



THE CONCEPT OF SERVANT LEADERSHIP IN CONTEMPORARY BUSINESS PRACTICES: A LITERATURE REVIEW

Samuel Agyekum Benson 

Ph.D. Student

School of Business, Valley View University, Oyibi, Accra, Ghana

sammybenenson76@gmail.com

Williams Kwasi Peprah

CSSGB, F.cifia, AFA, MIPA

School of Business, Valley View University, Oyibi, Accra, Ghana

williams.peprah@vvu.edu.gh

Abstract

This concept paper is in response to calls for more excellent Servant leadership research. It was fueled by the fact that much research on Leadership has concentrated on transformational leadership and team leadership amid growing interest in Servant Leadership. Although the Servant leadership notion has been critiqued for its lack of empirical evidence, it is feasible in this age of unethical commercial practices. In this case, a leadership philosophy that appeals to human morals may be the best option. The study is grounded on a literature review that aims to define Servant Leadership in the context of corporate management by defining its characteristics, antecedents, and results. In the perspective of Servant Leadership, it also aimed to distinguish between Leadership and management, authority and Power. The ramifications of Servant Leadership are examined, as well as practical instances. It will also inform people who want to be Servant leaders about what is required of them. Organizations that adopt the Servant leadership philosophy will experience fewer economic failures and financial crises.

Keywords: Servant Leadership, Stewardship, Contemporary Business Practices, Authority, Power

INTRODUCTION

Leadership is an all-encompassing phenomenon that human society cannot function without (Alvehus, 2021). It is a universal act that is carried out to regulate humanity's concerns worldwide (Carrillo, 2020). Over the years, the flow of Leadership has been a critical issue (Uhl-Bien & Arena, 2018); some people take advantage of others, resulting in tumult, turmoil, or uproar; this includes today's economic world (Lewis & Xue, 2020). Many concepts on Leadership have been established due to extensive research on the issue (Behrendt, Matz, & Göritz, 2017).

This is a concept paper on Servant Leadership, and it aims to describe the concept by defining its characteristics, antecedents, and outcomes. As a result, the study focused on the notion of Servant Leadership, which examines Leadership from the perspective of the leader's moral and ethical behavior. More importantly, Servant Leadership exemplifies Jesus Christ's Leadership, an appropriate model for today's leaders. Even though Christ was God, He became a servant to save and inspire His disciples (Philippians 2:5-8).

On the other hand, Servant Leadership deviates from the norm of different leadership styles (Brière, Le Roy, & Meier, 2021). A leader has a sense of lordship, and a servant follows (Ihetu & Chigbo, 2020). The question then becomes, how can a leader serve as a servant? Setyaningrum, Setiawan, & Irawanto (2020) coined the term servant leadership to describe a mindset of putting others first and supporting them in achieving their goals. Many servant leadership models have been developed, including the several attribute (Liu, 2019) model and Prakash & Ghayas, (2019) value-based model with characteristics for servant leaders. The anecdote of a party of travelers having a servant among them who would always cheer them up as he served them on their journey inspired the Servant leadership notion. The company could not continue their journey after the Servant vanished because no one could cheer them up along the route. The travelers realized that "the servant was truly their leader" due to this (Frey, 2017).

Servant Leadership is a style of administration that emphasizes people (Heidari, Ghasemi, & Heidari, 2019), principles (Kiersch & Peters, 2017), and the "big picture." (Wang, Xu, & Liu, 2018). As result, servant leaders serve rather than impose (Al Hila, & Al Shobaki, 2017). They empower rather than command (Aij, & Rapsaniotis, 2017). They consider their employment a vocation rather than a job (Ruiz-Palomino, & Zoghbi-Manrique-de-Lara, 2020). Knowing that a leader influences their followers (Farrington, & Lillah, 2019), the impact must be positive. As a result, this study proposes Servant Leadership as one of the solutions to organizational leadership difficulties. Servant leadership is likely to be the best answer because it is the leadership model Jesus Christ used. It is founded on ethical behavior (Lemoine,

Hartnell, & Leroy, 2019) and Leadership through service (Petrovskaya & Mirakyan, 2018), both of which are lacking in most businesses. This research will benefit both organizations that need to try out servant leadership and individuals who want to be Servant Leaders.

Servant Leadership's Authority and Power

Servant Leadership expresses authority (Allen, Winston, Tatone, & Crowson, 2018), and power (Sousa & van Dierendonck, 2017) differs from how it is understood using other leadership concepts. Servant Leadership is consistent (Roberts, 2018) with a leadership philosophy that emphasizes integration (Lemoine et al., 2019) and authority (Tanno, 2017). The source of power should be one's influence over subordinates, not one's position. When leaders mingle with their associates, they can influence them while working together (Muzira, Muzira, & Min, 2020). Still, when they reign over them, they can only compel them into working (Ahmad, Donia, Khan, & Waris, 2019). Coercion does not result in a lasting change of behavior (Mountjoy, 2019).; however, good influence can result in ripple effects of Servant Leadership (Stollberger, Las Heras, Rofcanin, & Bosch, 2019).

The acceptance of Power by a member, not the person in charge, is the source of authority (Prethus, 2019). This signifies that even if a person is in a position of power, such as a manager, if the members do not accept their management, they have no control because they do not influence them. Moral Leadership is defined as having CEOs with a high moral code (Solinger, Jansen, & Cornelissen, 2020), demonstrating it as an example, and attempting to instill this morality in others as part of the servant concept. This is consistent with Servant Leadership, which requires a leader to have high morals, lead by example, and inspire followers to follow suit. As a result, a person in a position of power should be able to influence the followers (Hermawati, Sugiyarti, Handayani, Sunarsi, Alfiah, & Maddinsyah, 2020).

