

https://ijecm.co.uk/

# PERSONAL REMITTANCES AND THE FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THEM

# Entela Velaj, PhD

University "Aleksander Moisu", Faculty of Business, Economics Department, Albania, entelavelaj@yahoo.com, ORCID: 0000-0001-6141-6868

# Abstract

The purpose of this work is to study the factors that have an impact on the amount of personal remittances in Albania. The data are secondary and their source is the World Bank. Since remittances have an important impact on developing countries such as Albania, the study of these factors was considered important. Remittances in Albania go mainly for consumption, medical care, housing and education. The data during their statistical processing were transformed into logarithmic in order to discover not only the direction of their impact but also the elasticity. Remittances were positively affected by inflation, unemployment and labor taxes in Albania. This impact was significant. The increase in inflation, unemployment and taxes on work would bring about the increase in income flows. While the average salary level in Albania has a negative impact on remittances. This impact is also significant. The increase in the average salary in Albania will decrease the inflow of personal remittances.

Keywords: Remittances, average salary, tax of labor, inflation, unemployment

## INTRODUCTION

When immigrants send income earned in other countries to their families in the country of origin in various forms such as cash, goods, etc. then these are called remittances. According to the World Bank: "Personal remittances comprise personal transfers and compensation of employees. Personal transfers consist of all current transfers in cash or in kind made or received by resident households to or from nonresident households. Personal transfers thus



include all current transfers between resident and nonresident individuals. Compensation of employees refers to the income of border, seasonal, and other short-term workers who are employed in an economy where they are not resident and of residents employed by nonresident entities."1

Remittances for developing countries such as Albania are very important. The value recorded in the various statistics contains only the part that has gone through a formal and legal process. But of course their value is greater than the registered one since a good part of them enters the informal way. According to various studies conducted at the time such as Adams and Page (2003, 2005) and Gupta, Patillo and Wagh (2009) have found that remittances reduce the level of poverty in the countries where remittances enter. Of course, their destination depends on the economic level of the host families. In poor families, these remittances will go for consumption, medical care, housing or education. On the contrary, the tendency of rich families is to invest these income flows. It is also worth mentioning the importance of the ratio of remittances to exports and imports. Remittances play an important role in financing imports and reducing the financial deficit.

If we compare the weight of remittances as a percentage of GDP for countries such as Kosovo, Serbia and Montenegro with Italy and Greece, we look at Greece for the period 1992-2021 remittances range from 0.2 to 2.47% of GDP. Italy for the period 1992 to 2021 remittances vary between 0.15 and 0.52 percent of GDP. Serbia for the periods from 2007-2021 these values are between 6.79% - 10.29%. Montenegro, for the same period, the values range from 5.33% to 13.53%. Kosovo's remittances for the period 2008-2021 are between 14.75% and 21.05% of GDP. Albania for the period 1992-2021 has remittances from 9.4% to 28.0% of GDP.

Referring to Figure 1, which reflects Personal remittances, received (% GDP) for the countries mentioned above, what is evident is the fact that Kosovo for the entire period from 2008 to 2021 is above all the compared countries. Then it is generally followed by Albania and Montenegro, where in different years their ranking changes. For the period 2008-2011 Albania comes second. Afterwards, for the period 2012-2021, Montenegro takes second place. And Serbia ranks 4th.

Figure 2 shows the performance of remittances, exports and imports as a percentage of GDP for the period 2008-2021 in Albania. It is clear that in this period imports are constantly leading, but remittances also make an important contribution to cover the deficit.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://databank.worldbank.org/metadataglossary/world-development-indicators/series/BM.TRF.PWKR.CD.DT



Figure 1. Personal remittances, received (% GDP) period 2008-2021

Source: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.TRF.PWKR.DT.GD.ZS



Figure 2. Personal remittances, exports and imports in Albania 2008-2021

Source: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.TRF.PWKR.DT.GD.ZS





Figure 3. Annual growth of personal remittances, average salary and unemployment in Albania 2001-2017

Source: data processed by the author

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Remittances have a direct impact on the economic growth of a country. Therefore, it is very important to study the factors that influence them. Different studies have been made by different authors and at different times. The impact of education, average salary or taxes in the country where remittances are sent has been studied. They also tested the impact of distance between places, personal skills, etc

Bourdet and Falck (2003) in their study reached the result that for the data taken in the study for the period 1980-2000, remittances received in Cape Verde had an important relationship with the exchange rate of the host country.

Also Amuedo-Dorantes and Pozo (2004), Holzner (2006) in their studies found that remittances lead to an appreciation of the real exchange rate.

Browne and Mineshima (2007) in their study found that remittances to the Pacific region depend on the growth rate of real GDP, on the distance between the countries and on the language spoken by the two countries.

Velaj and Nexhipi (2022) in the study conducted for Albania found that Remittances have a negative impact on the euro/all exchange rate.

Buch and Kuckulenz (2004) found that economic growth does not have a clear impact on the level of remittances.

Straubhaar (1986) studied the relationship between remittances received in Turkey by immigrants in Germany and found that there was a significant relationship between the level of payment and remittances.



Studies conducted by (Swamy (1981), Straubhaar (1986), El-Sakka and Mcnabb (1999), and Chami et al. (2003)), Elbadawi and Rocha (1992) came to the conclusion that the total number of immigrants had a positive and significant impact on remittance flows.

Islam and Nasrin (2015) studied remittances in Bangladesh. They are used in data from 1977 to 2011. The result of their study was that gross domestic product of host country and domestic country, exchange rate, petroleum price and skill of labor had a significant impact on remittance flows.

Velaj, Nexhipi and Merko (2022) discovered in their work that the impact of annual growth of personal remittances on annual growth of tax revenues is positive and significant. They were taken in a study of data for Albania for the period 2000-2021.

