

http://ijecm.co.uk/

EFFECT OF INFORMATION ASYMMETRY ON BORROWING COST AMONG MICRO-FINANCE CLIENTS IN KENYA

E. N. Muli Certified Public Accountant, Kenya muliedwins@gmail.com

W. M. Muli 🔤

University Lecturer, Kenya wmmaingi@gmail.com

Abstract

Money and credit are the lifeblood of an economy. The ability of borrowers to access credit at reasonable terms is critical to facilitate investment and commerce, and thereby sustain economic growth. The poor still find it difficult to access finances from MFIs because of the fairly high cost of loans. Most microfinance clients are information opaque and this partly explains the high risk premium attached to lending hence higher interest rates. Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to find out the effect of information asymmetry on the cost of borrowing among microfinance clients. Specifically, the study sought to find out: effect of credit history on the cost of borrowing; effect of soft information on the cost of borrowing; and, effect of borrower proximity on the cost of borrowing. The study employed a descriptive survey design. This research relied purely on primary data which was collected using a structured questionnaire. Both descriptive and inferential statistics were used for data analysis. A multiple regression model was used to estimate the relationship between information asymmetry and cost of borrowing. The study findings show that borrower credit history and soft information are significant influencers of borrowing cost among microfinance borrowers in Kenya. Favourable borrower credit history and soft information have a favourable effect of reducing the borrower cost of borrowing among microfinance borrowers in Kenya. However, the study also concludes that in the Kenyan context borrower proximity has no effect on the cost of borrowing among microfinance borrowers in Kenya. This implies that microfinance institutions in Kenya do not take into account the physical



distance of the borrower from the MFI when evaluating a loan application. The study recommends that microfinance institutions in Kenya should leverage borrower credit history and soft information to mitigate information asymmetry challenges. This practice will also be beneficial to the borrowers who possess good credit history standing and positive soft information. By extension this will make the bottom of the society (microfinance clients) to access credit at favourable terms. In turn, this will have a positive impact on the economy by mainstreaming the poor into economic participation through affordable credit which can be used to finance business operations sustainably.

Keywords: Information asymmetry, Borrowing cost, Microfinance clients, Kenya

INTRODUCTION

The role of information asymmetry in debt contracting has long been of interest to researchers in accounting and finance. Information acquisition by financial intermediaries is an essential function. It can improve the allocation of credit in the economy, and it is one of the main sources of bank profits. Better knowledge of their loan applicants allows banks to weed out low-guality projects.

The concept of asymmetric information was first introduced by Akerlof (1970) in a paper entitled The Market for "lemons". In the paper, Akerlof (1970) developed asymmetric information with the example case of automobile market. His basic argument was that in many markets the buyer uses some market statistic to measure the value of a class of goods. Thus the buyer sees the average of the whole market while the seller has more intimate knowledge of a specific item. Akerlof (1970) argued that this information asymmetry gives the seller an incentive to sell goods of less than the average market quality.

In credit markets, asymmetric information problems arise when borrowers have private information about their creditworthiness that is not observable by lenders. In general, the price of a loan is based on the lender's cost plus a risk premium. The cost of funds is often linked to a short-term market rate, which represents a common benchmark for all borrowers regardless of their credit history. Lenders will often charge an additional risk premium over the market rate as compensation for bearing the risk of slow, partial, or fully delinquent loan repayments. Some losses are expected in any risk group of loans and are in effect, paid for by the risk premium. The size of this premium depends on the lender's ability to properly assess the creditworthiness of the borrower. As a result, differences across borrowers in the final interest rate charged are based upon largely the lender's perceived repayment risk (Leitner, 2006).



When lenders lack the necessary information to distinguish between good and bad borrowers, it is said that there exist "adverse selection" and "moral hazard" problems in the market for credit. Adverse selection occurs when a borrower's private information about their own credit risk adversely affects uninformed lenders. For instance, with limited credit information, there are likely to be more bad borrowers taking loans at any given interest rate. Moral hazard entails hidden information following the extension of a loan to a borrower. For instance, if a borrower knows that a lender cannot monitor repayment behaviour, this can induce the borrower to make a material change in income or spending that affects their ability to repay the loan (Jappelli and Pagano, 2002).

Several studies have been conducted across the world on the subject of information asymmetry and borrowing. For instance in Portugal, Bonfim, Dai and Franco (2009) provided evidence on the effect of bank competition on the cost of lending, in an environment of reduced information asymmetries between firms and banks. The authors constructed a simple model linking the number of bank relationships, the cost of lending and bank competition. Banks are exposed to more competition if the firm has many ongoing bank relationships that improve her threat point when negotiating borrowing costs. Moreover, increased competition in the banking sector might mitigate (substitute) or amplify (complement) this effect. Using a unique data set from Portugal, they find that when a firm borrows from one additional bank, the interest rate on bank loans for this firm becomes 9 to 20 basis points lower on average. In addition, it is found that when local bank competition is more intense firms can benefit more from simultaneously engaging in several banking relationships, hence providing evidence of complementarity between competition and the number of bank relationships. However, these effects are not observed for the smallest and youngest firms.

Using panel data Taiwan and Huang (2014) examined the impact of information asymmetry and client credit on lending performance. The empirical results showed that: First, MLBs with good information transparency tend to establish relationships with banks that are characterized by huge losses from bad debts and from credit card lending. Second, Small foreign firms, as well as MLBs with high profitability, cash and R and D expenditure ratios prefer having relationships with banks with good lending performance and low credit risk. Third, MLBs and MSBs with poor credit records prefer having relationships with banks that have good lending performance and low credit risk.

Bolton, Freixas, Gambacorta and Mistrulli (2013), studied how relationship lending and transaction lending vary over the business cycle. They were able to study how relationship and transaction-banks responded to the crisis and tested existing theories of relationship banking. The empirical results established that relationship banks charged a higher spread before the



crisis, offered more favourable continuation-lending terms in response to the crisis, and suffered fewer defaults, thus confirming the informational advantage of relationship banking.

In Kenya, Gaitho (2013) explored the effect of credit reference bureaus (CRBs) on credit access. The study found out that CRB reduces borrowing cost and loan delinquencies to a moderate extent. It further established that CRB has enhanced effective risk identification/monitoring and microcredit extension in Kenya. It was therefore recommended that lenders and CRB should work closely to ensure that there is no information asymmetry and therefore ensure that credit flows to deserving borrowers.

In another Kenyan study, Kwambai and Wandera (2013) sought to find out the effects of credit information sharing on nonperforming loans in KCB Kenya and specifically to establish the trend of bad loans before and after the introduction of CRB, to identify the factors that account for bad loans and to determine the economic sector that records higher bad loans and the efforts taken to reduce the risk in this sector. The study concluded that credit information sharing and level of nonperforming loans are indeed related. Credit Information Sharing, increases transparency among financial institutions, helps the banks lend prudently, lowers the risk level to the banks, acts as a borrowers discipline against defaulting and it also reduces the borrowing cost, that is, interest charged on loans.

Concept of Information Asymmetry

According to Akerlof (1970) information asymmetry is a situation whereby the buyer in a market sees the average of the whole market as the basis of evaluating quality of a product while on the other hand the seller has more intimate knowledge of a specific item. Therefore, the seller is at an advantageous position because he/she has superior information concerning quality of the product as compared to the buyer. This skewed possession of information is referred to as information asymmetry. According to Leitner (2006) asymmetric information is a situation whereby borrowers have private information about their creditworthiness that is not observable by lenders. Jappelli and Pagano (2002) wrote that when lenders lack the necessary information to distinguish between good and bad borrowers, it is said that there exist "adverse selection" and "moral hazard" problems in the market for credit. For the purpose of this study the Leitner (2006) definition shall be adopted.

Information asymmetry can be measured using different parameters; Credit history, soft information and borrower proximity. Borrower credit history is typically compiled by credit reference bureaus. The purpose of the bureau is to collect, collate, analyze, and disseminate credit information among credit providers. Credit information sharing provides credit history (information capital) as an alternative form of collateral to the traditional physical collateral, to



secure credit facilities from banks. On the other hand, the bank benefits from the mechanism, since it will address the problem of information asymmetry that is typically used to raise a risk premium on loans. The problems of moral hazard and adverse selection are also minimized. Credit histories not only provide necessary input for credit underwriting, but also allow borrowers to take their credit history from one financial institution to another, thereby making lending markets more competitive and, in the end, more affordable (Muli, 2013).