A servant leader should have "power to" rather than "power over" (Ross, Matteson, Sasso, & Peyton, 2020). This means a Servant leader has the authority to serve others rather than to be served. "Power over" connotes coercion, whereas "power to" connotes. Unlike other leadership styles, where Power is derived from the leader's influence while serving the followers (Nawoselng'ollan & Roussel, 2017), Servant Leadership derives Power from the leader's influence while serving the followers (Lee, Lyubovnikova, Tian, & Knight, 2020). Power is utilized to persuade rather than coerce or force (Etzioni, 2019).

Servant Leadership versus Management

When attempting to distinguish Servant Leadership from management, confusion is common. Before getting into the concept of Servant Leadership, there are a few things to

consider that can help you distinguish between the two phrases. The fundamental objective, goal setting, relationships, operation, and governance are all examples of this. Managers excel in stable circumstances by maintaining the status quo (Kraus, Burtscher, Vallaster, & Angerer, 2018). In contrast, leaders excel in dynamic, tumultuous, and uncertain environments by conquering the context (Shufutinsky, Long, Sibel, & Burrell, 2021). As a result, to survive the volatile climate of the twenty-first century, leaders are required more than managers.

A servant leader's primary goal is to serve the interests of their followers (Wu, Liden, Liao, & Wayne, 2021), whereas a manager's goal is to achieve the organization's goals (Latham, 2020). Managers' obsession with outcomes (Mesdaghinia, Rawat, & Nadavulakere, 2019) and control (Panda, 2019) often instill fear of failure in their subordinates, risking growth. People must be driven and purposeful to give their best in work (Muñoz, Cacciotti, & Cohen, 2018). This isn't to say that a servant leader isn't concerned with the organization's goals. It signifies that the organization's goals will be realized while assisting followers in reaching their full potential (Chaturvedi, Rizvi, & Pasipanodya, 2019). A servant leader exists to serve others (Petrovskaya & Mirakyan, 2018), whereas a manager exists to serve the organization through their employees (Hur, Y. 2018). As a result, a servant leader appreciates the individuals who make up the organization (Dutta & Khatri, 2017).

When it comes to goal-setting, servant leaders express the vision, envision the future, and see the larger picture (Rashid, Tasmin, Qureshi, & Shafiq, 2019). Managers, on the other hand, carry out plans (Fayzullaevna, 2021), enhance the current situation (Aung & Preudhikulpradab, 2021), and maintain a narrow perspective (Raisch & Krakowski, 2021). Servant leaders focus on the future by assisting their followers in reaching their full potential (Heyler & Martin, 2018). In contrast, managers focus on what their subordinates can achieve best in the present (Molnar, Schwarz, Hellgren, Hasson, & Tafvelin, 2019). Managers have a narrow vision of only fulfilling organizational goals (Chams & García-Blandón, 2019), therefore implementing what has been planned (Biniari, 2020). Still, servant leaders look at the larger picture of the followers (Sendjaya, Eva, Robin, Sugianto, ButarButar, & Hartel, 2019), the organization (Muhtasom, Mus, Bijang, & Latief, 2017), and the environment (Woo & Kang, 2020). This is one of the causes why most managers fail in high-stress situations.

A servant leader prioritizes relationships above results (Hartnell, Karam, Kinicki, & Dimotakis, 2020), whereas a manager prioritizes task results over relationships (Gerpott, Lehmann-Willenbrock, Voelpel, & Van Vugt, 2019). Managers treat their employees as inferiors (Tang, Zheng, & Chen, 2017), whereas servant leaders consider their followers as partners (Crippen & Willows, 2019) and equals (Xu & Wang, 2020). Managers utilize their rightful Power to control their employees (Ravenelle, 2019). Still, servant leaders use their service to empower

(Yang, Gu, & Liu, 2019) and influence (Newman, Schwarz, Cooper, & Sendjaya, 2017). While managers plan the work that their subordinates will do (Long, 2018), servant leaders lead their followers in doing the task together (Chiniara & Bentein, 2018).

Managers do the right things in operations (Spring & Unterhitzenberger, 2020), whereas leaders do the right things in Leadership (Agarwal & Sisodia, 2021). This explains why servant leaders' actions are guided by morality (GümÜsay, 2019) and ethics (Lumpkin & Achen, 2018). While doing the right thing necessitates ethical behavior, doing the right thing might conflict with ethics and morals. In their operations, servant leaders serve their subordinates (Saleem, Zhang, Gopinath, & Adeel, 2020), whereas, in management, aides serve their managers (Wu et al., 2021), who then serve their superiors. Managers employ authority in governance (Hettiarachchi, Ryu, Caucci, & Silva, 2018), whereas servant leaders use influence (Muzira et al., 2020). The leader under Servant Leadership leads by example (Kiker, Callahan, & Kiker, 2019). In contrast, a manager exerts control (Agostino & Arnaboldi, 2018) and often employs force (Möhlmann & Zalmanson, 2017) to get their way. Managers utilize their employees for their recognition (Stoyanova & Iliev, 2017) and promotion (Hetland, Hetland, Bakker, & Demerouti, 2018), whereas servant leaders rely on self-sacrifice (Urick, 2021). rather than self-glory (Mutua & Kiruhi, 2021).

Attributes of Servant Leadership

Leadership is inherited rather than taught (Resnick & Schantz, 2017). As a result, leaders have significant responsibility for modeling what their followers should imitate (Cheng, Wei, & Lin, 2019). Leadership or management is a critical organizational job (Qing, Asif, Hussain, & Jameel, 2020), and for any company to expand (Delen, Moscato, & Toma, 2018, January) and be effective (Graves & Moore, 2018), it must have well-informed leaders. As a result, a servant leader must possess specific qualities, such as good listening skills (Sikorski, 2017), the ability to communicate effectively (Coetzer, Bussin, & Geldenhuys, 2017), and the ability to be empathetic (Elche, Ruiz-Palomino, & Linuesa-Langreo, 2020), patient (ELLIS, 2019), and truthful (Burton, Peachey, & Wells, 2017). A leader who possesses these qualities naturally has a better possibility of engaging in Servant Leadership.