Even the study of Al-Assaf and Al-Malki (2014) and Sultonov (2013) reaches the same conclusion.

Bredtman et al. (2018), found in their study that highly educated migrants receive higher wages.

Guetat & Sridi (2017) discovered that when the inflation rate is high, life becomes more difficult because inflation causes lack of price stability.

# METHODOLOGY

The data obtained in the study are for the period 2005 to 2020<sup>2</sup>. The source of the data is the World Bank and INSTAT. The data belong to Albania. The dependent variable is personal remittances (% GDP). The independent variables taken into consideration are inflation according to the deflator, the unemployment rate, Labor tax and contributions (% of commercial profits), the average salary and the euro/ALL exchange rate since the majority of immigrants in Albania are located in European countries. SPSS statistical program was used for data processing.

Personal remittances (% GDP) (Y):- is dependent variable.

Inflation according to deflator X1:- is independent variable.

Unemployment rate X2: –is independent variable.

Labor tax and contributions (% of commercial profits) X3: - is independent variable.

Exchange rate euro /ALL X4:- is independent variable.

Average salary X5: - is independent variable.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For all the examined variables, the available data were for the period 2000-2020. The only exception was the labor tax and contributions variable, where the World Bank had data only for the period 2005-2020. Therefore, for the presentation of the statistical model, we limited ourselves to the data that belonged to the period 2005-2020.

© Entela Velai

The hypotheses of the research are:

H0: There is no significant relationship between the personal remittances and inflation, unemployment rate, labor tax and contribution), average salary, the exchange rate euro / ALL. H1: There is a significant relationship between the personal remittances and inflation, unemployment rate, labor tax and contribution), average salary, the exchange rate euro / ALL.

The model used in our study is the multiple linear regression model. The purpose of the multiple regression method is to analyze the relationship between independent variables and a dependent variable. The regression method checks if such a relationship exists, and if it does, it aims to use existing information about the independent variables to improve accuracy in predicting the value of the dependent variable.

When we build the model in the form  $y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x1 + \beta 2x2 + ... + \beta pxp + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  has distribution N (0,  $\sigma$  2), mathematically we are dealing with the polynomial multiple regression model.

We will first estimate multiple linear regression parameters. Thus to build the shape model: yi=  $\beta 0$ +  $\beta 1xi1$  + $\beta 2xi2$ +...+ $\beta pxip$ + $\epsilon$  for i= 1....n we need to estimate  $\beta 0$ ,  $\beta 1$ ,  $\beta 2$ ,  $\beta p$  which are the parameters of this model and  $\varepsilon 1 \varepsilon 2 \varepsilon 3 \dots \varepsilon n$ , n independent variables N (0,  $\sigma 2$ ).

To estimate the parameters  $\beta 0$ ,  $\beta 1$ ,  $\beta 2$ ,  $\beta p$  we use the method of least squares. Then we will do interval estimation of regression parameters and we will test the raised hypotheses.

In standard multiple regression, all independent variables are simultaneously entered into the model. The estimate of R2 determines the strength of the association between the independent variables and the dependent variables. The Fisher test is used to determine whether or not this association determined by selection can be generalized to the entire population.

The t-test is used to assess the individual relationship between each independent variable and the dependent variable. Beta coefficient analysis is used to find out the relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variables and whether or not this relationship exists.

The standard error of the estimate is used to determine the confidence level.

The data are tested for collinearity and normality. The variance inflation factor was calculated with the equation: VIF = 1/(1-R2). A value of 1 indicates that there is no correlation between this independent variable and any others. VIFs between 1 and 5 suggest that there is a moderate correlation, but it is not severe enough to warrant corrective measures. VIFs greater than 5 represent critical levels of multicollinearity where the coefficients are poorly estimated, and the p-values are questionable.



In the model used, we will calculate the elasticity of remittances in relation to the independent variables entered in the model. Eri is the elasticity of remittances in relation to inflation. Ert is the elasticity of remittances in relation to taxes and Eru is the elasticity of remittances in relation to unemployment. The formulas for their calculation are as follows:

 $Eri = \frac{Percentage \ Change \ in \ remitances}{Percentage \ Change \ in \ inflation}$ 

Eri =  $\frac{\Delta R/R}{\Delta i/i} = \frac{(R1 - R0)/R0}{(i1 - i0)/i0}$ 

$$Eri = \frac{dR/R}{di/i}$$

$$Eri = (dR/di) (i/R)$$

 $Ert = \frac{Percentage Change in remitances}{Percentage Change in taxes}$ 

$$\operatorname{Ert} = \frac{\Delta R/R}{\Delta t/t} = \frac{(R1 - R0)/R0}{(t1 - t0)/t0}$$

$$\operatorname{Ert} = \frac{\mathrm{dR/R}}{\mathrm{dt/t}}$$

$$Ert = (dR/dt) (t/R)$$

 $Eru = \frac{Percentage Change in remitances}{Percentage Change in unemployment}$ 

Eru 
$$= \frac{\Delta R/R}{\Delta u/u} = \frac{(R1 - R0)/R0}{(u1 - u0)/u0}$$

$$Eru = \frac{dR/R}{du/u}$$

Eru = (dR/du) (u/R)



© Entela Velai

#### RESULTS

During data testing we transformed the data into logarithmic data. We did this for 2 purposes. The first was to minimize the problem of collinearity between variables as this would lead to an unreliable model. And the second to interpret the elasticity of variables. After the transformation we noticed that we again had collinearity problems between the average salary and unemployment and taxes. For this purpose, we have treated the relationship between the average salary level in Albania and personal remittances in another model.

After we tested the logarithmic variables (inflation, taxes, unemployment and exchange rate), the result was that again the exchange rate variable showed problems (Condition Index) in the collinearity diagnostics data<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, we also eliminated this variable from our model.