An evaluation of soft information could also reduce information asymmetry. Typically, soft information is not directly verifiable by a third party and includes hypothetical and/or intangible information such as economic projections, assessments of a firm's management quality, and employee morale. Soft information is typically thought to be accessible exclusively to a primary incumbent. Therefore, this information is only accessible in the context of relationship banking (Campbell and Loumioto, 2013). Soft information can be interpreted as a private signal about the quality of a firm that is observable to a relationship bank, but not to a transaction bank. Previous research in relationship banking generally suggests that soft information can improve contracting efficiency and affect a firm's costs and/or its access to credit. Relationship lending primarily as a practice can be able to alleviate information asymmetry. It lowers information asymmetries and monitoring costs, in a competitive loan market, banks transfer monitoring cost savings to their clients in terms of lower interest rates. In particular, it is expected that reduced information asymmetries through inside information collected during face-to-face meetings are passed on to the borrower by means of a lower interest rate (Salvatore, Capasso and Gabriele, 2015).

Borrower proximity plays a role in determining the level of information asymmetry. According to Agarwal, Chomsisengphet and Souleles (2009) distance erodes lender's ability to collect proprietary intelligence and to carve out local captive markets suggesting that the requisite soft information is primarily local. Borrower proximity facilitates the collection of soft information, thereby enhancing its quality, which is consistent with the notion that banks enjoy a local informational advantage that distance erodes. Proximity facilitates the collection of soft information, leading to a trade-off in the availability and pricing of credit, which is more readily accessible to nearby firms albeit at higher interest rates ceteris paribus.

From the foregoing, for the purposes of this study, information asymmetry shall be measured using three indicators of credit history (Muli, 2013; Jonathan and Jonathan, 2001; Howorth and Moro, 2012); soft information (Campbell and Loumioto, 2013; Wanzare, 2010; Ssegguija, 2010) and borrower proximity (Agarwal, Chomsisengphet and Souleles, 2009; Dass and Mass, 2009).



Microfinance refers to small-scale financial services, primarily credit, savings and insurance. Microfinance offers poor people and micro enterprises access to basic financial services such as loans and savings. The information asymmetry is most pronounced in this borrower segment because of lack of guarantees, lack of information (short credit history) and difficulty in monitoring. In addition, these micro enterprises frequently do not possess legal documentation, properties, or regularized wages, which are demanded by traditional banking institutions (Hercules, 2006). Therefore, it would be correct to say that the bulk of microfinance clients are information opaque.

The microfinance institutions have taken different measures to mitigate the information asymmetry problem which is uniquely more pronounced among their clients. One of the key innovations towards this end is group based lending. Group-based lending, as the term already indicates, requires individuals to organize themselves into groups in order to gain access to financial services from a MFI. Normally, group-based lending works as follows. Loans are made to individuals, but all members of the group are held responsible for the loan repayment (joint liability principle). In some programs loans are given strictly for a certain period of time (usually a year), while in other programs the members are allowed to decide the loan terms themselves. Repayments are made on a weekly or monthly basis; this is done at group meetings or directly to the branches of the microfinance institution. Thus, in group-lending programs the functions of screening, monitoring and enforcing repayments is to a large extent transferred from the bank agent to group members. Peer pressure is a mechanism group members can use in the process of mitigating moral hazard and enforcing punctual repayment. In order to secure future access, members are obliged to monitor each other. Once output is realized and a member proves unwilling to repay, other members can use peer pressure and social sanctions to make him repay (Mehrteab, 2005)

Leveraging customer credit history information has also been used by MFIs to mitigate losses occasioned by information asymmetry challenges. Various theories have explored how sharing credit information can alleviate moral hazard, adverse selection and over-borrowing. Sharing this information helps lenders avoid low-quality borrowers and in turn incentivizes borrowers to stay off the blacklist. When lenders share positive information borrowers can gradually build up a valuable reputation as trustworthy borrowers (Jaap, Ralph & Matteo, 2015). If a potential client has had a bad credit record in the past, it is right for a bank to deal with such a case in a prudent manner. While some banks may abandon this type of business to avoid a high non-performing loan ration, other banks are attracted by a high loan spread, all of which depends on the banks credit policy.



Additionally, Emilio, Galariotis, Villa & Yusupov (2011) opine that the problem of information asymmetry among the low income borrowers and micro enterprises could be solved through collateral requirement. A major part of the informational problem could be avoided in theory, through the requirement of tangible collateral prior to lending such as furniture, livestock, and personal effects.

Borrowing Cost

In the strict sense of finance, cost of borrowing is that amount which is paid to the providers of capital. It either appears as a charge against the business to arrive at the profits for instance interest on debentures or it appears as form distribution of the profits e.g. dividends on preference shares and ordinary shares. The interest and the dividends both represent the cost of obtaining and using capital (Pandey, 2005).

In this study, the cost of borrowing would be defined more broadly using the parameters discussed below; higher interest (Bonfim, Dai and Franco, 2009), collateral requirement (Leinter, 2006; Stiglitz and Weiss 1981), shorter debt maturity (Moerman, 2010) and credit rationing (Amir, 2007). Interest charged on borrowed funds is the most visible element in the cost of borrowing. Bonfim, Dai and Franco (2009) wrote that the impact of information asymmetry on the cost of debt capital is that it influences the interest rate charged on the borrower. A higher bid-ask spread will apply to the borrowers the lender does not know much about. While on the other hand, borrowers with good credit history would enjoy lower interest rates. Information asymmetry is priced in terms of interest rate.

Collateral is an important element in the cost of borrowing. Most lenders normally demand for collateral in order to mitigate against information asymmetry challenges. Generally, the term collateral refers to assets pledged by a borrower to secure a loan. The lender can seize these assets if the borrower does not make the agreed-upon payments on the loan, so the lender has some protection if the borrower defaults. Therefore, the use of collateral can make it easier for borrowers to obtain loans to finance their investments (Leinter, 2006). Collateral is a mechanism that mitigates adverse selection, credit rationing, and other inefficiencies that arise when borrowers have ex ante private information. Stiglitz and Weiss (1981) explains collateral as arising from ex ante information gaps between borrowers and lenders. Specifically, when borrowers have private information regarding their project quality, the equilibrium may be characterized by adverse selection and credit rationing. The pledging of collateral may allow lenders to sort observationally equivalent loan applicants and mitigate these inefficiencies.

Debt maturity is also determined by information asymmetry. Moerman (2010) found out that information asymmetry decreases debt maturity. Furthermore, it was established that a



higher bid-ask spread on the borrower's traded loans translates into a shorter maturity of the borrower's subsequently issued loans. Therefore, borrowers with good credit history will be allowed longer repayment period as compared to information opaque borrowers.

Credit rationing is another measure used by lenders to mitigate information asymmetry challenges. Broadly speaking, 'credit rationing' refers to any situation in which lenders are unwilling to advance additional funds to a borrower even at a higher interest rate. It is a situation in which the demand for commercial loans exceeds the supply of these loans at the commercial loan rate quoted by the banks. The lender would deny credit to potential information opaque borrowers in favour of familiar borrowers (Amir, 2007).

There are various ways to mitigate information asymmetry problems in the market for credit. These measures either directly or indirectly constitute a cost to the borrower. For example, collateral can be required for a loan; the lender can add risk premium on the lending rates; the lender can ration credit when there is insufficient information about the borrower; and the lender can demand shorter repayment period. In this study these four will be used as the measures of the cost of borrowing.

Microfinance Institutions in Kenya

The microfinance sector in Kenya is fairly well developed by the Sub-Saharan Africa standards. It constitutes of a variety of organisations whose objective is to reach the bottom of the society with varied financial products. The Microfinance Act was formulated in the year 2006 to specifically regulate this sector. Previously there was no piece of regulation relevant to this sector. This led to a proliferation of a multiplicity of institutions and products aimed at this hitherto unbanked market segment. The microfinance sector in Kenya has largely developed under this scenario (Darko, 2013)

K-rep was the pioneer microfinance institution in Kenya. It was established in the year 1984 as a non-governmental organization (NGO) before evolving into a microfinance institution (MFI). The conversion from NGO into MFI was motivated by the need to enhance capacity to mobilise savings from the public for onward lending. The records kept by the Association of Microfinance Institutions (AMFI) indicate that today we have 49 registered MFIs in Kenya.