These characteristics are an essential vehicle for improving the way firms are managed. As a result, Servant Leadership is concerned with one's behavior (Stollberger et al., 2019) and character (Sousa, & van Dierendonck, 2017). Unlike management, which is involved with the activities we perform, such as planning (Leahy & Lyons, 2021) and organizing (Lu, Zhou, & Chen, 2019), leadership is concerned with who we are. The Servant leadership characteristics

are divided into four areas in this study: visionary, selfless, good communicator, and stewardship. The following is an example:

Selflessness

Selfless leaders are those who prioritize the needs of their followers (Stouten & Liden, 2020), assist them in growing (Mao, Chiu, Owens, Brown, & Liao, 2019) and succeeding (Rasmussen, 2020), and empower them (Yang et al., 2019). Servant leaders consider followers by putting others first (Mulinge, 2018). Even if their followers do not behave how they intend, this helps them understand them better (Kiersch & Peters, 2017). Empathy will also force the servant leader to become more empathic (Khatri, Dutta, & Kaushik, 2021). They are not envious (Roberts, 2020) but rather delighted when others outperform them (Smith, 2018). Their success is achieved by how well their followers carry out their responsibilities at work to ensure their overall success (Xu & Wang, 2020).

They train their followers to be Servant leaders by empowering them (Ammons, & McLaughlin, 2017). These leaders provide the resources needed for a given activity (Opoku, Choi, & Kang, 2019), instruct the followers on how to effectively use those resources (Williams, Brandon, Hayek, Haden, & Atinc, 2017), then supervise the followers while they do the assignment (Prasad, & Sugianto, 2019). When followers are empowered, they are encouraged to think critically (Yelamanchili, 2018), resulting in a high level of invention. As a result, empowerment recognizes that other people have the potential to lead as well (Vaquera, Aranda, & Sousa-Rodriguez, 2017).

Stewardship

A steward is someone who has been entrusted with the property (Boaheng, 2021) or assets (Situmorang, 2019) of another person. As a result, a servant leader should be a steward who looks after people (Sherman, 2019), an organization's most precious asset, to reach their full potential. Social responsibility (Kuttner et al. 2020), commitment (Akinboyo & Gerber, 2020), and teamwork (Drekonja et al. 2019) are all integrally linked to stewardship. Stewardship includes resource management as well (Hutchings, 2018). This comprises protecting the environment by decreasing pollution (Jorgensen, Krasny, & Baztan, 2021) and land degradation (Duque et al., 2021), which corporations have generated in some situations to unload pollutants. As a result, servant leaders should have stewardship characteristics such as loyalty (Shah, Batool, & Hassan, 2019), ethical behavior (Lumpkin & Achen, 2018), and social responsibility (Yoon, Darville, & Spann, 2021). A steward is faithful to the owner of the land or assets they oversee, as well as their followers and the organization for which they work. The individuals they

serve admire the leader because of their loyalty. Because of their commitment, they are dependable (Croft, 2021) and accountable (ten Have & Neves, 2021). A steward is supposed to act ethically (Yen & Cutrell, 2021). A servant leader is also required to be honest in their personal (Hoch, Bommer, Dulebohn, & Wu, 2018), professional (Coetzer et al., 2017), and overall lives (Sims, 2018).

Their way of life should serve as an example to their followers (Kiker al., 2019). As a result, stewardship necessitates genuine concern for the well-being of others (Stoewen, 2017). As a result, servant leaders become more socially accountable (Ragnarsson, Kristjánisdóttir, & Gunnarsdóttir, 2018). Their selflessness contributes to their concern for society (Erdurmazlı, 2019). Their social obligation should also include environmental stewardship (Barrage, Chyn, & Hastings, 2020).

Biblical Perspective of Servant Leadership

One Bible character who exemplified Servant Leadership is Moses. He was ready to put his life on the line for the Israelites (Exodus 18: 13-16). Moses then empowered and assigned his disciples to reign over Israel on minor things after receiving guidance from his father-in-law, Jethro (Exodus 18: 25-26). When he prayed with God to remove his name from the book of life and preserve the Israelites, he demonstrated the qualities of a servant leader (Exodus 32:32). This deed showed compassion and love for his people, two characteristics of Servant Leadership.

Jesus' life is also an excellent illustration of Servant Leadership in action. As a leader, Jesus became equal to men to set an example for them. His approach and the influence he had on His followers reflect His Servant Leadership. "Let this awareness be in you which was in Christ Jesus..." Christ's disciples are obligated to have the same mindset. "...whoever aspires to grow great among you shall be your servant..." (Philippians 2: 5) and (Matthew 10:43-45). The concept of a Servant leader is reflected in these verses. Although Christ was a leader, He displayed Servant Leadership when He washed His followers' feet (John 13: 3-5). He served them as servants to learn from Him to be servant leaders (John 13: 13-15). Up until His death, Jesus demonstrated servant leadership traits such as a sacrificial spirit, leading by example, and assisting followers in their growth.

Business Management Implications

Servant Leadership has ramifications in that it demonstrates how a firm should be run so that subordinates might follow suit. The achievement of the targeted institution results or outcomes would therefore be guided by this. Employees are groomed to be Servant leaders due

to this modeling since they are involved in institutional decision-making. Employees are compelled to feel ownership of the organization, which inspires them to give their utmost in the performance of their jobs without being coerced or pushed to do so. Employee ownership of the firm is likely to lead to enhanced productivity and quality.

