International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management

United Kingdom http://ijecm.co.uk/

Vol. V, Issue 12, December 2017 ISSN 2348 0386

INFLUENCE OF VALUES SYSTEM ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ORGANISATIONAL RESOURCES AND ORGANISATIONAL PERFORMANCE OF INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATION FOR STANDARDISATION CERTIFIED ORGANISATIONS IN KENYA

Patrick Omutia Otulia

University of Nairobi, Kenya patrickomutia@yahoo.com

Isaac M. Mbeche

University of Nairobi, Kenya isambeche@gmail.com

Gituro Wainaina

University of Nairobi, Kenya wgituro@uonbi.ac.ke

James Njihia

University of Nairobi, Kenya muranga.njihia@gmail.com

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to undertake an empirical examination on the influence of values system on the relationship between organisational resources and organisational performance of International Organisation for Standardization (ISO) certified organisations in Kenya. The study was anchored on Total Quality Management (TQM) theory. The study was guided by the philosophy of positivism. A cross-sectional research design was adopted on a sample size of



282 ISO certified organisations from which primary data was collected by use of a questionnaire and secondary data was obtained from financial statements of the 27 organizations under investigation. Data was analyzed descriptive and inferential statistics. Multiple regression model was used to estimate the control effect of values system on the relationship between organisational resources and organizational performance. The findings show that there is a positive effect of values system on the relationship between organisation resources and organisational performance. Therefore, the management should be guided by Constitution of Kenya (CoK) and TQM theory since this study contributed to their principles and tools which enable organisations to perform better with minimum organisational resources.

Keywords: Values System, Total Quality Management, Organisational Resources, Organizational Performance, ISO Certified Organizations

INTRODUCTION

Values are things or relationships that people would like to have or to enjoy (Starling, 2002). Values motivate action towards achieving goals and give reasons to do what needs to be done (Salonek, 2016). Only those values that are relevant to Operations Management (OM) are of concern in this study, being qualities people consider right, worthwhile or desirable and would therefore like to see in their organisation. These values are organisational resources, values system, and organisational performance, each of which is essential for the preservation of organisational responsibility. The TQM, a part of OM, is a transformation system and is itself the desired culture of an organisation committed to customer satisfaction through continuous improvement (Cameron & Sine, 1999; Goetsch & Davis, 1994; Kanji, 1990). Culture is that whole complex of learned human behavior patterns and perceptions (Tylor, 1971) which includes knowledge, belief, art, morals, law, custom, and institutions, products of human work and thought, and habits acquired by man as a member of society.

The TQM principles, concepts and practices are on close examination similar to the values and principles of governance stipulated under Article 10 of the Constitution of Kenya (Cok) 2010 (Raiborn & Payne, 1996; Government of Kenya (GoK), 2010). This provision of the constitution contains human values that are universal. These values provide a means to focus on goal setting in society including organisations. Thus, organisations are obliged to make these values concrete and tangible for people so that they can relate to them and blend them in their daily life, to retain their very purpose of existence.

Human values have a longer historical standing than modern day business management principles like TQM (Srinivasan, 2005). Management principles draw on human values and they must also be compatible with them, in order to make sense and be sustainable. Schmidheiny (1992) argues that business will play a vital role in the future health of this planet (earth) if together they are committed to meet the basic needs of the present without compromising the welfare of the future generations. King (2009) emphasizes the principles of governance and sustainability that seek to balance profits, people and planet in achieving organisational objectives.

The key to success, therefore is to recognize TQM as a driving force behind organisational changes by developing their culture to match that embraced in TQM and CoK 2010 (Kiruthu, 1996; Mbeche & Omutia, 1997; Omutia, 1995; Pike & Barnes, 1994). Bekker, Rautenbach and Goolam (2006) support the above views that seek to link religion (divine law), Article 10 of the CoK 2010 and business principles such as TQM. Thus, under the CoK, organisations especially ISO certified in Kenya need an appropriate culture that conforms to the Constitution 2010, supports business excellence and have a means to monitor the change process. To this extent, therefore values system, as cultural artifacts, influence the relationship between organisational resources and organisational performance. Due to the multi-disciplinary nature of OM, this study anchors on the TQM theory.