Value of R Square = 0.96 means that 96 % of our model is explained by the variables used. As we mentioned above, the linear regression p value for each independent variable tests the null hypothesis that the variable has no correlation with the dependent variable.

The variables used were tested for multicollinearity and the VIF value is between 1-1.87.

Our model is significant as P value < 0.01 and F=80.97. The three variables included in the model have an impact on personal remittances and this impact is positive. The constant is insignificant since p value =0.2 >0.05. The impact of unemployment on remittances received is positive and significant as p-value = 0.002. So the increase of unemployment in Albania by 1% will bring the increase of remittances from abroad by 0.368 %.

The impact of inflation in Albania on remittances is positive and significant as p-value = 0.034. The increase in inflation (the level of prices in Albania), by 1% will bring an increase in remittances by 0.48 %. The impact of the tax rate on work in Albania is positive on remittances and significant as p-value <0.001. The increase in taxes by 1% will increase remittances by 0.585 %. Albania is a developing country where most of the remittances received will cover the basic expenses of families. Therefore, this result was expected and justified. The equation of our model is:

 $Y = 0.48X_1 + 0.368X_2 + 0.585X_3$ 

As mentioned above, we treated the model to see the impact of the average salary in Albania on remittances separately. The result was that the average salary in Albania negatively affected personal remittances. So the increase in the average salary in Albania would lead to a decrease in personal remittances from abroad<sup>4</sup>. The equation of our model is:

Y =8.047-0.523X



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See appendix, table 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See appendix, table 3

An increase by 1 percent in the average salary in Albania would cause a decrease in personal remittances by 0.523%.