DISCUSSION AND FURTHER RESEARCH

Servant Leadership is a Management style that is used all over the world. Serving others raises those around you above yourself, allowing the leader to empower others. They inspire others to think beyond themselves, to consider others and the organization as a whole, resulting in a more substantial effect as everyone works together to serve people and the organization with a purpose. Jesus Christ (who is one of the Christian God-Persons) heads came to earth in the shape of a servant to serve (Mark 10:45), and He compels those who follow Him (Christians) to help others as well (Mark 10:43-44). Servant Leadership improves the performance (Ye, Lyu, & He, 2019) and growth of followers (Setyaningrum al., 2020), as well as the implementation of organizations (Yuesti, Parwati, Suardhika, & Latupeirissa, 2020), and societal influence (Luu, 2019). Servant leadership is also beneficial to society (Afsar, Cheema, & Javed, 2018). The Servant leader's care for the followers' well-being impacts society and the environment Kaltainen & Hakanen, 2020). This affects the corporate social responsibilities that firms take on to help the communities in which they operate.

The study recommends that empirical research be further examined to determine if Servant Leadership with a mediating impact of teamwork will aid contemporary business practices. The following research questions and null hypotheses may be considered:

Research Question

Is there a significant relationship between Servant Leadership and contemporary business practices?

Null Hypothesis

There is no significant relationship between Servant Leadership and contemporary business practices?

CONCLUSION

Learning to serve individuals in one's immediate environment will be critical to becoming a servant leader and a global leader. Leaders in global settings must realize that their perspectives and responsibilities are not more important or valuable than others. As leaders work alongside those in their organizations, they are better able to serve each other, the organization, and the mission they will pursue. Many cultures have established that

servanthood, and ultimately servant leadership, is beneficial and strongly supported within their current worldview. People now want to give back to their communities and the world in a variety of ways. Many people today want to use what they have been given to help the disadvantaged, the needy, and the lost. People serve their countries and communities daily, making a difference in their own lives as well as the lives of those they may not know. Servant leadership is gaining popularity as a result of the benefits it gives to a community or organization. It is not about one's self-interest but rather about the betterment of the community.

Servant leaders prioritize serving the needs of others and the community before their own. A good steward is a servant leader. As many misconceptions as there are about how a leader might be a servant. Servant Leadership does not imply that the leader is a servant, but rather that the leader serves others in the same way that Jesus Christ served humanity. Servant leadership comprises a business entity's CEO modeling, empowering, grooming, and motivating employees to take ownership of the company without coercion. Although it is challenging to prove Servant leadership objectively, its presence cannot be questioned because it has been practiced since the Bible.

Notwithstanding the lack of a universal definition for servant leadership, many authors agree on its characteristics, antecedents, and outcomes. This is how it is presented in this publication. As a result, to become Servant leaders, leaders should adhere to the ideas presented in this paper.

RECOMMENDATIONS

In today's creation, where there is so much dishonesty and selfishness and fraud and scandals, Servant Leadership should be the ideal leadership style. The benefits of Servant leadership are appealing to many firms because they include improved organizational performance, which is crucial for a company's existence. As a result, more research into the implementation of Servant Leadership in company management is needed. Unlike other management theories, which focus on actions that necessitate ownership, administration, and control to achieve established goals, Servant leadership draws authority from one's effect on subordinates rather than one's position.

REFERENCES

Afsar, B., Cheema, S., & Javed, F. (2018). Activating employee's pro-environmental behaviors: The role of CSR, organizational identification, and environmentally specific Servant Leadership. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 25(5), 904-911.

Agarwal, N., & Sisodia, S. (2021). From Transition to Translation: A Transformational Process of First-Time Leadership. In *Handbook of Research on Innate Leadership Characteristics and Examinations of Successful First-Time Leaders* (pp. 389-408). IGI Global.