Research Problem

The values system would be national values and principles of governance comprising of legal concepts stipulated under Article 10 of CoK 2010, which fosters a rights-based culture. These concepts are in four categories (GoK, 2010), first patriotism; national unity; sharing and devolution of power; as well as the rule of law, democracy and participation of people (GoK, 2010). Second, human dignity, equity, social justice, inclusiveness, equality, human rights, nondiscrimination and protection of the marginalized (GoK, 2010). Third, good governance, integrity, transparency and accountability (GoK, 2010). Fourth, sustainable development (GoK, 2010). Despite the great emphasis on the linkage between values system, organizational resources and organisation performance, researches done on values system are limited (Juran, 1986; Kishtainy, 2014; Mankiw, 1998; Moyo, 2013; Teece, Pisano & Shuen, 2007).

The role played by quality is important in developing standards for organizations' management and business environment. ISO certified organisations in Kenya are challenged by the lack of a comprehensive implementation guide, hence making it difficult for the organisations to operationalize resources more successfully. Due to not combining the various resources and values system, organisations need to not only transform the whole system of management, but also have a comprehensive guide for practitioners (Gorecki, 1995; Hackman & Wageman, 1995). These organisations also continue to lag behind in converting resources to organisational performance due to the wrong reasons for seeking ISO registration (Kuo, et. al., 2009).

Scholars recognize that values system matter but there are limited studies, which have examined the influence of values system on the relationship between organisational resources and organisational performance (Beatty, 2013). The studies may also not have adequately taken into account the intermediate role of values system on the relationship between organisational resources and organisational performance. In particular, values system through commitment to values needs to be examined (Cloke & Goldsmith, 2002; Hill & Wetlaufer, 1998). Studies have not measured transformation, but instead inferred them from TQM characteristics. Scholars have linked organisational resources to organisational performance (Li & Hambrick, 2005) but most of these studies have measured organisational performance using the traditional financial measures hence the need to explore use of contemporary performance measures. Therefore, there exist conceptual and methodological gaps which the study sought to address. This study ascertained the effect of organisational resources on organisational performance by answering the following question: "what influence does values system have on the relationship between organisational resources and organisational performance within ISO certified organisations in Kenya?"

LITERATURE REVIEW

The TQM theory itself a behavioral theory that primarily entails a change in an organisations' technology, its way of doing work; a change in an organisations' culture its norms, values and belief systems about how organisations function; and a change in an organisations' political system-decision making processes and power bases (Tichey, 1983). In the quality management essence, the managers who deal with quality management of ISO certified institutions in Kenya should ensure maximum customer satisfaction in terms of quality products and services given on market. This can also come about through involvement and empowerment of their employees to enhance and maintain goods and services of better quality (Okwiri, 2013). Therefore, to achieve such performance level, the organisations' employees should focus more on identification of customers' wants/expectations, and should have good understanding of organisations' plans that can assist in achievement of their aims. The TQM theory benefited this study through the effect of organizational resources and values system on organizational performance of ISO certified organizations in Kenya.

The values and principles of governance stipulated under article 10 of the Kenyan Constitution 2010 represent values system. Complying with this obligatory provision is a form of social engineering by legislation (Kleyn & Viljoen, 2002). A more ethical approach to business is essential for long-term success. The UK Institute of Business Ethics suggests a simple 'test' for ethical decision-making in business: faced with a judgment call, first consider the ethical trilogy of transparency, then effect and finally fairness (Roberts, 2008).

Socrates in 399 BC, as cited in Popkin and Stroll (1998), proclaimed that the unexamined life is not worth living. Aristotle centuries ago, as cited in Cloke and Goldsmith, (2002), discerned that the test of ethics occurs when they run counter to ones' self-interest rather than when they serve them. In their study of the national aeronautics and space administration, USA, found that suppression of the truth was used to rationalize the goal of financial gain. A bottom-line commitment to values system contributes greatly to the development of collaboration, diversity, creativity, participation, responsibility, morale, and unity. When these are valued, performance improves as well. Values bring meaning to goals and serve as guiding principles when executing the work plan of goals (Salonek, 2016).

Rosete (2006) researched on how performance management systems and organisational values affect the job satisfaction and commitment of employees. The survey was conducted on 325 civil service of Australia. The study classified the target respondents into four major value types namely: meritocratic, collegial, elite, and leadership this was based on the typological theory of organizational values. From the factor analysis results, it was discovered that performance management items rely on two key aspects which include developing an individual and compensation. On the same topic, Gorenak and Košir (2012) did a research on the importance of organizational values for organization. Their study was done on 303 companies operating in Slovenia. The findings of their survey gave a revelation that there were performance factors are statistically significant to organizational values.