Model Summary <sup>e</sup> Model         R         R <sup>2</sup> Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> Std. Error of the Estimate         Durbin-Watson           1         .980 <sup>a</sup> .960         .949         .04051         2.262           a. Predictors: (Constant), Lnlabortax, Inunemployment, Ininflation         b. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp				able 1: Resu	ults of the Mu	ultiple Re	gres	sions Mo	del		
Model         R         R <sup>2</sup> Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> Std. Error of the Estimate         Durbin-Watson           1         .980 <sup>a</sup> .960         .949         .04051         2.262           a. Predictors: (Constant), Lnlabortax, Inunemployment, Ininflation         b. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp         ANOVA <sup>a</sup> ANOVA <sup>a</sup> ANOVA <sup>a</sup> ANOVA <sup>a</sup> ANOVA <sup>a</sup> ANOVA <sup>a</sup> Model         Squares         df         Square         F         Sig.           1         Regression         .399         3         .133         80.977         <.001 <sup>b</sup> Residual         .016         10         .002					Model Su	ummary⁵					
1         .980 <sup>a</sup> .960         .949         .04051         2.262           a. Predictors: (Constant), Lnlabortax, Inunemployment, Ininflation         b. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp         ANOVA <sup>a</sup> Model         Squares         of         Mean           Model         Squares         of         Mean           1         Regression         .399         3         .133         80.977         <.001 <sup>b</sup> Residual         .016         10         .002	Model	R		R <sup>2</sup> Ad	justed R <sup>2</sup>	Std. Erro	or of th	ne Estima	te D	Jurbin-Wats	on
a. Predictors: (Constant), Lnlabortax, Inunemployment, Ininflation           b. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp           ANOVA <sup>a</sup> Sum of         Mean           Model         Squares         of         Square         F         Sig.           1         Regression         .399         3         .133         80.977         <.001°	1	.980 <sup>a</sup>		.960	.949		.040	51		2.262	
b. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp           ANOVA <sup>a</sup> Sum of         Mean           Model         Squares         df         Square         F         Sig.           1         Regression         .399         3         .133         80.977         <.001 <sup>b</sup> Residual         .016         10         .002			a. Pre	edictors: (Cons	stant), Lnlabo	rtax, Inun	emplo	yment, In	inflation		
ANOVA®           Model         Squares         df         Square         F         Sig.           1         Regression         .399         3         .133         80.977         <.001°				b. Depe	endent Variab	le: Inremi	tance	togdp			
Sum of         Mean           Model         Squares         df         Square         F         Sig.           1         Regression         .399         3         .133         80.977         <.001 <sup>9</sup> Residual         .016         10         .002				AN	IOVA <sup>a</sup>						
Model         Squares         df         Square         F         Sig.           1         Regression         .399         3         .133         80.977         <.001*			S	Sum of	Mean	ו					
Regression         .399         3         .133         80.977         <.001 <sup>b</sup> Residual         .016         10         .002	Model		S	quares	df Squar	e F		Sig.			
Residual         .016         10         .002           Total         .415         13           a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp           b. Predictors: (Constant), Lnlabortax, Inunemployment, Ininflation           Coefficients <sup>a</sup> Unstandardized         Standardized         95.0% Confidence         Collinearity           Coefficients         Coefficients         Interval for B         Statistics           Lower         Upper         Lower         Upper           Model         B         Std. Error         Beta         t         Sig.         Bound         Tolerance         VIF           1         (Constant)        373         .278         -         .209         .992         .246           1.342         -         .209         .992         .246         1.342           Inunemployment         .368         .088         .269         4.157         .002         .171         .565         .946         1.057           Ininflation         .048         .019         .211         2.454         .034         .004         .091         .535         1.830           Linlabortax         .585         .063         .791         9.298<<.001	1	Regressio	on	.399	3.133	80.977	7	<.001 <sup>b</sup>			
Total         .415         13           a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp           b. Predictors: (Constant), Lnlabortax, Inunemployment, Ininflation           Coefficients*         Coefficients*           Unstandardized         Standardized         95.0% Confidence         Collinearity           Coefficients         Coefficients         Interval for B         Statistics           Model         B         Std. Error         Beta         t         Sig.         Bound         Bound         Tolerance         VIF           1         (Constant)        373         .278         -         .209         .992         .246           1.342        373         .278         -         .209         .992         .246           1.342		Residua	I	.016	.002						
a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp           b. Predictors: (Constant), Lnlabortax, Inunemployment, Ininflation           Coefficients <sup>a</sup> Unstandardized Standardized         95.0% Confidence Collinearity           Interval for B         Statistics           Lower Upper           Model         B         Std. Error         Beta         t         Sig.         Bound         Bound         Tolerance         VIF           1         (Constant)        373         .278         -         .209        992         .246           1.342         -         .368         .088         .269         4.157         .002         .171         .565         .946         1.057           Ininflation         .048         .019         .211         2.454         .034         .004         .091         .535         1.870           Linlabortax         Statistics           2         .063         .791         9.298 < .001		Total		.415	13						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Lnlabortax, Inunemployment, Ininflation         Coefficients *         Coefficients *         Unstandardized       Standardized       95.0% Confidence       Collinearity         Model       B       Std. Error       Beta       t       Sig.       Bound       Bound       Tolerance       VIF         Model       B       Std. Error       Beta       t       Sig.       Bound       Bound       Tolerance       VIF         I       (Constant)      373       .278       -       .209       .992       .246         Inunemployment       .368       .088       .269       4.157       .002       .171       .565       .946       1.057         Ininflation       .048       .019       .211       2.454       .034       .004       .091       .535       1.870         Lnlabortax       .585       .063       .791       9.298<<001       .445       .725       .546       1.830         a       Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp       Variance Proportions       Variance Proportions         Model       Dimension Eigenvalue       Condition Index (Constant) Inunemployment Ininflation LNLabortax       .300       .001       .			a. De	ependent Varia	able: Inremita	ncetogdp					
Coefficients <sup>a</sup> Unstandardized         Standardized         95.0% Confidence         Collinearity           Model         B         Std. Error         Beta         t         Sig.         Bound         Bound         Tolerance         VIF           1         (Constant)        373         .278         -         .209        992         .246           1.342         1         1.342         1         1.342         1         .565         .946         1.057           Ininflation         .048         .019         .211         2.454         .034         .004         .091         .535         1.870           Ininflation         .048         .019         .211         2.454         .034         .004         .091         .535         1.830           a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp         Collinearity Diagnostics <sup>a</sup> Variance Proportions         Nodel         1.830           Model         1         3.442         1.000         .00         .02         .00         .00         .53         .00           1         1         3.442         1.000         .00         .03         .00         .53         .00         .00         .53		b. Predict	tors: (Co	onstant), Lnlat	oortax, Inunen	nploymen	t, Inin	flation			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					Coeffic	cients <sup>a</sup>					
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Unsta	indardized	Standardize	d		95.0% C	onfidence	Collinea	arity
Model         B         Std. Error         Beta         t         Sig.         Bound         Bound         Tolerance         VIF           1         (Constant)        373         .278         -         .209        992         .246           1.342         1.342         1.342         1.342         .209         .992         .246         1.057           Ininemployment         .368         .088         .269         4.157         .002         .171         .565         .946         1.057           Ininflation         .048         .019         .211         2.454         .034         .004         .091         .535         1.870           Lnlabortax         .585         .063         .791         9.298 <.001			Coe	efficients	Coefficients	5		Interv	al for B	Statisti	CS
Model         B         Std. Error         Beta         t         Sig.         Bound         Bound         Tolerance         VIF           1         (Constant)        373         .278         -         .209        992         .246         1.342           Inunemployment         .368         .088         .269         4.157         .002         .171         .565         .946         1.057           Ininflation         .048         .019         .211         2.454         .034         .004         .091         .535         1.870           Lnlabortax         .585         .063         .791         9.298<<.001		-						Lower	Upper		
1       (Constant)      373       .278       -       .209      992       .246         Inunemployment       .368       .088       .269       4.157       .002       .171       .565       .946       1.057         Ininflation       .048       .019       .211       2.454       .034       .004       .091       .535       1.870         Lnlabortax       .585       .063       .791       9.298<<.001	N	lodel	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Bound	Bound	Tolerance	VIF
Inunemployment       .368       .088       .269       4.157       .002       .171       .565       .946       1.057         Ininflation       .048       .019       .211       2.454       .034       .004       .091       .535       1.870         Lnlabortax       .585       .063       .791       9.298 < .001	1 (Co	onstant)	373	.278		-	.209	992	.246		
Inunemployment         .368         .088         .269         4.157         .002         .171         .565         .946         1.057           Ininflation         .048         .019         .211         2.454         .034         .004         .091         .535         1.870           Lnlabortax         .585         .063         .791         9.298<         .001         .445         .725         .546         1.830           Collinearity Diagnostics <sup>a</sup> Variance Proportions           Model Dimension Eigenvalue Condition Index(Constant) Inunemployment Ininflation LNLabortax           1         1         3.442         1.000         .00         .02         .00           2         .554         2.492         .00         .00         .53         .00           3         .002         .99.537         .02         .33         .43         .83           4         .001         59.360         .98         .67         .03         .17           a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp						1.342					
Ininflation         .048         .019         .211         2.454         .034         .004         .091         .535         1.870           Lnlabortax         .585         .063         .791         9.298<<.001	Inuner	mployment	.368	.088	.269	4.157	.002	.171	.565	.946	1.057
Lnlabortax         .585         .063         .791         9.298<.001         .445         .725         .546         1.830           a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp           Variance Proportions           Model Dimension Eigenvalue Condition Index(Constant) Inunemployment Ininflation LNLabortax           1         1         3.442         1.000         .00         .02         .00           2         .554         2.492         .00         .00         .53         .00           3         .002         39.537         .02         .33         .43         .83           4         .001         59.360         .98         .67         .03         .17	Ini	nflation	.048	.019	.211	2.454	.034	.004	.091	.535	1.870
a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp Collinearity Diagnostics <sup>a</sup> Variance Proportions Model Dimension Eigenvalue Condition Index(Constant) Inunemployment Ininflation LNLabortax $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3.442 & 1.000 & .00 & .00 & .02 & .00 \\ 2 & .554 & 2.492 & .00 & .00 & .53 & .00 \\ 3 & .002 & 39.537 & .02 & .33 & .43 & .83 \\ 4 & .001 & 59.360 & .98 & .67 & .03 & .17 \\ a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp$	Lnl	abortax	.585	.063	.791	9.298	<.001	.445	.725	.546	1.830
Collinearity Diagnostics *Variance ProportionsModel Dimension Eigenvalue Condition Index(Constant) Inunemployment Ininflation LNLabortax113.4421.000.00.00.02.002.5542.492.00.00.53.003.00239.537.02.33.43.834.00159.360.98.67.03.17a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp				a. Depe	endent Variab	le: Inremi	tance	togdp			
Variance Proportions           Model Dimension Eigenvalue Condition Index(Constant) Inunemployment Ininflation LNLabortax           1         3.442         1.000         .00         .02         .00           2         .554         2.492         .00         .00         .53         .00           3         .002         39.537         .02         .33         .43         .83           4         .001         59.360         .98         .67         .03         .17           a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp				Colli	nearity Diagi	nostics <sup>a</sup>					
Model Dimension Eigenvalue Condition Index(Constant) Inunemployment Ininflation LNLabortax           1         3.442         1.000         .00         .02         .00           2         .554         2.492         .00         .00         .53         .00           3         .002         39.537         .02         .33         .43         .83           4         .001         59.360         .98         .67         .03         .17           a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp						Va	arianc	e Proporti	ons		
1         3.442         1.000         .00         .00         .02         .00           2         .554         2.492         .00         .00         .53         .00           3         .002         39.537         .02         .33         .43         .83           4         .001         59.360         .98         .67         .03         .17           a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp	Model I	Dimension	Eigenva	alue Condition	Index(Consta	ant) Inune	mploy	ment Inin	flation LNL	abortax	
2         .554         2.492         .00         .00         .53         .00           3         .002         39.537         .02         .33         .43         .83           4         .001         59.360         .98         .67         .03         .17           a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp	1	1	3.442	2 1.000	.00		.00		02	.00	
3         .002         39.537         .02         .33         .43         .83           4         .001         59.360         .98         .67         .03         .17           a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp		2	.554	2.492	.00		.00		53	.00	
4.00159.360.98.67.03.17a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp	_	3	.002	39.53	7.02		.33		43	.83	
a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp	_	4	.001	59.36	0.98		.67		03	.17	
				a. Depender	nt Variable: In	remitance	etogdp	)			