Statement of Research Problem

According to Sabana (2003) microfinance is very critical in any economy because it helps in mainstreaming the poor into economic participation through provision of credit and other financial services. However, the poor still find it difficult to access finances from MFIs because of the fairly high cost of loans. Most microfinance clients are information opaque and this partly



explains the high risk premium attached to lending hence higher interest rates (Thomas and Worrall, 2000).

The provision of microcredits to poor people has been shown to help people work their own way out of poverty. In fact, Microcredits have become a prime component of development strategy worldwide, and the UN nominated year 2005 to be the year of microcredit. However, there are many factors to be considered in order to develop a sustainable microcredit program reaching poor. Despite being hailed as the refuge for the poor, MFIs have been accused of levying higher interest rates as compared to the mainstream commercial banks besides demanding collateral and shorter maturity periods. The high interest rates could be motivated by the fact that there are high cost associated with screening the information opaque loan applicants and enforcing repayment (Bellucci, Borisov & Zazzaro, 2013).

Therefore, it is critical that a study be carried out to identify and measure the entire borrowing cost imposed by the MFIs on the poor borrowers and micro enterprises. In order to operate their businesses sustainably, the borrowing cost must be reasonably affordable. The study will offer insights and recommendations on how MFIs could lower the borrowing cost in the face of information asymmetry. No previous studies have attempted to measure the entirety of this cost and offer suggestions for a reduction.

Studies across the world have been undertaken to evaluate the effect of information asymmetry on the cost of borrowing. Moerman (2010) evaluated the impact of information asymmetry on debt pricing (interest rate) and maturity. This study relied on only a single measure of information asymmetry namely credit history; Bonfim, Dai and Franco (2009) investigated the impact of information asymmetry on the cost of debt capital (interest rate). In this study the author relied on only one measure of borrowing cost namely interest rates; Leinter (2006) evaluated the influence of information asymmetry on collateral requirements. The researcher measured the cost of borrowing using collateral requirement only; Amir (2007) analyzed the effect of information asymmetry on credit rationing. In this study the cost of borrowing was measured using only one indicator - credit ration; In Kenyan Kwambai and Wandera (2013) sought to find out the effects of credit information sharing on nonperforming loans in KCB Kenya. The study evaluated the cost of information asymmetry to the lender as opposed to the borrowers; in another study Gaitho (2013) explored the effect of credit reference bureaus (CRBs) on credit access in Kenya. In this study information asymmetry was purely measured using credit history.

All the different measures aimed at protecting the lender against information asymmetry namely higher interest, collateral requirement, shorter debt maturity and credit rationing constitute a cost to the borrower. No empirical study has tried to comprehensively measure the



cost of information asymmetry to the borrowers of funds. Most studies done previously have only focused on the cost the borrower suffers as a result of a risk premium being added on the interest rate charged (hence the borrower being charged a higher interest rate). This study intended to broadly capture all indicators of cost to the borrower including: requirement for collateral, shorter debt maturity, credit rationing, and higher interest rate. The study sought to answer the question: what is the effect of information asymmetry on the cost of borrowing among microfinance clients?

RELATED LITERATURE

In this section, previous related studies are evaluated. The discussion focuses on the study objectives, context, methodologies employed, and the findings. But most important the knowledge gaps in those studies are brought out.

Credit History and the Borrowing Cost

Employing information asymmetry indicators based on the World Bank's Doing Business survey, Barbosa and Marcal (2011) investigated the role that information asymmetry plays in bank spreads. The dependent variable was a country's average bank spread, selected to measure the cost of bank loans in the credit market, independent variables included information rate, degree of coverage of the population of public credit bureaus and degree of coverage of the population of private credit bureaus. Control variables used included the country's tax burden, equity capital requirements, inflation, default level and guality of the legal system. The number of countries with prime rate data information recorded in the IMF and World Bank databases for the years under study defined the sample size. Based on a universe of 196 countries with a total of 980 notes, a sample was selected containing 14 countries with valid data, with recorded loan rates intended for a lower-risk public (prime rates) with 70 notes from 2002 to 2006. The results showed that the existence of a lesser degree of information asymmetry in credit markets reduces bank spreads. This conclusion was obtained based on a study of prime loan rates. The effect would be between a 2% to 4% permanent reduction in spreads. The relation was obtained based on econometric panel data models with static effects. This study only used one measure of borrowing cost – bank spreads (interest rates). The current study will measure cost of borrowing more broadly.

In Kenya, Ngugi (2001) sought to explain the factors determining interest rate spread for Kenya's banking sector. In the data it was assumed that interest rate depends on demand for investment funds and other composite variables including the alternative sources. The data



consisted of monthly observations of Treasury bill rates, commercial bank loans and deposits, lending rates, inter-bank rates, provision for bad loans, and liquidity and cash ratios. These data were obtained from the Central Bank of Kenya. The sample ran from July 1991 to December 1999 for all data set except the inter-bank rate, which was only available from April 1993. A regression model was run to establish the relationships. The results indicated that banks factored information asymmetry risk in pricing the loans. To cover credit risk, banks charge a premium whose size depends on the bank credit policy. Customers with good credit history normally enjoy lower interest rates and vice versa. This study evaluated interest rate as the only indicator of borrowing cost.

In China, Huang et al. (2014) conducted a narrative literature review using financing theories of SMEs information asymmetry and credit rationing theories to analyze the financing difficulties for SMEs. The researchers concluded that information asymmetry leads to adverse selection and moral hazard and hence higher interest rates. This makes a large number of SMEs to have no access to loans since banks are reluctant to lend. There is increased transaction cost associated with information search in a situation of information asymmetry. Where credit history information is not available, the bank has to take efforts in terms of money and time to search for information which can reflect the real situation of the enterprise to ensure the safety and efficiency of loans and prevent borrower default. These information varying from financial statements, the credit rating level to quality of management, cash flow, business prospects, and so forth. However, information related to this is not easy to obtain in the credit market, because most of it is highly internalized and not accessible, which will drive up the cost of information searching. This cost is factored in determination of the interest rates.

Howorth and Moro (2012) examined whether lending manager's assessments of the trustworthiness of SME owner managers are associated with interest rate charged. Data were obtained from a survey of lending managers from small banks in North East Italy. The dependent variable was interest rates paid by each SME on their overdraft as reported by the bank lending manager. The independent variable was trust which was measured using the manager's ability to manage business, benevolence and integrity. Market power of the bank was used as a control variable. The data was collected on a random sample of customers, resulting in 365 small firms representing a 74% response rate. Multivariate regression analysis found evidence of a negative association between trustworthiness and interest rates. This study purely used interest rate as the cost of borrowing.

Jonathan and Jonathan (2001) examined the proposition that informal forms of finance might play a significant role in overcoming both information asymmetry and the finance gap. The aims of the research were achieved by means of a two-stage approach. Firstly, grounding



interviews were conducted with providers and recipients of finance, as well as advisors and intermediaries in the small business finance market. Secondly, 1 000 small UK firms were surveyed to investigate the patterns in financing as well as difficulties encountered in the financing process. The exploratory nature of this initial research phase favored a methodological approach that was inductive in nature. Consequently, a qualitative, interview-based approach was adopted. The study concluded that the loan provider can reduce credit risk by carefully screening firms at the outset and monitoring projects during the life of the loan. However, screening and monitoring are high cost activities associated with the lending proposition. If the lender is to recoup these costs then borrower interest rates may be increased, additional risk may be covered by demanding collateral or may be avoided altogether by rejecting the loan application. To summarize, the general problem of information asymmetry can manifest itself in one of three ways: acceptance of the loan application but at a higher than risk-adjusted interest rate; acceptance but with strict collateral requirements; or outright rejection of the loan application. The study identified the measures taken by lenders to resolve information asymmetry problems but did not measure the total cost occasioned by these measures to the borrower.