Ainin, Salleh, Bahri and Faziharudean (2015) relied on a sample size of 104 small and medium sized enterprises in the service industry in Malaysia through the use of a crosssectional survey to conduct a research on the effect of performance of organization and customer value on functional capabilities of information systems. Their study discovered that capabilities of IS functionality influence customer value creation and eventually performance of organizational.

Fitriya and Locke (2012) explored the relationship between corporate governance mechanisms, ownership structure and firm performance but yielded different results due to the nature of the prevailing governance system for each country. Their study also stated that majority of the scholars only tested a linear relationship between variables, and found a nonlinear relationship between board structures, ownership structures and firm performance. Their study, equally confirmed the non-linear relationship. The study used a balanced panel of 79 New-Zealand listed firms and employed a generalized linear model for robustness. The results found that board of directors, board committees, and managerial ownership have a positive and significant impact on firm performance. However, non-executive directors, female directors on the board and block-holder ownership did not have any significant relationship on firm performance.

Noriza (2010) looked at the compliance level among public listed companies with the implementation of corporate governance code of best practices and the association to the firm's capital structure. Corporate governance was looked at using ownership structure relationship with shareholders, financial transparency, information disclosure and board of directors' composition. Capital structure was measured using debt ratio, debt to equity and interest coverage. Methodologically, data was collected from annual reports and data streams for a sample of 126 companies over an eight year period that is, 1998 to 2006. Multiple regression analysis was performed and the findings revealed that most of the companies complied well with the code and that there was a significant association to the firm's capital structure.

Bhagat and Bolton (2008) examined how corporate governance was measured and what the relationship between corporate governance and performance was. The study shed light on these questions while taking into account the endogeneity of the relationships among corporate governance, corporate performance, corporate capital structure, and corporate ownership structure. In terms of contributions; first, the study found that better governance indices, stock ownership of board members, and CEO-chair separation was positively significant and correlated with better contemporaneous and subsequent operating performance. Second, none of the governance measures were correlated with future stock market performance. In several instances inferences regarding the (stock market) performance and governance relationship depended on whether or not one took into account the endogenous nature of the relationship between governance and (stock market) performance. Third, given poor firm performance, the probability of disciplinary management turnover was positively correlated with stock ownership of board members, and board independence. However, better governed firms were less likely to experience disciplinary management turnover in spite of their poor performance.

Tsifora and Eleftheriadou (2007) studied corporate governance mechanisms and financial performance of Greek manufacturing sector. Findings showed that companies that had an expanding board of directors had better internal control and therefore performed better than companies, with a limited number of members on the board of directors. Companies which belonged to an expanded group of shareholders performed better than those companies which belonged to a small group of shareholders or are family owned. Companies that introduced

corporate governance systems were characterized by high profitability. Thus, values system may have a moderating effect between resources and organisational performance

Conceptual Framework

The knowledge gaps highlighted above led to the development of the conceptual framework below (Figure 1) that was adopted to guide empirical research in answering the gaps identified from the review of conceptual and empirical literature. From the framework, organisational performance is the dependent variable, organisational resource is the independent variable, whereas values system is control variable on the influence of organisational resource and organisational performance.

Organizational Resources

Information
Entrepreneurship
Needs
Expectations

Values System
National unity
Rule of law
Equity
Integrity

Organisational Performance
Financial
Customer
Internal processes
Environmental

Figure 1: Conceptual Framework

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study used a positivism philosophy since it is directly associated with the idea of objectivism. Cross-sectional research design was found to be appropriate for this study since it helps in giving a better understanding of the relationship between predictor variables and dependent variable. The population of interest was all 1,060 ISO certified organisations in Kenya accredited through Kenya Bureau of Standards, where a sample size of 282 organisations were selected for the study by use of stratified random sampling technique. Primary and secondary data was used in this study where primary data was collected using self-designed semi-structured questionnaire and secondary data through examination of records. Data was analyzed descriptively. Inferential statistics was used to determine the relationships

between values system, organisational resources and organisational performance. The t-test was used to determine significance of the variables. Assessment of the overall significance of the regression models was done using the p-value of the F-test statistics.