- Agostino, D., & Arnaboldi, M. (2018). Performance measurement systems in public service networks. The what, who, and how of control. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 34(2), 103-116.
- Ahmad, I., Donia, M. B., Khan, A., & Waris, M. (2019). Do as I say and do as I do? The mediating role of psychological contract fulfillment in the relationship between ethical Leadership and employee extra-role performance. *Personnel review*.
- Aij, K. H., & Rapsaniotis, S. (2017). Leadership requirements for Lean versus Servant Leadership in health care: a systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Healthcare Leadership*, 9, 1.
- Akinboyo, I. C., & Gerber, J. S. (2020, October). Principles, policy and practice of antibiotic stewardship. In *Seminars in Perinatology* (p. 151324). WB Saunders.
- Al Hila, A. A., & Al Shobaki, M. J. (2017). The Role Of Servant Leadership In Achieving Excellence Performance In Technical Colleges-Provinces Of Gaza Strip. *International Journal of Management Research and Business Strategy*, 6(1), 69-91.
- Allen, S., Winston, B. E., Tatone, G. R., & Crowson, H. M. (2018). Exploring a model of Servant Leadership, empowerment, and commitment in nonprofit organizations. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 29(1), 123-140.
- Alvehus, J. (2021). Docility, obedience and discipline: Towards dirtier leadership studies?. *Journal of Change Management*, 21(1), 120-132.
- Ammons, D. N., & McLaughlin, T. C. (2017). Discovering Servant Leader Relations with New Followers in Nonprofit Organizations: Does a Servant Leader Always Serve First?. *Open Journal of Leadership*, 6(2), 34-60.
- Aung, S. T., & Preudhikulpradab, S. (2021). The Middle Managers' Essential Leadership Styles: A Case of Global Technology Company in Myanmar. *AU-GSB e-JOURNAL*, 14(1), 45-52.
- Barrage, L., Chyn, E., & Hastings, J. (2020). Advertising and environmental stewardship: Evidence from the bp oil spill. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 12(1), 33-61.
- Behrendt, P., Matz, S., & Göritz, A. S. (2017). An integrative model of leadership behavior. *The leadership quarterly*, 28(1), 229-244.
- Biniari, M. (2020). How Tensions in Valorizing Time Influence Planned Organizational Change. In *Academy of Management Proceedings* (Vol. 2020, No. 1, p. 16630). Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510: Academy of Management.
- Boaheng, I. (2021). Biblical and Theological Perspectives on Church Management. *Impact: Journal of Transformation*, 4(1), 16-26.
- Brière, M., Le Roy, J., & Meier, O. (2021). Linking Servant Leadership to positive deviant behavior: The mediating role of self-determination theory. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 51(2), 65-78.
- Burton, L. J., Peachey, J. W., & Wells, J. E. (2017). The role of Servant Leadership in developing an ethical climate in sport organizations. *Journal of Sport Management*, 31(3), 229-240.
- Carrillo, M. R. (2020). Artificial intelligence: From ethics to law. *Telecommunications Policy*, 44(6), 101937.
- Chams, N., & García-Blandón, J. (2019). On the importance of sustainable human resource management for the adoption of sustainable development goals. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 141, 109-122.
- Chaturvedi, S., Rizvi, I. A., & Pasipanodya, E. T. (2019). How can leaders make their followers to commit to the organization? The importance of influence tactics. *Global Business Review*, 20(6), 1462-1474.
- Cheng, K., Wei, F., & Lin, Y. (2019). The trickle-down effect of responsible Leadership on unethical pro-organizational behavior: The moderating role of leader-follower value congruence. *Journal of Business Research*, 102, 34-43.
- Chiniara, M., & Bentein, K. (2018). The servant leadership advantage: When perceiving low differentiation in leader-member relationship quality influences team cohesion, team task performance and service OCB. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 29(2), 333-345.
- Coetzer, M. F., Bussin, M., & Geldenhuys, M. (2017). The functions of a servant leader. *Administrative Sciences*, 7(1), 5.
- Crippen, C., & Willows, J. (2019). Connecting Teacher Leadership and Servant Leadership: A Synergistic Partnership. *Journal of leadership education*, 18(2).
- Croft, J. B. (2021). Editorial. *Mythlore: A Journal of JRR Tolkien, CS Lewis, Charles Williams, and Mythopoeic Literature*, 39(2), 21.

- Delen, D., Moscato, G., & Toma, I. L. (2018, January). The impact of real-time business intelligence and advanced analytics on the behaviour of business decision makers. In *2018 International Conference on Information Management and Processing (ICIMP)* (pp. 49-53). IEEE.
- Drekonja, D. M., Grigoryan, L., Lichtenberger, P., Graber, C. J., Patel, P. K., Van, J. N., ... & Trautner, B. W. (2019). Teamwork and safety climate affect antimicrobial stewardship for asymptomatic bacteriuria. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, *40*(9), 963-967.
- Duque, J. M., Zapico, I., Bugosh, N., Tejedor, M., Delgado, F., Martín-Moreno, C., & Nicolau, J. M. (2021). A Somolinos quarry land stewardship history: From ancient and recent land degradation to sensitive geomorphic-ecological restoration and its monitoring. *Ecological Engineering*, *170*, 106359.
- Dutta, S., & Khatri, P. (2017). Servant Leadership and positive organizational behaviour: The road ahead to reduce employees' turnover intentions. *On the Horizon*.
- Elche, D., Ruiz-Palomino, P., & Linuesa-Langreo, J. (2020). Servant Leadership and organizational citizenship behavior: The mediating effect of empathy and service climate. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*.
- ELLIS, P. (2019). What it means to be a Servant leader. *Wounds UK*, *15*(5).
- Erdurmazlı, E. (2019). On the Servant leadership behaviors perceived in voluntary settings: The influences on volunteers' motivation and organizational commitment. *SAGE Open*, *9*(3), 2158244019876265.
- Etzioni, A. (2019). Power as a societal force. In *Power in modern societies* (pp. 18-28). Routledge.
- Farrington, S. M., & Lillah, R. (2019). Servant Leadership and job satisfaction within private healthcare practices. *Leadership in Health Services*.
- Fayzullaevna, R. G. (2021). Improving the management system through the formation of modern managers and employees in enterprises and organizations. *International Journal on Economics, Finance and Sustainable Development*, *3*(4), 34-37.
- Frey, S. M. (2017). Greenleaf's Legacy: Reflections on being a Servant leader. *The Catholic Library World*, *87*(4), 243.
- Gerpott, F. H., Lehmann-Willenbrock, N., Voelpel, S. C., & Van Vugt, M. (2019). It's not just what is said, but when it's said: A temporal account of verbal behaviors and emergent Leadership in self-managed teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, *62*(3), 717-738.
- Graves, S., & Moore, A. (2018). How do you know what works, works for you? An investigation into the attitudes of senior leaders to using research evidence to inform teaching and learning in schools. *School Leadership & Management*, *38*(3), 259-277.
- Gümüşay, A. A. (2019). Embracing religions in moral theories of Leadership. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *33*(3), 292-306.
- Hancock, J. T., Naaman, M., & Levy, K. (2020). AI-mediated communication: definition, research agenda, and ethical considerations. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, *25*(1), 89-100.
- Hartnell, C. A., Karam, E. P., Kinicki, A. J., & Dimotakis, N. (2020). Does Servant leadership's people focus facilitate or constrain its positive impact on performance? An examination of Servant leadership's direct, indirect, and total effects on branch financial performance. *Group & Organization Management*, *45*(4), 479-513.
- Heidari, M., Ghasemi, S., & Heidari, R. (2019). The effects of Leadership and employment in technical capabilities of sport teams. *Journal of Humanities Insights*, *3*(02), 75-80.
- Hermawati, R., Sugiyarti, L., Handayani, R., Sunarsi, D., Alfiah, S., & Maddinsyah, A. (2020). The Effect of Trilogy Leadership Style and Organization Culture on School Performance: Evidence form Indonesian Senior High School. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, *17*(6), 8512-8537.
- Hetland, J., Hetland, H., Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2018). Daily transformational Leadership and employee job crafting: The role of promotion focus. *European Management Journal*, *36*(6), 746-756.
- Hettiarachchi, H., Ryu, S., Caucci, S., & Silva, R. (2018). Municipal solid waste management in Latin America and the Caribbean: issues and potential solutions from the governance perspective. *Recycling*, *3*(2), 19.
- Heyler, S. G., & Martin, J. A. (2018). Servant Leadership Theory: Opportunities for Additional Theoretical Integration 1. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, *30*(2), 230-153.
- Hoch, J. E., Bommer, W. H., Dulebohn, J. H., & Wu, D. (2018). Do ethical, authentic, and servant leadership explain variance above and beyond transformational Leadership? A meta-analysis. *Journal of Management*, *44*(2), 501-529.