		<b>Residuals Stat</b>	istics <sup>a</sup>		
	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν
Predicted Value	2.2516	2.7763	2.4937	.17513	14
Residual	05408	.07162	.00000	.03553	14
Std. Predicted Value	-1.382	1.613	.000	1.000	14
Std. Residual	-1.335	1.768	.000	.877	14

a. Dependent Variable: Inremitancetogdp

Descriptive Statistics										
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Ν							
Inremitancetogdp	2.4937	.17870	14							
Inunemployment	2.6699	.13070	14							
Ininflation	.5625	.79229	14							
Lnlabortax	3.1759	.24156	14							
		Correlat	tions							

		Inremitancetogdp	Inunemployment	Ininflation	LNLabortax
Pearson Correlation	Inremitancetogdp	0 1.000	.265	.693	.940
	Inunemployment	.265	1.000	150	.035
	Ininflation	.693	150	1.000	.660
	Lnlabortax	.940	.035	.660	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	Inremitancetogdp		.180	.003	<.001
	Inunemployment	.180		.304	.453
	Ininflation	.003	.304		.005
	Lnlabortax	.000	.453	.005	
Ν	Inremitancetogdp	o 14	14	14	14
	Inunemployment	t 14	14	14	14
	Ininflation	14	14	14	14
	Lnlabortax	14	14	14	14

## CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, during the study of data related to personal remittances, it was noticed that developing countries such as Serbia, Kosovo, Montenegro and Albania have their inflows (as % of GDP) higher than developed countries such as Italy or Greece. The first place was taken by Kosovo. Then it is followed by Albania and Montenegro, where in different years their ranking changes. For the period 2008-2011, Albania comes second. Afterwards, for the period 2012-2021, Montenegro takes second place and, Serbia ranks 4th.



The testing of the considered variables demonstrated that the exchange rate variable showed collinearity problems even after its transformation into In. For this reason, it was removed from our model. Also, the average salary of Albania had collinearity problems with the variable of taxes and unemployment, so it was examined separately. As a conclusion, personal remittances in Albania (inflows) are significantly affected by unemployment, labor tax and contributions, as well as by the general level of prices (inflation). All 3 independent variables have a positive impact on remittances. This result is logical and in line with various studies previously conducted for other developing countries. The more prices, unemployment and the level of taxes on work increase, the more remittances will come in from abroad. This for Albania is also affected by the fact that most of the remittances go to consumption, medical care, housing or education. On the other hand, the influence of the average salary level in Albania on remittances is important but has a negative impact. This means that the increase in the level of salaries would bring a decrease in the incoming flows of remittances.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

Adams, Richard H., Jr., and John Page. 2003. "International Migration, Remittances, and Poverty in Developing Countries." World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3179. Washington.

Adams, Richard H., Jr., and John Page. 2005. "Do International Migration and Remittances Reduce Poverty in Developing Countries?" World Development 33 (10): 1645-66.

Al-Assaf, G. & Al-Malki, A. M. (2014). Modelling the macroeconomic determinants of workers remittances: the case of Jordan. International Journal of economics and Financial Issues 4(3), pp. 514- 526.