Soft Information and Cost of Borrowing

Campbell and Loumioto (2013) studied the portability of soft information in a decentralized financial institution. Using unique data on lending decisions made by employees in a highly decentralized financial services organization, the authors showed that a monitoring system which captures soft information for vertical communication (to superiors) purposes also facilitates the horizontal communication of soft information (across employees) for decision making purposes. The research site for the study was a federal credit-union with approximately \$1.6 billion in assets and 140 000 customers, and 23 branches operating in a single state in the US. The primary data for the study came from the organizations internal lending, personnel, and customer records during the period 2008 – 2010. Throughout this period, this organization when compared to a peer group of same-state credit unions or to a national peer group of similar size, has consistently ranked in the top 15% in productivity, loan default rates (2nd lowest), and overall performance (return -on- assets). The study employed an OLS model in the analysis. The results provide evidence that the "stock" of soft information accumulated in this system has persistent effects on the lending decisions of employees. Employees rely on this information to increase access to credit for borrowers, provide more favorable pricing terms, and reduce the ex post risk of their lending decisions.



Wanzare (2010) used US loan-level data set between 2000 - 2015 identified soft information and its impact on borrower default. Soft information was described as information that is hard to express numerically and is only known by the lender exposed to it. The study analyzed the impact of soft information on the mortgage rate and its effect on borrower default. A regression model was used in the analysis. The study finds out that soft information is a positive and significant predictor of borrower default and that soft information gathered by lenders is an input into the pricing of the loan.

Aurizion, et al. (2012) studied how access to bank lending during financial crisis differed between family and non-family firms. The theoretical underpinning of the study was that the presence of a family block-holder in the company attenuates the agency conflict in the borrowerlender relation, because of higher non-monetary costs of default entailed in this type of corporate ownership structure. Because this information is to a large extent soft, the researchers further investigated the interaction between the family firm status and the screening technology adopted by the banks. The dependent variable was the difference in net interest rate charged and the time difference in the collateral-ratio before and after the crisis. Dummy variable distinguishing family and non-family firms was used as the independent variable. The study finds that family firms experienced a contraction in credit granted than non-family firms. The results are robust to ex-ante differences between the two types of firms and to bankspecific firms. Banks that increased the role of soft information in their lending practiced reallocated credited towards family firms.

In Uganda, Sseggujja (2010) investigated the relationship between relationship lending, transaction costs and lending interest rates. Relationship lending was measured using: multiple banking relationships, duration of the relationships, pre-existing relationship and trust. Primary data was collected from 14 commercial banks in Uganda and their borrowers. A sample of 225 was drawn from the population of 566 medium and large sized borrowing enterprises and bank employees of credit departments. Regression model was the tool of analysis. The study findings reveal that relationship lending has a significant negative effect on lending interest rates and transaction costs. Therefore, the study concludes that relationship lending and transaction costs have a role in commercial bank pricing and loan application acceptance decision making.

Relationship lending is theoretically expected to reduce asymmetric information, which potentially creates benefits for borrowers. However, empirical evidence is mixed. This motivated Kysucky and Norden (2013) to conduct a meta-analysis to summarize and explain the heterogeneity in the results in the literature using hand-collected information from 101 studies in the U.S., Europe, Asia and Latin America from 1970-2010. The study finds that strong relationships are generally beneficial for borrowers but lending outcomes differ across the



relationships' dimensions. Long-lasting, exclusive and synergy-creating bank relationships are associated with higher credit volume and lower loan rates. These benefits are more likely in the United States of America and in countries where bank competition is high. The higher the deposits to GDP ratio, the higher the importance of SMEs in an economy; suggesting that a higher prevalence if relationship lending does not necessarily come along with higher benefits for borrowers.

Borrower Proximity and Cost of Borrowing

Bellucci et al. (2013) explored the effects of bank-borrower physical proximity on price and nonprice aspects of small business lending in local credit markets in Italy. The study used price and availability as the dependent variables. The independent variable was the distance between the bank and each borrower. The study also used a broad set of control variable reflecting borrower characteristics and the nature of bank-borrower interaction. Relationships were estimated using ordinary least squares method. The data used was collected over the period 2004 - 2006. Along the price dimension, the analysis revealed that interest rates increase with bank-borrower distance and decrease with the distance between bank and other competing banks. Along the quantity dimension, it was observed that more distant borrowers are more likely to experience binding credit limits. Results also showed that quantity effects of bank-borrower distance are concentrated among less transparent firms.

Commercial banks acquire inside information about the firms they led to. Dass and Mass (2009) studied the impact of this informational privilege position on the borrowing firm using a broad panel of U.S. firms over the 1993 – 2004 period. The strength of bank-firm relationship was measured by bank-firm proximity, size of the loan, and the lender's insider potential. Proximity was defined as the geographical proximity between the borrower and the lender, the significance of the loan to the borrowing firm's finances was measured using the loan-to-asset ratio, and the bank's insider potential was measured by the equity ownership of the bank in the borrowing firm. A cross-sectional econometric model was employed in the study. It was found out that simultaneously; proximity and loan significance should benefit the borrower's governance. Proximity directly affects the bank's information-gathering and monitoring ability and hence the interest rates. The results showed that a stronger relationship, by inducing better monitoring, improves borrower's corporate governance. Simultaneously, it makes the bank a potentially more informed agent in the equity market. This information asymmetry increases adverse selection for the other market participants and lowers the firm's stock liquidity. This trade-off between improved corporate governance and greater information asymmetry affects the firm's value.



Ostromogolsky (2017) conducted a study on the relationship between the borrowing choices made by small businesses and their loan origination costs in the United States. The researcher made a comparison between firms that borrow from a previously unused financial institution with firms that borrow from a financial institution with which they have a pre-existing financial relationship. The study assessed the causal effect of borrowing from a new lender on small businesses' up-front closing costs. Loan origination cost was defined as the total dollar amount of fees paid by a borrower to apply for and obtain a loan at the time of origination. Simple cross sectional regression showed that small businesses that turn to new financial institution paid \$5 650 to 6 740 more in closing costs than firms that return to a previously used institution. The study also studied a natural quasi-experiment wherein the treatment of borrowing from a new financial institution was close to was randomly assigned. A unique group of small firms businesses that selected a lender based on the lender's distance to the firm was considered. Data of firms that select a lender based on proximity revealed that borrowing from a new financial institution significantly raises a firm's loan origination costs.

Knowledge Gap

An evaluation of the previous studies shows that they made a good attempt at explaining the relationship between information asymmetry and borrowing cost. However, there exists a contextual gap in the sense that there seems no study evaluated the effect of information asymmetry on borrowing cost among MFI clients in Kenya. Most of MFI clients are generally information opaque because they do not have prior borrowing record besides not keeping business records. In addition, it should not be assumed that the results obtained in other contexts can be generalized into the Kenyan context. This is as evidenced by Kysucky and Norden (2013) who noted that the benefits of information availability in influencing lending cost are more prominent in developed countries where bank competition is high as opposed to developing countries.

A critical look at the previous studies also shows that they did not comprehensively operationalize the study variables. For instance, most studies only used credit history as the only indicator of information asymmetry. Majority of studies also relied on a single measure of borrowing cost namely interest rates. This study measured the two variables in a broader sense. Information asymmetry was measured using: credit history, soft information and borrower-lender proximity. While borrowing cost was measured using: interest rates, collateral requirement, debt maturity and credit rationing.



CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND VARIABLE OPERATIONALIZATION

The conceptual framework in Figure 1 illustrates the relationship among study variables:

In this study, the dependent variable is cost of borrowing. This variable was measured using: interest rates, collateral requirement, debt maturity, and credit rationing. The independent variable in this study was information asymmetry. This variable was measured using: credit history, soft information, and borrower proximity.



Operationalization of Variables

The following table shows how the study variables will be measured, measurement scale to be used and the corresponding questions in the questionnaire.

