- Ngugi, J., & Mwangi, R. (2024). The effects of AI on student performance in East African universities: A pilot study. *Journal of Educational Research in Africa*, 12(1), 15-30.
- Nguyen, D., & Saran, R. (2020). AI-assisted learning analytics for student performance improvement. *Learning Analytics & Knowledge*, 9(1), 79-85.
- Palanivel, K. (2020). Emerging technologies to smart education. *Int. J. Comput. Trends Technol*, 68(2), 5-16.
- Robinson, J., et al. (2021). AI in student assessment: Transforming the landscape of higher education. *Technology, Pedagogy, and Education*, 30(3), 285-299.
- Rubel, A., & Jones, K. M. (2019). Student privacy in learning analytics: An information ethics perspective. *The information society*, 32(2), 143-159.
- Rustad, M. L., & Koenig, T. H. (2019). Towards a global data privacy standard. *Fla. L. Rev.*, 71, 365.
- Salas-Pilco, S. Z., Xiao, K., & Oshima, J. (2022). Artificial intelligence and new technologies in inclusive education for minority students: a systematic review. *Sustainability*, 14(20), 13572.
- Schicklanz, S., Welsch, J., Schweda, M., Hein, A., Rieger, J. W., & Kirste, T. (2023). AI-assisted ethics? Considerations of AI simulation for the ethical assessment and design of assistive technologies. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 14, 1039839.
- Schiff, D. (2021). Out of the laboratory and into the classroom: the future of artificial intelligence in education. *AI & society*, 36(1), 331-348.
- Schmidt, J., & Glassner, A. (2020). The role of AI in reshaping student assessments: A critical review. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(5), 1546-1558.
- Shoaib, M., Sayed, N., Singh, J., Shafi, J., Khan, S., & Ali, F. (2024). AI student success predictor: Enhancing personalized learning in campus management systems. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 158, 108301.
- Smith, A., & Jones, B. (2022). Leveraging AI to enhance student retention: Insights from European universities. *Journal of Higher Education Management*, 18(2), 75-89.
- Sotiriou, M., et al. (2021). Integrating AI into the educational system: A case study on student performance assessments. *Computers & Education*, 167, 104194.
- Srinivasa, K. G., Kurni, M., & Saritha, K. (2022). Adaptive teaching/learning. In *Learning, Teaching, and Assessment Methods for Contemporary Learners: Pedagogy for the Digital Generation* (pp. 201-240). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- St-Hilaire, F., Vu, D. D., Frau, A., Burns, N., Faraji, F., Potochny, J., ... & Kochmar, E. (2022). A new era: Intelligent tutoring systems will transform online learning for millions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.03724*.
- Tan, S. (2023). Harnessing Artificial Intelligence for innovation in education. In *Learning intelligence: Innovative and digital transformative learning strategies: Cultural and social engineering perspectives* (pp. 335-363). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Turner, B., & Newman, L. (2023). AI-driven assessment models: Predicting student success and promoting retention. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 45(1), 21-36.
- Wang, M., & Lindvall, C. (2020). Personalized learning and AI: The intersection of education and technology. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(2), 519-536.
- Wang, Y. (2021). When artificial intelligence meets educational leaders' data-informed decision-making: A cautionary tale. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 69, 100872.
- Watson, M., et al. (2023). Leveraging AI for real-time student feedback and performance assessment in universities. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 71(3), 543-562.
- West, S., & Allen, J. (2022). AI and fairness in student assessment: The importance of transparency and accountability. *Educational Data Mining*, 14(2), 98-109.
- Yanamala, A. K. Y. (2023). Secure and Private AI: Implementing Advanced Data Protection Techniques in Machine Learning Models. *International Journal of Machine Learning Research in Cybersecurity and Artificial Intelligence*, 14(1), 105-132.
- Yanamala, A. K. Y., & Suryadevara, S. (2023). Advances in Data Protection and Artificial Intelligence: Trends and Challenges. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technologies and Innovations*, 1(01), 294-319.
- Yanisky-Ravid, S., & Hallisey, S. K. (2019). Equality and privacy by design: A new model of artificial intelligence data transparency via auditing, certification, and safe harbor regimes. *Fordham Urb. LJ*, 46, 428.

Zainuddin, Z., Shujahat, M., Haruna, H., & Chu, S. K. W. (2020). The role of gamified e-quizzes on student learning and engagement: An interactive gamification solution for a formative assessment system. *Computers & education*, 145, 103729.

Zawacki-Richter, O., et al. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 39.