Amuedo-Dorantes, C. and Pozo, S. (2002), "Precautionary Saving by Young Immigrants and Young Natives", Southern Economic Journal 69, pp. 48-71.

Amuedo-Dorantes, C. and Pozo, S. (2004), "Worker's Remittances and the Real Exchange Rate: A Paradox of Gifts", World Development, 32, pp. 1407–1417. 156 / Proceedings of the African Economic Conference 2008.

Bourdet, Yves, and Hans Falck, 2003, Emigrants' Remittances and Dutch Disease in Cape Verde, Working Paper, No. 11 (Kristianstad, Sweden: Kristianstad University College).

Bredtman, J.; Flores, F. M. & Ottens, S. (2018). Remittances and the Brain drain: Evidence from Microdata for Sub-Saharan Africa. The Journal of Development Studies (2018). Doi:10.1080/00220388.2018.1443208.

Browne, Christopher and Aiko Mineshima, 2007, Remittances in the Pacific Region, IMF Working Paper, WP/07/35 (Washington: International Monetary Fund).

Buch, C., Kuchulenz, A., & Manchec, M.-H. I. (2004). Worker remittances and capital flows to developing countries. Center for European Economic Research Discussion Paper, 04(31).

Chami, R., Fullenkamp, C., and Jahjah S. (2003), "Are Immigrant Remittance Flows a Source of Capital for Development?", IMF Working Paper, no. 03/189.

Elbadawi, I. A. and Rocha, R. (1992), "Determinants of Expatriate Workers' Remittances in North Africa and Europe", World Bank Working Paper Series, no. 1038.

El-Sakka, M. and McNabb, R. (1999), "The Macroeconomic Determinants of Migrant Remittances", World Development, 27, pp. 1493–1502



Entela Velaj and Olta Nexhipi 2022. The Exchange Rate and the Factors affecting it - The Case of Albania. [ref]: vol.20.2022. available at: https://refpress.org/ref-vol20-a86/

Entela Velaj and Eda Bezhani. 2022. The Impact of Import and Export to GDP Growth - The Case of Albania. [Ref]: vol.20.2022. Available at: https://refpress.org/ref-vol20-a89/.

Guetat, I. & Sridi, D. (2017). Institutional quality effect on remittances in MENA region. Middle East Development Journal, 9 (1), pp. 84-100. Doi: 10 .1080 / 17938120 .2017 .1288474.

Gupta, Sanjeev, Catherine Pattillo, and Smita Wagh. 2009. "Impact of Remittances on Poverty and Financial Development in Sub-Saharan Africa." World Development 31 (1): 104-15.

Hedhli Mayssa, Arafet Hamida, Zouhaier Hadhek, Fatma Mrad and Mosbah Lafi. Shadow Economy and Economic Growth[ref]: vol.19.2021. available at: https://refpress. org/ref-vol19-a25

Holzner, Mario, 2006, Real Exchange Rate Distortion in Southeast Europe, Global Development Network Southeast Europe. (Vienna: Vienna Institute for International Economics). Available via the Internet: http:// www. wiiw.a c . at /balkan /files / HOLZNER.pd

Islam M S & Nasrin S. (2015) Driving forces of remittance inflow in Bangladesh: An empirical study. International Journal of Economics and Finance 7 (6); pp. 126-138. Doi.10.5539/ijef.v7n6p126.

Kratou H, Gazdar K (2016) Addressing the effect of workers' remittance on economic growth: evidence from MENA countries. Int J Soc Econ 43(1):51-70. https:// doi. org/ 10.1108/IJSE-08-2013-0189

Kumar, R.R., Stanvermann P.J. (2014) Exploring the effects of remittances on Lithuanian economic growth.25 (21):3 Engineering Economics. Https://doi.org/10.5755 /jol. ee. 25. 3.6421

Niroshani Anuruddika Kumari Parahara Withanalage and Nada Kulendran. Is the Motive for Remittance Static or Dynamic? Altruism vs. Self-interest. [ref]: vol.18.2020. available at: https://refpress.org/ref-vol18-a14/

Straubhaar, T. (1986), "The Determinants of Workers' Remittances: The Case of Turkey", Weltwirtschaftliches Archive, 122, pp. 728-740.

Sultonov, M. (2013). The macroeconomic determinants of remittance flows from Russia to Tajikistan, Transit Stud Rev, 19, pp. 417-430. Doi.10.1007/s11300-013-0257-5.

Swamy, G. (1981), "International Migrant Workers' Remittances: Issues and Prospects", World Bank Staff Working Paper. no. 481.

Velaj, Nexhipi and Merko (2022), A review of factors that affect tax revenues - the case of Albania, International Marmara Social Sciences Congress (Imascon Autumn) 2022 Proceedings Book, pp. 233-238.