- Hur, Y. (2018). Testing Herzberg's two-factor theory of motivation in the public sector: Is it applicable to public managers?. *Public Organization Review*, 18(3), 329-343.
- Hutchings, R. M. (2018). Meeting the shadow: resource management and the McDonaldization of heritage stewardship. In *Human-Centered Built Environment Heritage Preservation* (pp. 67-87). Routledge.
- Ihetu, C. J., & Chigbo, C. N. (2020). CAN NIGERIA IN HER TURBULENT TIMES FIND A MODEL IN MARK 10: 45a?: A DISCOURSE ON LEADERSHIP TRANSFORMATION. *INTERDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF AFRICAN & ASIAN STUDIES (IJAAS)*, 5(3).
- Jorgensen, B., Krasny, M., & Baztan, J. (2021). Volunteer beach cleanups: civic environmental stewardship combating global plastic pollution. *Sustainability Science*, 16(1), 153-167.
- Kaltiainen, J., & Hakanen, J. (2020). Fostering task and adaptive performance through employee well-being: The role of Servant Leadership. *BRQ Business Research Quarterly*, 2340944420981599.
- Khatri, P., Dutta, S., & Kaushik, N. (2021). Changing patterns of the teacher as a Servant leader in Asia Pacific: a review and research agenda. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 27(2), 301-330.
- Kiersch, C., & Peters, J. (2017). Leadership from the Inside Out: Student Leadership Development within Authentic Leadership and Servant Leadership Frameworks. *Journal of Leadership Education*, 16(1).
- Kiker, D. S., Callahan, J. S., & Kiker, M. B. (2019). Exploring the boundaries of Servant Leadership: A meta-analysis of the main and moderating effects of Servant Leadership on behavioral and affective outcomes. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 31(2), 172-117.
- Kraus, S., Burtscher, J., Vallaster, C., & Angerer, M. (2018). Sustainable entrepreneurship orientation: A reflection on status-quo research on factors facilitating responsible managerial practices. *Sustainability*, 10(2), 444.
- Kuttner, M., Feldbauer-Durstmüller, B., & Mitter, C. (2020). Corporate social responsibility in Austrian family firms: socioemotional wealth and stewardship insights from a qualitative approach. *Journal of Family Business Management*.
- Latham, G. (2020). Goal setting: A five-step approach to behavior change. In *Organizational Collaboration* (pp. 10-20). Routledge.
- Leahy, J., & Lyons, P. (2021). Place Attachment and Concern in Relation to Family Forest Landowner Behavior. *Forests*, 12(3), 295.
- Lee, A., Lyubovnikova, J., Tian, A. W., & Knight, C. (2020). Servant Leadership: A meta-analytic examination of incremental contribution, moderation, and mediation. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 93(1), 1-44.
- Lemoine, G. J., Hartnell, C. A., & Leroy, H. (2019). Taking stock of moral approaches to Leadership: An integrative review of ethical, authentic, and Servant Leadership. *Academy of Management Annals*, 13(1), 148-187.
- Lewis, J. W., & Xue, L. (2020). TWO. The Threat of War, the Necessity of Peace. In *Imagined Enemies* (pp. 19-43). Stanford University Press.
- Liu, H. (2019). Just the Servant: An intersectional critique of Servant Leadership. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 156(4), 1099-1112.
- Long, C. P. (2018). To control and build trust: How managers use organizational controls and trust-building activities to motivate subordinate cooperation. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 70, 69-91.
- Lu, X., Zhou, H., & Chen, S. (2019). Facilitate knowledge sharing by leading ethically: The role of organizational concern and impression management climate. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 34(4), 539-553.
- Lumpkin, A., & Achen, R. M. (2018). Explicating the synergies of self-determination theory, ethical Leadership, Servant Leadership, and emotional intelligence. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 12(1), 6-20.
- Luu, T. T. (2019). CSR and customer value co-creation behavior: The moderation mechanisms of Servant Leadership and relationship marketing orientation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 155(2), 379-398.
- Mao, J., Chiu, C. Y., Owens, B. P., Brown, J. A., & Liao, J. (2019). Growing followers: Exploring the effects of leader humility on follower self-expansion, self-efficacy, and performance. *Journal of Management Studies*, 56(2), 343-371.
- MCKEAGE, R. L., GIAMBATISTA, R. C., & BREES, J. R. (2020). Cultures of Servant Leadership and their impact. *The Journal of Values-Based Leadership*, 1, 155.
- Mesdaghinia, S., Rawat, A., & Nadavulakere, S. (2019). Why moral followers quit: Examining the role of leader bottom-line mentality and unethical pro-leader behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 159(2), 491-505.