Variable	Variable type	Indicators	Measurement scale	No. of Questions in questionnaire
Information	Independent	Credit history	Interval/Ordinal	Question 7
asymmetry	Independent	Soft information	Ordinal/Interval	Question 8
	Independent	Borrower proximity	Ordinal/Interval	Question 9
Borrowing Cost	Dependent	Interest rate	Ordinal/Interval	Question 10
		Collateral		
		requirement		
		Credit rationing		
		Debt maturity		

Table 1 Operationalization of the study variables



METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopted a descriptive survey research design. A descriptive study is done so as to be able to give a rundown of the attributes of the study's variables and answer the research questions. According to Best and Khan (2009) a descriptive survey involves presentation of an issue highlighting its state, customs, opinions, operations, associations or directions. It involves collecting information about existing circumstances or situations with the objective of explanation and exposition. Aggarwal (2008) adds that this research method is not simply about assembling and arranging facts but involves proper examination, explanation, collation, determination of directions and associations.

Consistent with the foregoing explanation about the meaning of a descriptive survey design, the purpose of this study will be to analyse and identify relationships between information asymmetry and borrowing costs among microfinance clients in different MFIs. This justifies the choice of this design. A descriptive survey method was used to collect data from MFIs operators registered with the Association of Microfinance Institutions (AMFI) and subsequently analysed the same to make sense of relationships.

Target Population

This study sought to find out the effect of information asymmetry on the borrowing cost among MFIs borrowers. Therefore, the target population of this study was all the microfinance institutions operating in Kenya as captured in the Association of Microfinance Institutions (AMFI) data base. Currently (the year 2017) we have 49 MFIs that are registered with AMFI. See appendix 1 for the sample frame. These will form the total population of this study. The unit of analysis was the individual microfinance institutions. One key informant respondent per MFI was targeted. The respondent was the MFI's credit manager. This was chosen as the respondent because s/he is in charge of appraising loan applicants and determining whether the application should be accepted or declined. The officer also determines the loan terms in relation to interest rate, collateral requirement and loan maturity.

Sample Size and Sampling Procedure

The sample size was determined using a mathematical model propounded by the Air University of USA (2002). The model is specified as follows:

 $n = {NZ^2 \times 0.25} / {[d^2 \times (N-1)] + [Z^2 \times 0.25]}$

Where; n = sample size, N = sample frame, d = precision level, Z = number of standard deviationunits corresponding to confidence level, 1 = mathematical constant.



According to the authors, this model is appropriate for determining sample size if you plan to report results in a variety of ways. Some formulas are relevant where the researcher wants to report results descriptively while other formulas are applicable where the reporting will be done using both descriptive and inferential statistics. In this study the results will be reported by use of both descriptive and inferential statistics, hence choice of the above model. The total population (N) is 49 and the researcher will wish for 95% confidence level and \pm 5 percent precision level (d = 0.05, Z = 1.96). Accordingly, the sample size shall be:

 $N = \{49 \times 1.96^{2} \times 0.25\} / \{[0.05^{2} \times (49-1)] + [1.96^{2} \times 0.25]\} = 43.56^{2} 44$

This model has previously been applied in various studies to compute sample size, for instance; Muli (2011) and Gupta et al. (2005). The researcher adopted simple random sampling procedure to select the 44 sample from the population list focusing on only those MFIs that have branches within Nairobi County for the researcher's convenience in terms of time and financial constraints. Simple random sampling is appropriate if the elements constituting the population are similar. In this study there was no need to stratify the population constituent elements because the elements are considered uniform and hence the researcher intended to randomly select 44 MFIs from the total population.

Research Instrument

A five point structured likert scale questionnaire was used for the purposes of data collection. The point of scale indicates the degree of agreement level of the respondent to the statement indicating the effects of information asymmetry on the cost of borrowing. The questionnaire comprises questions related to credit history, soft information and borrower proximity, and how these affects borrowing cost.

In addition, the questionnaire also has further questions that measures the following demographic aspects: age of the MFI, size of the MFI as measured using number of branches, type of the MFI – whether deposit taking or not, lending modality – whether individual or group lending, whether the MFI uses credit reference bureau services or not, and whether the MFI has a dedicated relationship banking section.

Validity and Reliability of the Instrument

Validity shows the extent to which a tool measures the construct being investigated (Gall. et al., 2003). The researcher used intelligible language in constructing the data collection tools such that the questions were understandable and simple for the respondents to comprehend and offer appropriate responses. The researcher ensured that the questions asked spoke to the objectives of the study.



The questionnaire was pilot-tested to guarantee that the instruments ensured coherence and pertinence. This involved administering the questionnaire in the filed on a sample of MFIs before the actual study. Any problems in the sample questionnaire were identified and rectified before the actual study. The views of the respondents were considered in improving the tool.

Reliability indicates the extent of internal consistency or dependability of a tool over time. It shows the exactness and meticulousness of the measurement technique (Kothari, 2004). In this study, the reliability of the questionnaire was tested using the Cronbach alpha coefficient. According to Gliem and Gliem (2003) Cronbach alpha is the best measure of internal consistency of a measurement instrument. The cut-off point for making a conclusion about the reliability of a tool is the alpha coefficient should be ≥ 0.70 .

Data Collection Procedure

This study collected quantitative data using a self-administered questionnaire. The researcher informed the respondents that the instruments being administered was for research purpose only and the responses from the respondents would be kept secret and confidential.

The researcher obtained an introductory letter from the University to collect data from the MFIs and with the help of research assistants deliver the questionnaires to the respondents and have them filled and then collect them later: the drop and pick later method.

Data Analysis

Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyze the sample data to explain and make abstractions of the population phenomenon. The collected data was checked for completeness, edited, coded and then entered into the computer. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the data and establish characteristics of the study population. The tools of analysis used were frequency distributions and percentages. Mean was computed to show the average opinion consensus on every likert scale question asked, while standard deviation was calculated to show the extent of divergence in the opinion among the respondents.

To establish the effect of information asymmetry on the cost of borrowing, a multiple regression model was used. The variables are a mixture scale of ordinal and interval measurements. The model is specified as follows:

 $CR = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CH + \beta_2 SI + \beta_3 BP + \varepsilon$ Where: CR= Dependent variable (Cost of borrowing) β_0 = constant term $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ and $\beta_4 > 0$ are regression coefficients



 ε = Stochastic term. CH = credit history SI = soft information BP = borrower proximity

The regression coefficients tested the unique effect of each independent variable. Significance of the regression coefficients was tested using the P values. The corresponding probability value (P value) for each coefficient was used to test the significance of regression coefficients at 5% significance level. P value measures the probability of committing type II error. It is the lowest significance level at which the null hypothesis can be rejected.

The ANOVA F statistic test was used to test whether the model as a whole is significant. In other words, do the independent variables, taken together, predict the dependent variable better than just predicting the mean of everything? R squared shall be used to test explanatory power of the model.

Regression diagnostics play a vital role in finding and validating a good predictive relationship between the dependent and independent variables. Since this is a cross sectional study only two diagnostic tests were performed namely normality test and multicollinearity test. Histograms and measures of skewness and kurtosis were used to measure normality of the data. Multicollinearity occurs when two or more predictors in the model are correlated and provide redundant information about the response. Pearson correlation was used to test the strength of correlation between the predictor variables. The rule of the thumb is that a correlation of more than 0.7 is an indication of severe multicollinearity (Shen and Gao, 2008). The solution for this problem is to drop the affected variable.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Response Rate

The study targeted a sample size of 44 respondents from different microfinance institutions (MFIs). The questionnaire was supposed to be filled by the credit manager/officer from each MFI. In this study 32 dully filled questionnaires were received from the respondents. This represents 73% of the targeted sample size. The response rate conforms to Mugenda and Mugenda (2003) stipulation that a response rate of 70% and over is acceptable.

Reliability of the Data

The quality of data is as good as the tool used to collect the data. To achieve reliability of the data, the researcher computed Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient. This statistic was used to



ascertain internal consistency of the Likert scale questionnaire used in data collection. The general rule of thumb is that a coefficient of not less than 0.7 lends credence to the internal consistency of items used to measure the construct (Gliem & Gliem, 2003). Alpha values were estimated scale-wise (That's separately for credit history, soft information and borrower proximity). The analysis resulted in alpha coefficients higher than 0.70 in all the three cases. The results are as captured in table 2 below.