FINDINGS

Descriptive Statistics

The findings indicate that 59.4 percent of the respondents were males and 40.6 percent were women. This implied that most information emanated from males and that there was inclusiveness in gender representation in the study. About 27.6 percent of the respondents indicated that they were in the senior management, 19.7 percent indicated that they were in the middle level management, 19.3 percent indicated that they were in the supervision, 17.7 percent were in the top management and 15.7 percent of the respondents indicated that they were in operational level of management. This implied that all the management hierarchy was fairly engaged in this research. Majority (96.1 percent) of the respondents indicated that their respective organizations had been in operations for over 15 years, 2.8 percent of respondents between 5 years and less than 10 years and 1.2 percent of the respondents between 10 and 15 years. This implied that they had adequate knowledge on the effects of organisational resources and values system on performance of ISO certified organisations in Kenya.

The results on descriptive statistics for values system are as given in Table 1. The respondents strongly agreed that work standards, laws and regulations were obeyed and maintained (mean score = 4.68, SD = 0.468); there was honesty in all dealings (mean score = 4.68, SD = 0.581); organisations invested in sustainable community programs compatible with their goals (mean score = 4.53, SD = 0.626); and organisations structure allowed more authority at lower levels in both planning and execution of work (mean score = 4.50, SD = 0.652). This implied that ISO certified organisations in Kenya were very strong in terms of power sharing, honesty, community commitment and the rule of law.

Further, there was mutual trust and respect in the organisation (mean score = 4.46, SD = 0.499); all affairs were conducted with openness (mean score = 4.40, SD = 0.491); people entrusted with resources in the organisation managed them properly (mean score = 4.39, SD = 0.490); people in the organisation appreciated each other's differences (mean score = 4.30, SD = 0.669); organisations were committed to managing its activities in an environmentally responsible manner (mean score = 4.18, SD = 0.866); diversity and inclusivity was a major consideration in the organisations' employment policy (mean score = 4.12, SD = 0.464); people generally enjoyed their God-given, inherent, inalienable liberties and entitlements in the organisations (mean score = 4.09, SD = 0.293); equal opportunities are given to all people (mean score = 4.06, SD = 0.243); and employees were dedicated to and proud of belonging to the organisations (mean score = 4.05, SD = 0.221). Accountability in the organisations belonged to the people who chose the responsibilities they wanted and were answerable for the results (mean score = 4.05, SD = 0.588).

The results also indicated that organisations ensured that everyone had part to play in the management process and that they understood that part (mean score = 4.03, SD = 0.371). The work environment provided adequate income and opportunity for work enjoyment, happy living, subsistence, growth and respect for humanity (mean score = 4.02, SD = 0.402); there was harmony in the organisations (mean score = 4.01, SD = -0.295); employees were involved in decisions related to their work and problem solving appropriate to their levels (mean score = 4.00, SD = 0.000); organisations pursued environmental excellence by implementing best policies, systems and procedures to bring about a continual improvement in environmental management (mean score = 4.00, SD = 0.000); fairness and equity was observed in all dealings (mean score = 3.90, SD = 0.304); and agreed that power and authority in the organisations was controlled and answerable (mean score = 3.90, SD = 0.304).

The results in Table 1 had an overall mean score of 4.21. This implied that ISO certified organisations in Kenya are strong in values system. The spread about the mean was low (coefficient of variation = 9.98 percent) and therefore more consistent or less variable. From skewness, the findings show that the average score of the values system constructs were positively skewed (0.106) and was very near to zero that clarified that the constructs were asymmetrical. Kurtosis values indicated that all the sub constructs had a platykurtic distribution (2.441).

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics for Values System

Sample	Mean	Standard	Skewness	Kurtosis
	Score	Deviation		
254	4.68	0.468	-0.762	-1.430
254	4.68	0.581	-1.634	1.627
254	4.53	0.626	-0.995	-0.063
254	4.50	0.652	-0.949	-0.214
254	4.46	0.499	0.175	-1.985
	254 254 254 254	Score 254 4.68 254 4.68 254 4.53 254 4.50	Score Deviation 254 4.68 0.468 254 4.68 0.581 254 4.53 0.626 254 4.50 0.652	Score Deviation 254 4.68 0.468 -0.762 254 4.68 0.581 -1.634 254 4.53 0.626 -0.995 254 4.50 0.652 -0.949