- Möhlmann, M., & Zalmanson, L. (2017). Hands on the wheel: Navigating algorithmic management and Uber drivers'. *38th ICIS Proceedings*.
- Molnar, M. M., Schwarz, U. V. T., Hellgren, J., Hasson, H., & Tafvelin, S. (2019). Leading for safety: A question of leadership focus. *Safety and health at work, 10*(2), 180-187.
- Mountjoy, M. (2019). 'Only by speaking out can we create lasting change': what can we learn from the Dr Larry Nassar tragedy?. *British journal of sports medicine, 53*(1), 57-60.
- Muhtasom, A., Mus, H. A. R., Bijang, J., & Latief, B. (2017). Influence of Servant Leadership, Organizational Citizenship Behaviour on Organizational Culture and Employee Performance at Star Hotel in Makassar. *Star, 486*(206), 410.
- Mulinge, P. (2018). Altruism and altruistic love: Intrinsic motivation for servant-leadership. *The International Journal of Servant-Leadership, 12*(1), 337-370.
- Muñoz, P., Cacciotti, G., & Cohen, B. (2018). The double-edged sword of purpose-driven behavior in sustainable venturing. *Journal of Business Venturing, 33*(2), 149-178.
- Mutua, J. N., & Kiruhi, T. M. (2021). Volunteer public leaders' values-driven leadership: the case of village elders in Kenya. *Heliyon, 7*(3), e06411.
- Nawoselng'ollan, D., & Roussel, J. (2017). Influence of leadership styles on employees' performance: A study of Turkana County, Kenya. *International Journal of Business and Social Science, 8*(7), 82-98.
- Newman, A., Schwarz, G., Cooper, B., & Sendjaya, S. (2017). How Servant leadership influences organizational citizenship behavior: The roles of LMX, empowerment, and proactive personality. *Journal of Business Ethics, 145*(1), 49-62.
- Opoku, M. A., Choi, S. B., & Kang, S. W. (2019). Servant leadership and innovative behaviour: An empirical analysis of Ghana's manufacturing sector. *Sustainability, 11*(22), 6273.
- Panda, A. (2019). Why do leadership development efforts fail in organisations in India and what can be done about it?. *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management, 18*(2), 184-217.
- Petrovskaya, I., & Mirakyan, A. (2018). A mission of service: social entrepreneur as a Servant leader. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*.
- Prakasch, J. N., & Ghayas, M. M. (2019). Impact of Servant Leadership on Turnover Intentions in Banking Sector of Karachi. *RADS Journal of Business Management, 1*(1), 22-30.
- Prasad, K., & Sugianto, L. F. (2019). Examining Servant Leadership Effects on Team Satisfaction: An Agent-Based Approach. In *Leading for High Performance in Asia* (pp. 107-131). Springer, Singapore.
- Presthus, R. V. (2019). 7. Authority in organizations. In *Authority and Social Work* (pp. 77-89). University of Toronto Press.
- Purcell, N., Griffin, B. J., Burkman, K., & Maguen, S. (2018). "Opening a door to a new life": The role of forgiveness in healing from moral injury. *Frontiers in psychiatry, 9*, 498.
- Qing, M., Asif, M., Hussain, A., & Jameel, A. (2020). Exploring the impact of ethical Leadership on job satisfaction and organizational commitment in public sector organizations: The mediating role of psychological empowerment. *Review of Managerial Science, 14*(6), 1405-1432.
- Ragnarsson, S., Kristjánisdóttir, E. S., & Gunnarsdóttir, S. (2018). To be accountable while showing care: The lived experience of people in a Servant leadership organization. *Sage Open, 8*(3), 2158244018801097.
- Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. *Academy of Management Review, 46*(1), 192-210.
- Rashid, M., Tasmin, R., Qureshi, M. I., & Shafiq, M. (2019). A PLS analysis on the relationship between Servant leadership and academicians OCB in MTUN universities. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology, 8*(5), 1360-1368.
- Rasmussen, A. (2020). Empathy: a case for selfless Leadership. *The Journal of Student Leadership, 3*(2), 27-33.
- Ravenelle, A. J. (2019). "We're not uber:" control, autonomy, and entrepreneurship in the gig economy. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*.
- Resnick, L. B., & Schantz, F. (2017). Testing, teaching, learning: who is in charge?. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 24*(3), 424-432.