Construct validity was demonstrated by high correlations between the items that comprised the constructs. This is a strong indication that the data collection tool used was reliable. Cronbach alpha is a reliability coefficient based on the average covariance among items in a scale. The average correlation of an item with all other items in the scale articulates the extent of the common entity.

Table 2 Cronbach alpha coefficients					
Reliability Stat	tistics (credit hist	ory)			
	Cronbach's				
	Alpha Based on				
Cronbach's	Standardized				
Alpha	Items	N of Items			
.906	.925	4			
Reliability Stat	tistics (soft inforn	nation)			
	Cronbach's				
	Alpha Based on				
Cronbach's	Standardized				
Alpha	Items	N of Items			
.798	798 .819 4				
Reliability Stat	tistics (borrower	proximity)			
	Cronbach's				
	Alpha Based on				
Cronbach's	Standardized				
Alpha	Items	N of Items			
.945	.967	4			



Demographic Information

The demographic information of interest in this study was organization type, age of the MFI, number of branches, lending modalities, and the use of credit reference bureaus in credit policy. These demographics are discussed below:

Organization Type

The results of the study showed that 53 percent of the randomly sampled MFIs were nondeposit taking while 47 percent are microfinance banks (meaning that they are allowed to mobilize deposits from the public). Traditionally, MFIs in Kenya have not been allowed to mobilize savings from the public until the year 2006 when the microfinance Act was enacted. These results show a trend of MFIs increasingly embracing deposit taking in light of the enabling legislation. However, a big portion of MFIs are yet to embrace deposit taking. Public savings in MFIs is usually the main source of funds for lending purposes. The results are summarized in table below

Table 3	Organization	type
---------	--------------	------

Organization type							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent		
Valid	Non-deposit taking MFI	17	53.1	53.1	53.1		
	Microfinance Banks	15	46.9	46.9	100.0		
	Total	32	100.0	100.0			

Age of the MFI

The results of this study showed that approximately 69 percent of the MFIs in Kenya have not been in existence for more than ten years. Only 31 percent of MFIs in Kenya have been in existence for more than 10 years. The proliferation of MFIs in the last ten years can be possibly explained the enactment of the Microfinance Act (2006) which was done roughly ten years back.

	Table 4 MFIs Age Distribution							
Age	3							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent			
Valid	1 – 5	9	28.1	28.1	28.1			
	6 – 10	13	40.6	40.6	68.8			
	Above 10	10	31.3	31.3	100.0			
	Total	32	100.0	100.0				



MFI branch network

Most MFIs in Kenya are fairly small in size with 63 percent of them having less than 10 branches country wide. Only 22 percent of the MFIs have more than 10 branches countrywide. This implies that a satisfactory MFI outreach in the country is yet to be attained. However, the tremendous proliferation on new MFIs in the last 10 years is a good indication. The summary of MFI branch coverage is outlined in table below:

Numb	Number of Branches						
					Cumulative		
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent		
Valid	Less than 10	20	62.5	62.5	62.5		
	10 – 20	5	15.6	15.6	78.1		
	More than 20	7	21.9	21.9	100.0		
	Total	32	100.0	100.0			

Table 5 MFI branch networ

MFI Lending Modalities

In this study a question was posed to the respondent as to whether the MFI lends to individual borrowers, group of borrowers (group lending) or adopts both approaches. Approximately 56 percent of the MFIs use group lending modality, only 44 percent of the MFIs use individual lending approach exclusively. Most MFIs adopt the group lending approach because it minimizes default risk due to peer pressure among the group members (Table 6).

	I			uanty				
Lendi	Lending modality							
					Cumulative			
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent			
Valid	Individual Lending	14	43.8	43.8	43.8			
	Group Lending	16	50.0	50.0	93.8			
	Both	2	6.3	6.3	100.0			
	Total	32	100.0	100.0				

Table 6 MELlending Modality

Use of Credit Reference Bureau by MFIs

The researcher sought to find out the extent to which MFIs have adopted the use of credit reference bureaus in their lending framework. The results showed that 81 percent of MFIs in Kenya use the services of credit reference bureaus in determining the borrower credit



worthiness. This shows the centrality of credit history in evaluating a loan applicant among MFIs in Kenya. Table 7 below shows the results.

	Table 7 MFT use of credit reference bureaus					
MFI uses credit reference bureaus in lending policy						
					Cumulative	
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent	
Valid	No	6	18.8	18.8	18.8	
	Yes	26	81.3	81.3	100.0	
	Total	32	100.0	100.0		

Table 7 MFI use of credit reference bu	rea
--	-----

Descriptive Analysis

In this section, the study presents summary information about the opinion of respondents on different constructs in the form of descriptive statistics. Generally, there were varied opinions on the role of each independent variable in influencing the dependent variable.

Influence of credit history on the borrowing cost

Table 8a gives a summary of respondents' opinions on the effect of credit history on the borrowing cost. The results show a divided opinion, while 37.5 percent of the respondents agreed that a good credit history translates into relatively lower interest rates being charged, an equivalent number of respondents held the contrary opinion (disagreed). The results also indicated that 21.9 percent of the respondents opined that credit history moderately influences the cost of borrowed. When the researcher lumps together those that agreed with those who moderately agreed the cumulative percentage of this category is 59.4 percent. This implies that credit history is an important determinant of the interest levied on borrower - that is, a better credit history is likely to translate into lower interest rates.

Credit	Credit history						
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent		
Valid	Strongly Disagree	1	3.1	3.1	3.1		
	Disagree	12	37.5	37.5	40.6		
	Moderate	7	21.9	21.9	62.5		
	Agree	12	37.5	37.5	100.0		
	Total	32	100.0	100.0			

Table 8a Effect of credit history on borrowing cost

The mean value of 2.9375 \approx 3.000, as captured in table 8b below shows that the general opinion on average was that credit history has an effect on borrowing cost to a moderate extent. Note that the vale 3 corresponds to the opinion "Moderate". However, the standard deviation value of 0.94826 (not close to zero) is an indication that there was a diversity of opinion on whether or not credit history affects borrowing cost and to what extent. Note that a standard deviation value of zero would imply there was 100% consensus on the respondents' opinion.

	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation
-	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic
Credit history	32	2.9375	.94826
Valid N (listwise)	32		

Table 8b Mean and standard deviation

Influence of soft information on borrowing cost

This study sought respondents' opinion on whether soft information has influence on the cost of borrowing. In this respect there was also no consensus. Generally, 46.9 percent (21.9 + 21.9 + 3.1) of the respondents agreed that borrower soft information in possession of the MFI has an influence on the cost of lending. Possession of positive soft information has an effect of reduced borrowing cost and vice versa. On the other hand, 53.1 percent (15.6 + 37.5) of respondents disagreed that soft information has an effect on the borrowing cost. This implies that there is polarized opinion on this issue.

Soft ir	nformation					
					Cumulative	
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent	
Valid	Strongly Disagree	5	15.6	15.6	15.6	
	Disagree	12	37.5	37.5	53.1	
	Moderate	7	21.9	21.9	75.0	
	Agree	7	21.9	21.9	96.9	
	Strongly Agree	1	3.1	3.1	100.0	
	Total	32	100.0	100.0		

Table 9a Effect of soft information on borrowing cost

The researcher obtained further information by computing the mean and standard deviation of the responses. As seen in table, the average consensus lied at 2.5937 ≈ 3.000. The interpretation of this is that the respondents agreed to a moderate extent that soft information



has an effect on the borrowing cost. However, a standard deviation score of 1.10306 implies that there was a polarized opinion on this issues, that is, the respondents held extreme opinions on both ends. These measures of central tendency and dispersion are shown in table below.

Descriptive Statistic	s		
	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation
Soft information	32	2.5937	1.10306
Valid N (listwise)	32		

Table 9b Mean and standard deviation

Effect of Borrower Proximity on borrowing cost

In this study it was hypothesized that borrower proximity has a bearing on the cost of borrowing. In response to this question, 90.6 percent of the respondents disagreed that borrower proximity affects borrowing cost. On the other hand, 9.4% agreed that borrower proximity affects borrowing cost. These results are shown in table 10a below.