# APPENDICES

Descriptive Statistics			Mean		Std. De	Std. Deviation		N						
Inremita	Inremitancetogdp 2.493			•	.17870			14						
Inunem	ploymer	oyment 2.6699			.13070			14						
Ininflatio	ation .5625			.79229			14							
LNLabo	ortax		3.1759		.24156			14						
Inexchangerate 4.8813			.05712			14								
Correla	tions										I			
				Inremi	tancetog	dp	Inunemple	oyme	ent l	ninflati	on L	NLabortax	Inexchang	erate
Pearson	<b>)</b>	Inremitan	cetogdp	0 1.000			.265			693	-	940	409	
Correla	tion	Inunempl	oyment	.265			1.000		-	.150	-	035	.300	
		Ininflation		.693			150			1.000		660	518	
		LNLabort	ax	.940			.035			660	1	.000	410	
		Inexchan	gerate	409			.300		-	.518	-	.410	1.000	
Sig. (1-1	tailed)	Inremitan	cetogdp	<b>)</b> .			.180			.003	<	<.001	.073	
		Inunempl	oyment	.180						304	-	453	.149	
		Ininflation	I	.003			.304				-	005	.029	
		LNLabort	ax	.000			.453			005			.073	
		Inexchan	gerate	.073			.149			029	-	073	•	
N		Inremitan	cetogdp	o 14			14		,	14	1	4	14	
		Inunempl	oyment	14			14		,	14	1	4	14	
		Ininflation	1	14			14		,	14	1	4	14	
		LNLabort	ax	14			14			14	1	4	14	
		Inexchan	gerate	14			14			14	1	4	14	
Model	Summa	ry⁵											_	
Model	R	R Square	Ac Sc	ljusted R quare	Std. Erro	or of	the Estim	ate	Dur	bin-Wa	atson			
1	.982 <sup>a</sup>	.965	.9	50	.04012				2.2	47				
a. Predi	ctors: (0	Constant), I	nexcha	ngerate,	Inunemp	loyn	nent, LNLa	abort	tax, In	inflatio	n			
b. Depe	ndent V	'ariable: Inr	emitano	cetogdp										
ANOVA	a													
			_					Ν	Nean				<u> </u>	
Model	-		Sum of	Squares	s df			5	Square	e F			Sig.	
1	Regres	ssion	.401		4				100	62.2	233		<.001°	
	Residu	ial	.014		9				002					
	Total		.415		13	3								
a. Depe	endent V	'ariable: Inr	emitano	cetogdp										
b. Predi	ctors: (0	Constant), I	nexcha	ngerate,	Inunemp	loyn	nent, LNLa	abort	tax, In	inflatio	n			