- Roberts, G. (2018). Servant Leadership across cultures. *The Palgrave Handbook of workplace spirituality & fulfillment*, 271-300.
- Roberts, G. (2020). Fostering Workplace Well-Being Through Servant Leadership. *The Palgrave Handbook of Workplace Well-Being*, 1-28.
- Ross, D. B., Matteson, R. W., Sasso, M. T., & Peyton, G. L. (2020). A Remedy for Improving the Culture in Higher Education: Toxic Leadership to Servant Leadership. In *Confronting Academic Mobbing in Higher Education: Personal Accounts and Administrative Action* (pp. 159-185). IGI Global.
- Ruiz-Palomino, P., & Zoghbi-Manrique-de-Lara, P. (2020). How and when Servant leaders fuel creativity: The role of Servant attitude and intrinsic motivation. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 89, 102537.
- Sendjaya, S., Eva, N., Robin, M., Sugianto, L., ButarButar, I., & Hartel, C. (2019). Leading others to go beyond the call of duty: a dyadic study of Servant Leadership and psychological ethical climate. *Personnel Review*.
- Setyaningrum, R. P., Setiawan, M., & Irawanto, D. W. (2020). Servant Leadership Characteristics, Organisational Commitment, Followers' Trust, Employees' Performance Outcomes: A Literature Review. *European Research Studies*, 23(4), 902-911.
- Shah, M., Batool, N., & Hassan, S. (2019). The influence of Servant Leadership on loyalty and discretionary behavior of employees: Evidence from healthcare sector. *Journal of Business & Economics*, 11(2), 99-110.
- Sherman, R. O. (2019). The case for Servant Leadership.
- Shufutinsky, A., Long, B., Sibel, J. R., & Burrell, D. N. (2021). Shock Leadership: Leading Amidst Pandemics and Other Chaotic Change. In *Global Perspectives on Change Management and Leadership in the Post-COVID-19 Era* (pp. 136-159). IGI Global.
- Sikorski, G. W. (2017). What Is It Like to Work for a Servant Leader?. In *Servant Leadership and followership* (pp. 289-312). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.
- Sims, C. M. (2018). The diversity intelligent servant leader: Developing leaders to meet the needs of a diverse workforce. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 20(3), 313-330.
- Situmorang, R. B. (2019). Analysis Of The Influence Of Human Resources Competence, Motivation, Work Environment And The Role Of Leadership On The Performance Of Asset Steward In The Organization Of Regional Devices Serdang Bedagai Regency. *International Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting and Finance*, 2(1), 1-12.
- Smith, L. L. (2018). *Servant Leadership: The Relation to Organizational Citizenship Behaviors as a Positive Motivator* (Doctoral dissertation, Azusa Pacific University).
- Solinger, O. N., Jansen, P. G., & Cornelissen, J. P. (2020). The emergence of moral Leadership. *Academy of Management Review*, 45(3), 504-527.
- Sousa, M., & van Dierendonck, D. (2017). Servant Leadership and the effect of the interaction between humility, action, and hierarchical Power on follower engagement. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141(1), 13-25.
- Spring, M., & Unterhitzenberger, C. (2020). The role of operations managers in translating management ideas and practices between firms. *Production Planning & Control*, 1-16.
- Stoewen, D. L. (2017). Dimensions of wellness: Change your habits, change your life. *The Canadian veterinary journal*, 58(8), 861.
- Stollberger, J., Las Heras, M., Rofcanin, Y., & Bosch, M. J. (2019). Serving followers and family? A trickle-down model of how Servant leadership shapes employee work performance. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 112, 158-171.
- Stouten, J., & Liden, R. C. (2020). Social loafing in organizational work groups: The mitigating effect of Servant Leadership. In *Individual Motivation within Groups* (pp. 55-80). Academic Press.
- Stoyanova, T., & Iliev, I. (2017). Employee engagement factor for organizational excellence. *International Journal of Business and Economic Sciences Applied Research (IJBESAR)*, 10(1), 23-29.
- Tang, N., Zheng, X., & Chen, C. (2017). Managing Chinese diverse workforce: toward a theory of organizational inclusion. *Nankai Business Review International*.
- Tanno, J. (2017). *Servant Leadership: What makes it an effective leadership model* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).
- ten Have, H., & Neves, M. D. C. P. (2021). Stewardship. In *Dictionary of Global Bioethics* (pp. 973-973). Springer, Cham.

- Uhl-Bien, M., & Arena, M. (2018). Leadership for organizational adaptability: A theoretical synthesis and integrative framework. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 29(1), 89-104.
- Urick, M. J. (2021). Self-sacrifice. In *A Manager's Guide to Using the Force: Leadership Lessons from a Galaxy Far Far Away*. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Vaquera, E., Aranda, E., & Sousa-Rodriguez, I. (2017). Emotional challenges of undocumented young adults: Ontological security, emotional capital, and well-being. *Social Problems*, 64(2), 298-314.
- Wang, Z., Xu, H., & Liu, Y. (2018). Servant Leadership as a driver of employee service performance: Test of a trickle-down model and its boundary conditions. *Human Relations*, 71(9), 1179-1203.
- Williams, W. A., Brandon, R. S., Hayek, M., Haden, S. P., & Atinc, G. (2017). Servant Leadership and followership creativity: The influence of workplace spirituality and political skill. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*.
- Woo, E. J., & Kang, E. (2020). Environmental Issues As an Indispensable Aspect of Sustainable Leadership. *Sustainability*, 12(17), 7014.
- Wu, J., Liden, R. C., Liao, C., & Wayne, S. J. (2021). Does manager servant Leadership lead to follower serving behaviors? It depends on follower self-interest. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106(1), 152.
- Xu, A. J., & Wang, L. (2020). How and when servant leaders enable collective thriving: The role of team–member exchange and political climate. *British Journal of Management*, 31(2), 274-288.
- Yang, J., Gu, J., & Liu, H. (2019). Servant Leadership and employee creativity: The roles of psychological empowerment and work–family conflict. *Current Psychology*, 38(6), 1417-1427.
- Ye, Y., Lyu, Y., & He, Y. (2019). Servant Leadership and proactive customer service performance. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*.
- Yelamanchili, R. K. (2018). Relationship between leader behavior and subordinate intention to remain: Mediating role of critical thinking and empowerment. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 17(1), 1-15.
- Yen, C. F., & Cutrell, J. B. (2021). Antimicrobial ethicists: Making ethics explicit in antimicrobial stewardship. *Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology*, 1(1).
- Yoon, S. S., Darville, J. J., & Spann, C. S. (2021). A Literature Review of CEO Servant Leadership and Social Responsibility in American For-Profit Organizations. *Handbook of Research on Multidisciplinary Perspectives on Managerial and Leadership Psychology*, 474-489.
- Yuesti, A., Parwati, N. P. Y., Suardhika, I. N., & Latupeirissa, J. J. P. (2020). Leadership Servant And Organizational Climate. *International Journal Of Sustainability, Education, And Global Creative Economic (IJSEGCE)*, 3(1), 377-382.