Borro	wer proximity				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Strongly Disagree	13	40.6	40.6	40.6
	Disagree	16	50.0	50.0	90.6
	Moderate	1	3.1	3.1	93.8
	Strongly Agree	2	6.3	6.3	100.0
	Total	32	100.0	100.0	

Table 10a Influence of borrower proximity on borrowing cost

On average, the respondents disagreed that borrower proximity affects borrowing cost. This is as evidenced by the mean score of 1.8125 \approx 2.000. Note that the value 2 corresponds to the opinion "Disagree". Furthermore, a standard deviation value of 0.99798 (far from zero) shows that there was a divided opinion on this question.

Descriptive Statistics			
	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation
Borrower proximity	32	1.8125	.99798
Valid N (listwise)	32		

Table 10b Mean and standard deviation



Regression Model

An estimation of the relationship between the independent variables and the dependent variable was conducted using a regression model. In this study, borrowing cost was the dependent variable while the independent variables included credit history, soft information, and borrower proximity.

Regression Coefficients

				Standardized		
		Unstandardiz	ed Coefficients	Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	887	.223		-3.977	.000
	СН	.703	.138	.469	5.095	.000
	SI	.720	.135	.586	5.338	.000
	BP	090	.112	063	799	.431

Table 11 Regression coefficients

The estimated regression equation takes the form: CR= -0.887 + 0.46CH+0.586SI - 0.063BP The results show that credit history and soft information have a significant influence on the borrowing cost among MFI borrowers. This is as supported by the P values of 0.000 for the variables regression coefficients. However, borrower proximity has not relationship with the borrowing cost. This is as evidenced by the regression coefficient's P value of 0.431 which is way above the benchmark significance value of α = 0.05.

Both credit history and soft information have a favourable influence on the borrowing cost. That is to imply that any positive information as regards credit history and soft information leads to reduced borrowing cost. The positive regression coefficients of 0.469 and 0.586 for credit history and soft information respectively attest to this. The reduced borrowing cost could come in the form of lower interest rates, non-requirement of collateral, non-credit rationing and longer repayment periods.

Model Explanatory Power

The regression model explanatory power was measured using R squared. The results are shown below in table 12.



Model	Summai	ry							
					Change Statistics				
		R	Adjusted R	Std. Error of	R Square				Sig. F
Model	R	Square	Square	the Estimate	Change	F Change	df1	df2	Change
1	.969 ^a	.938	.932	.37136	.938	142.265	3	28	.000
a. Pre	edictors:	(Constan	t), BP, CH, SI						

Table 12 Model summarv

The results show that 93.20% of the changes in the borrowing cost among the MFI borrowers is explained by credit history and soft information. This as evidenced by the R squared value of 0.932 and a significant P value of 0.000.

Significance of the Overall Model

The significance of the overall model was tested using the F-statistic. The results indicate that all the independent variables are a good joint predictor of the dependent variable. From the following ANOVA table, the F-statistic value obtained was 142.265 which was significant at α = 0.05 as supported by the p-value of 0.000.

58.857	3	19.619	142.265	.000 ^b
0.004				
3.861	28	.138		
62.719	31			
	62.719	62.719 31	62.719 31	62.719 31

Table 13 One Way ANOVA

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary of the Findings

Money and credit are the lifeblood of an economy. The ability of borrowers to access credit at reasonable terms is critical to facilitate investment and commerce, and thereby sustain economic growth. Most microfinance clients are information opaque and this partly explains the high risk premium attached to lending hence higher interest rates. Therefore, the poor still find it difficult to access finances from MFIs because of the fairly high cost of loans. MFIs usually take different measures aimed at protecting the lender against information asymmetry namely higher interest, collateral requirement, shorter debt maturity and credit rationing which constitute a cost



to the borrower. This study sought to find out the effect of information asymmetry on the cost of borrowing among the microfinance clients in Kenya.

The first objective of the study was to find out the effect of credit history on the cost of borrowing among MFI clients in Kenya. The regression equation model results revealed that credit history has a significant effect on the borrowing cost among the MFI clients. The regression analysis returned a positive coefficient of + 0.46 (P value = 0.000). This means that a good credit history has the effect of accessing credit at lower borrowing cost among the MFIs borrowers in Kenya. These findings are as corroborated by Barbosa and Marcal (2011), Ngugi (2001), Howorth and Moro (2012), and Huang et al. (2014).

The second objective of the study was to find out the effect of soft information on the cost of borrowing among microfinance borrowers in Kenya. The analysis showed that soft information has a significant effect on the cost of borrowing among the microfinance borrowers in Kenya. The regression results returned a positive coefficient of +0.586 (P value = 0.000). This implies that favorable soft information has the effect of lowering the borrowing cost among the MFI borrowers in Kenya. These results are similar to those obtained by Campbell and Loumioto (2013), Wanzare (2010), Aurizion, et al. (2012), and Sseggujja (2010). However, Kysucky and Norden (2013) after conducting a meta-analysis concluded that higher prevalence of relationship lending (soft information) does not necessarily come along with higher benefits for borrowers.

The third objective of the study was to find out the effect of borrower proximity on the cost of borrowing among microfinance borrowers in Kenya. The regression results showed that borrower proximity has no relationship with the cost of borrowing among MFI borrowers in Kenya. The analysis returned a P value of 0.431 which is way above the benchmark $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level. However, this is contrary to results obtained in some other contexts like by Bellucci et al. (2013) and Ostromogolsky (2017).

The results further showed that credit history and soft information are good joint predictors of the borrowing cost among microfinance clients in Kenya. This is as supported by the significant F statistic value of 142.265 (P value = 0.000). The results indicated that holding other factors constant 93.20% of changes in the cost of borrowing among MFI clients in Kenya is explained by credit history and soft information.

Conclusion

The study findings show that borrower credit history and soft information are significant influencers of borrowing cost among microfinance borrowers in Kenya. Favorable borrower credit history and soft information have a favorable effect of reducing the borrower cost of



borrowing among microfinance borrowers in Kenya. The reduced borrowing cost could come in different ways which includes: lower interest rates, collateral requirement waiver, longer loan maturity and non-rationing of the credit.

The study also concludes that in the Kenyan context borrower proximity has no effect on the cost of borrowing among microfinance borrowers in Kenya. This implies that microfinance institutions in Kenya do not take into account the physical distance of the borrower from the MFI when evaluating a loan application. Therefore, the MFI will only consider other factors in making the lending decision but not borrower proximity.

From the theoretical perspective, the findings of this study concur with the information asymmetry theory argument, that information asymmetry can lead to an increase in borrowing cost. This theory as it relates to the credit market was originally advanced by Akerlof (1970). In general, the price of a loan is based on the lender's cost plus a risk premium. The size of this premium depends on the lender's ability to properly assess the creditworthiness of the borrower. Presence of information asymmetry therefore implies that this premium will be high hence higher borrowing cost.

Recommendations

The study recommends that microfinance institutions in Kenya should leverage borrower credit history and soft information to mitigate information asymmetry challenges. This practice will also be beneficial to the borrowers who possess good credit history standing and positive soft information. By extension this will make the bottom of the society (microfinance clients) to access credit at favorable terms. In turn, this will have a positive impact on the economy by mainstreaming the poor into economic participation through affordable credit which can be used to finance business operations sustainably.

Credit reference bureaus (CRBs) play a very central role in bridging the information gap between the borrowers and lenders. Therefore, the relevant policy makers should work on growing the presence of more CRBs in the country. This will include availing a policy (legal) environment that fosters the growth of CRBs and use of their services by the lending institutions. Credit Reference Bureaus complement the central role played by banks and other financial institutions in extending financial services within an economy. CRBs help lenders make faster and more accurate credit decisions. They collect, manage and disseminate customer information to lenders with in a provided regulatory framework. Credit histories not only provide necessary input for credit underwriting, but also allow borrowers to take their credit history from one financial institution to another, thereby making lending markets more competitive and, in the end, more affordable. Credit bureaus assist in making credit accessible to more people, and



enabling lenders and businesses reduce risk and fraud. Sharing of information between financial institutions in respect of customer credit behaviour, therefore, has a positive economic impact.