Table 2. Result of the Multiple Regressions Model



nts												
	Unstanc Coefficie	lardized ents	Star Coe	ndardized fficients			95.0% Interv	% ( val for E	Confidence 3	Coll Stat	inearity istics	
	в	Std. Error	Beta	a	t	Sig.	Lowe Boun	r l d E	Jpper Bound	Tole	erance	VIF
istant)	.867	1.166			.744	.476	-1.77	0 3	3.504			
employment	t.396	.091	.290	)	4.336	.002	.190		603	.867	,	1.153
lation	.041	.020	.181		2.022	.074	005		086	.484	ļ	2.066
bortax	.574	.063	.776	6	9.087	<.001	.431		717	.532	2	1.880
changerate	262	.239	08	4	-1.094	4 .302	803		279	.664	Ļ	1.507
lent Variable	e: Inremit	ancetogdp										
ity Diagnos	tics <sup>a</sup>											
		Conditio	<u> </u>	Variance	Propo	rtions						
imension E	Eigenvalu	lndex	1	(Constant	) Inur	employme	entIninfl	ation	LNLaborta	x I	nexcha	ngerate
4	1.415	1.000		.00	.00		.01		.00	-	00	
-	581	2.757		.00	.00		.48		.00	-	00	
-	002	43.572		.00	.14		.43		.91		00	
-	001	57.019	57.019		.82		.00		.04		.01	
4	1.277E-5	321.295		.99	.04		.08		.05		99	
lent Variable	e: Inremit	ancetogdp										
s Statistics	a											
		Minimum		Maximum		Mean		Std. D	eviation		N	
Value		2.2681		2.7867		2.4937		.17555	5		14	
		04828		.06615		.00000		.03338	3		14	
cted Value		-1.285		1.669		.000		1.000			14	
lual		-1.203		1.649		.000		.832			14	
lent Variable	e: Inremit	ancetogdp										
	stant) employmen ation cortax changerate ent Variable ty Diagnos mension ent Variable s Statistics Value cted Value ual ent Variable	Unstand Coefficie         B         stant)       .867         employment       .396         ation       .041         portax       .574         changerate      262         ent Variable:       Inremit         ty Diagnostics <sup>a</sup>	Unstandardized Coefficients           B         Std. Error           stant)         .867         1.166           employment         .396         .091           ation         .041         .020           portax         .574         .063           changerate        262         .239           ent Variable:         Inremitancetogdp           ty Diagnostics <sup>a</sup> Condition           mension         Eigenvalue         Condition           1.000         .581         2.757           .001         .57.019         .001           4.277E-5         .321.295           ent Variable:         Inremitancetogdp           5 Statistics <sup>a</sup> Minimum           Value         2.2681           .004828         .04828           cted Value         -1.285           ual         -1.203	Unstandardized Coefficients         Star Coefficients           B         Std. Error         Beta           stant)         .867         1.166           employment         .396         .091         .290           ation         .041         .020         .181           portax         .574         .063         .776           changerate        262         .239        08           ent Variable:         Inremitancetogdp         .001         .08           ty Diagnostics <sup>a</sup> Condition         Index         .001           mension         Eigenvalue         Condition         Index           .002         43.572         .001         .001           .001         57.019         .001         .001         .019           4.277E-5         .321.295         .001         .001         .001         .001         .001           Statistics <sup>a</sup> .001         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828         .04828 <td< td=""><td>Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients           B         Std. Error         Beta           stant)         867         1.166           employment         396         .091         290           ation         .041         .020         .181           portax         .574         .063         .776           changerate         .262         .239        084           ent Variable: Inremitancetogdp         Index         (Condition Index         Variance           ty Diagnostics<sup>a</sup>         2.757         .00         .00         .00           6.581         2.757         .00         .00         .00         .00           .001         57.019         .01         .01         .01         .02           .001         57.019         .01         .01         .01         .02         .02         .04828         .06615           statistics<sup>a</sup>         Minimum         Maximum         Variance         .04828         .06615           .04828         .06615         .1.203         .1.649         .069         .069         .0615</td><td>Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients           B         Std. Error         Beta         t           stant)         .867         1.166         .744           employment         .396         .091         .290         4.336           ation         .041         .020         .181         2.022           portax         .574         .063         .776         9.087           changerate        262         .239        084         1.094           ent Variable:         Inremitancetogdp         1.094         1.094           ent Variable:         Inremitancetogdp         Variance Propo         (Condition Index         1.000         .00         .00           at .415         1.000         .00</td><td>Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Sig.           B         Std. Error Beta         t         Sig.           stant)         .867         1.166         .744         .476           employment 396         .091         .290         4.336         .002           ation         .041         .020         .181         2.022         .074           bortax         .574         .063         .776         9.087         &lt;.001</td>           changerate         .262         .239         .084         1.094         .302           ent Variable: Inremitancetogdp         ty Diagnostics<sup>a</sup>         (Condition Index         (Constant)         inunemployme           4.415         1.000         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00           0.01         .581         2.757         .00         .00         .00         .00           .001         .57.019         .01         .82         .04         .00         .00           .001         .57.019         .01         .82         .04         .01         .02         .03           .5 Statistics<sup>a</sup>         .04828         .06615         .0000         <td< td=""><td>Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         95.09 Interv Lowe Boun           stant)         867         1.166         744         476         1.77           employment         396         091         290         4.336         002         190           ation         0.41         0.20         181         2.022         074         -005           portax         574         0.63         776         9.087         &lt;.001</td>         431           changerate        262         .239        084         1.094         .302        803           ent Variable:         Inremitancetogdp         Variance Proportions         (Constant)         nunemployment         ninfi           ty Diagnostics<sup>a</sup>         0.00         0.00         .00         .01         .581         2.757         .00         .00         .01           .581         2.757         .00         .00         .04         .08         .001         .57.019         .01         .82         .00           .001         57.019         .01         .82         .00         .08         .04         .08           ent Variable:         Inremitancetogdp         .24937</td<></td<>	Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients           B         Std. Error         Beta           stant)         867         1.166           employment         396         .091         290           ation         .041         .020         .181           portax         .574         .063         .776           changerate         .262         .239        084           ent Variable: Inremitancetogdp         Index         (Condition Index         Variance           ty Diagnostics <sup>a</sup> 2.757         .00         .00         .00           6.581         2.757         .00         .00         .00         .00           .001         57.019         .01         .01         .01         .02           .001         57.019         .01         .01         .01         .02         .02         .04828         .06615           statistics <sup>a</sup> Minimum         Maximum         Variance         .04828         .06615           .04828         .06615         .1.203         .1.649         .069         .069         .0615	Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients           B         Std. Error         Beta         t           stant)         .867         1.166         .744           employment         .396         .091         .290         4.336           ation         .041         .020         .181         2.022           portax         .574         .063         .776         9.087           changerate        262         .239        084         1.094           ent Variable:         Inremitancetogdp         1.094         1.094           ent Variable:         Inremitancetogdp         Variance Propo         (Condition Index         1.000         .00         .00           at .415         1.000         .00	Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Sig.           B         Std. Error Beta         t         Sig.           stant)         .867         1.166         .744         .476           employment 396         .091         .290         4.336         .002           ation         .041         .020         .181         2.022         .074           bortax         .574         .063         .776         9.087         <.001	Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         95.09 Interv Lowe Boun           stant)         867         1.166         744         476         1.77           employment         396         091         290         4.336         002         190           ation         0.41         0.20         181         2.022         074         -005           portax         574         0.63         776         9.087         <.001	Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Standardized Cower         Bound         I           attion         041         020         181         2.022         074         .005         .005           attion         .262         .239         .084         1.094         302         .803         .001           mension         Eigenvalue         Condition Index         Variance Proportions         .001	Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Standardized Sig.         Standardized Newer Bound         Confidence Interval for B           stant)         867         1.166         744         476         1.770         3.504           amployment.396         091         290         4.336         002         190         603           ation         041         020         181         2.022         074         -005         086           sortax         574         063         776         9.087         <.001	Its         Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Standardized Standardized         Standardized Standardi	Its         Unstandardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Standardized Coefficients         Confidence Statistics         Confidence Statistics           B         Std. Error Beta         t         Sig.         Bound         Upper Bound         Statistics           stant)         .867         1.166         744         .476         1.770         3.504         Tolerance           ation         .041         .020         .181         2.022         .074         .005         .086         .484           bortax         .574         .063         .776         9.087         .001         .431         .717         .532           changerate         .262         .239         .0.84         -1.094         .302         .803         .279         .664           ent Variable: Inremitancetogdp           Variable infeation         INLabortax         nexcha           4.415         1.000         .00         .01         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00         .00 </td

# Table 3. Result of the Simple Regressions Model

Model	Summary							
Model	R	R Square	Э	Adjuste	d R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate		
1	.921 <sup>a</sup>	.848		.840		.08432		
a. Pred	ictors: (Consta	nt), Inave	ragesalary			1		
ANOV/	<b>A</b> <sup>a</sup>		Sum of					
Model			Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
1	Regression		.715	1	.715	100.606	<.001 <sup>b</sup>	
	Residual		.128	18	.007			
	Total		.843	19				
a. Depe	endent Variable	e: Inremitt	ances					
b. Pred	ictors: (Consta	nt), Inave	ragesalary					



Inaveragewage

.00

1.00

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients			Collinearity Statistics	
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	8.047	.549		14.652	<.001		
	Inaveragesalary	523	.052	921	-10.030	<.001	1.000	1.000
a. De	pendent Variable: Inr	emittance	S					1
Colli	nearity Diagnostics <sup>a</sup>							
				Varia	ance Propor	tions		

(Constant)

.00

1.00

Condition Index

1.000

58.243

Model

Dimension

a. Dependent Variable: Inremittances

1 2 Eigenvalue

1.999

.001