The study notes that MFIs should also take advantage of soft information to lower the cost of borrowing to the good clients. Soft information is typically obtained through relationship banking. One of the most powerful technologies available to reduce information problems in small firm finance is "relationship lending." Under relationship lending, banks acquire information over time through contact with the firm, its owner, and its local community on a variety of dimensions and use this information in their decisions about the availability and terms of credit to the firm.

The focus of this study was to find out the effect of microfinance on borrowing cost among the microfinance borrowers in Kenya. The study only zeroed in on the MFIs operating within Nairobi County which is an urban setting. There might be some issues that uniquely affect the rural setting MFIs and clients. Therefore, it is recommended that a study that captures clients in both urban and rural setting should be undertaken.

This was a cross-sectional study. Probably a time series study would be able to reveal better information by tracking the borrowing cost of a client over time as s/he progressively builds relationships with the lender as well as the lender compiles the customer credit history over time.

REFERENCES

Agarwal, S., Chomsisengphet, S. Liu, C. and Souleles, N. (2009). Relationship Lending: Evidence from the Consumer Credit Market," mimeo, Wharton.

Air University. (2002). Air University Sampling and surveying handbook. Air University, USA

Akerlof, G.A. (1970), "The Market for Lemons", Quarterly Journal of Economics 84, 488-

500.

Amir, S. (2007). Information Asymmetry and Financing Arrangements: Evidence from Syndicated Loans, The Journal of finance, 1 (7)2, 200 - 210

Anderson, R.G. (2005). Monetary trends. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. Available at www.research.stlouisfed.org

Aurizion, L.D., Oliviero, T. and Romano, L. (2012). Family firms and the agency cost of debt: the role of soft information during a crisis. EUI Working paper ECO 2012/22, European University Institute

Barbosa, R.C.O. and Marcal, E.F. (2011). The impacts of information asymmetry in determining bank spreads. Revista Gestao and Politicas Publicas, 1(2):113-130

Bellucci, A., Borisov, A. and Zazzaro, A. (2013). Do banks price discriminate spatially? Evidence from small business lending in local credit markets. CASMEF Working Paper Series, Working paper No. 3 (2013)

Belsley, D.A., Edwin, K & Roy, E.W. (1980). Regression diagnostics: Identifying influential data and sources of collinearity. New York: John Wiley and Sons

Best, J.W. & Kahn, J.V. (2007). Research in education, New Delhi, Prentice Hall of India Private

Bolton, P., Freixas, X., Gambacorta, L. and Mistrulli, P.O. (2013), Relationship and Transaction Lending in a Crisis, **BIS Working Papers No 417**



Bonfim, D., Dai, O. and Franco, F. (2009). The number of bank relationships, borrowing costs and bank competition, Banco de Portugal, Working Papers No. 12

Campbell, D. and Loumioto, M. (2013). Monitoring and the portability of soft information. Harvard Business School, Working paper 13 -077

Chodechai, S. (2004). Determinants of lending in Thailand: An empirical examination for the years 1992 - 1996, unpublished thesis

Dass, D. and Massa, M. (2009). The impact of a strong bank-firm relationship on the borrowing firm. Oxford university press, London

Emilio, C., Galariotis, Villa, C. & Yusupov, N. (2011). Recent advances in lending to the poor with asymmetric information. Journal of Development Studies, Taylor & Francis (Routledge), pp.1

Field, A. (2009). Discovering Statistics Using SPSS: Introducing Statistical Method (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Gaitho, N.W. (2013). Role of credit reference bureaus on credit access in Kenya: a survey of commercial banks in Kenya, European Scientific Journal, 9 (13)

George, D. and Mallery, P. (2010). SPSS for Windows Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference 17.0 Update. 10th Edition, Pearson, Boston.

Gliem, J.A. and Gliem, R.R. (2003). Midwest Research-to-practice conference in adult, continuing and community education. The Ohio State University, OH, October 8-10, 2003

Atul Gupta, S. Kanthi Herath, Nathalie C. Mikouiza, (2005) "Outsourcing in higher education: an empirical examination", International Journal of Educational Management, Vol. 19 Issue: 5, pp.396-412, https://doi.org/10.1108/09513540510607734

Hercules, S. (2006). Microcredit A Way to Overcome Problems of Financial Markets in Developing Countries? Lund University School of Economics and Management Department of Economics April 2006, Unpublished Masters Thesis,

Howorth, C. and Moro, A. (2012). Trustworthiness and interest rates: an empirical study of Italian SMEs. Small Business Economics, 39(1) 161-177

Huang, C.L. (2014). The Impact of Information Asymmetry and Client Credit on Lending Performance - Taiwan's Evidence, Asian Journal of Finance and Accounting, ISSN 1946-052X, Vol. 6, No.1

Huang, C., When, Y. and Liu, Z. (2014). Analysis on financing difficulties for SMEs due to asymmetric information. Global disclosure of economics and business, 3(2) ISSN 2305-9168

Institute of certified public accounts of Kenya - ICPAK. (2016). Banking sector reforms vital for growth. ICPAK, Nairobi

Jaap, B. Ralph, D.H. & Matteo, M. (2015). Sharing borrower information in a competitive credit market. The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development. Working Paper No. 180

Jappelli, T. and Pagano, M. (2002). Information Sharing, Lending and Defaults: Cross-Country Evidence", Journal of Banking and Finance 26, 2017-45.

Jonathan, L. and Jonathan, T. (2001). Information asymmetry, small firm finance and the role of government. Journal of finance and management in public services (1), 43 - 60

Kothari C. R., (2004). Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques. New Delhi: New Age International (P) Ltd, Publishers.

Kwambai, K.D. and Wandera, M. (2013). Effects of credit information sharing on nonperforming loans: the case of Kenya commercial bank Kenya, European Scientific Journal, 9 (13) ISSN: 1857 - 7881 (Print) e - ISSN 1857-7431

Kysucky, V. and Norden, L. (2013).the benefits of relationship lending in a cross- country context: a meta-analysis. Roterdam School of management, Erasmus University

Leitner, Y. (2006). Using Collateral to Secure Loans, Business Review Q2 www.philadelphiafed.org

Mehrteab, H. T. (2005). Adverse selection and moral hazard in group-based lending: evidence from Eritrea s.n. University of Groningen, thesis

Moerman, R.W. (2010). The Impact of Information Asymmetry on Debt Pricing and Maturity, The University of Chicago Booth School of Business

Mugenda A. and Mugenda (1999). Research Methods: Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches. Nairobi: Act Press



Muli, W.M. (2013). Financial Innovation as a Competitive Strategy: The Kenyan Financial Sector, Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing, 9(7) 997-1004 http://www.davidpublishing.org/show.html?13182

Ngetich, J.C. and Wanjau, K. (2011). The Effects of interest rate spread on the level of non-performing assets: A case of commercial banks in Kenya. International journal of business and management, 1(1): 58-65

Ngugi, R.W. (2001). An empirical analysis of interest rate spread in Kenya. African Economic Research Consortium, **AERC Research Paper 106**

Ostromogolsky, P. (2017). Lender- borrower relationships and loan origination costs. Working Paper series, federal deposit Insurance Corporation (center for financial research), FDCI CFR WP 2017-03

Pandey I.M. (2005): Financial management, 9th Ed. Vikas Publishing House, India

Sabana B. (2003). Institutional assessment of micro-finance service providers in Kenya, DFID, Nairobi

Salvatore C, Stefano M. and Gabriele S. (2015). The how and why of soft information production in bank lending, Discussion paper series, Discussion Paper No. 10 Giugno 2015 - ISSN: 2280-9767, University of Naples Parthenope

Shen & Gao. (2008). A solution to Separation and Multicollinearity in Multiple Logistic Regression", Journal of Data Science 6(2008), 515-53

Ssegguija, H.B. (2010). Relationship lending, transaction costs and the lending interest rates of commercial banks in Uganda. Unpublished MSc. Thesis, Makerere University

Stiglitz, J. E.and Weiss.A. (1981). "Credit Rationing in Markets with Imperfect Information," American Economic Review, 71, 393-410.

Wanzare, N. (2010). The impact of hard and soft information on mortgage default. Unpublished M.A. thesis, University of Technology Sydney