All affairs are conducted with openness.	254	4.40	0.491	0.404	-1.851
People entrusted with resources in the organisation	254	4.40	0.491	0.404	-1.823
·	254	4.39	0.490	0.430	-1.023
manage them properly.	254	4.20	0.669	-0.432	77.1
People appreciate each other's differences.		4.30			774
Organization committed to managing activity in	254	4.18	0.866	-0.359	-1.575
environmentally responsible manner.	054	4.40	0.404	0.440	4.004
Diversity and inclusivity is a major consideration in	254	4.12	0.464	0.410	1.204
the organisations' employment policy.					
People generally enjoy their God-given, inherent,	254	4.09	0.293	2.789	5.825
inalienable liberties and entitlements in the					
organisation.					
Equal opportunities are given to all people.	254	4.06	0.243	3.619	11.185
Employees are dedicated to and proud of belonging	254	4.05	0.221	4.098	14.908
to the organisation.					
Accountability in the organisation belongs to the	254	4.05	0.588	-0.008	-0.091
people who choose the responsibilities they want					
and are answerable for the results.					
Organisation ensures that everyone has part to play	254	4.03	0.371	0.321	4.317
in the management process and that they					
understand that part.					
Work environment provides adequate income and	254	4.02	0.402	0.158	3.267
opportunity for work enjoyment, happy living,					
subsistence, growth and respect for humanity.					
There is harmony in the organisation.	254	4.01	0.295	0.230	8.728
Employees are involved in decisions related to their	254	4.00	0.000		
work and problem solving appropriate to their level.					
Organisation pursues environmental excellence by	254	4.00	0.000		
implementing best policies, systems and procedures					
Fairness and equity is observed in all dealings.	254	3.90	0.304	-2.639	5.005
Power and authority in the organisation is controlled	254	3.90	0.304	-2.639	5.005
and answerable.					
Average scores	254	4.21	0.420	0.106	2.441

Bankruptcy ratios assess the likelihood of an organisation collapsing. The study findings used the bankruptcy ratio (Fulmer H-factor) for the target organisations by sectors. The Fulmer H Factor can also be referred to as Fulmer H Score and is a model used in classification of bankruptcy of any given organisation. This model states that if the Fulmer H Factor score is



below zero, then an organisation or a company is supposed to be classified to be bankrupt, and if this score is well above zero then should be otherwise. The frequency results of Fulmer H Factor are as analyzed in Table 2 below. The findings indicate that majority (74 percent) of ISO certified organisations provided a positive Fulmer H score, while 26 percent of them had a negative one. This could clearly imply that a majority of organisations have successfully deployed resources coupled with values system for the purpose of creating value addition.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics for Selected Bankruptcy Ratios

Fulmer H-Factor	Frequency	Percent		
Greater than 0	20	74		
Less than 0	7	26		
Total	27	100		

Inferential Statistics

From regression analysis illustrated in Table 3, the value of R-Square before moderating variable was 0.150 while with addition of the moderating variable the value increased to 0.256. This indicated that moderating variable positively influenced the relationship between organisational resources and performance of ISO certified organisations in Kenya. The change statistics shows that there was change in explanatory power of the model upon addition of the moderating variable by a 0.106 positive change in R-Square. This was also represented by F change from 20.959 to 33.534 and was significant with a p-value of 0.000. With the introduction of the moderator, F-value = 20.595, and p-value = 0.001; change in F-value = 27.069 and pvalue = 0.001, which meant that the regression model was significant and there was moderation effect.

From the data in above, the estimated regression equation was:

OP = 6.816 - 0.380 * OR - 0.245 * VS + 0.068 * MOD

Where, MOD is moderator.

From the above equation, a unit increase in organisation resources would lead to a decrease in the performance of ISO certified organisations by a factor of 0.380 units. A unit increase in values system would lead to decrease in performance of ISO certified organisations by 0.245 units and a unit increase in moderation effect would lead to an increase in performance of ISO certified organisations by 0.068 units.

Table 3: Model Summary, Analysis of Variances and Coefficients for Values System, Organizational Resources and Organizational Performance

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted	d Std. Error	Change Statistics					
			R Square	of the	R Square	F	df1	df2	Sig. F	
				Estimate	Change	Change			Change	
1	.388ª	.150	.143	.18769	.150	20.959	2	237	.000	
2	.506 ^b	.256	.247	.17600	.106	33.534	1	236	.000	
2. Ana	alysis of Variances									
Model		Sum of	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
Model		Squares	Di	Mean Square						
1	Regression	1.477	2,237	.738	20.959	.000b				
	Residual	8.349		.035						
	Total	9.826	239							
2	Regression	2.516	3236	.839	27.069	.000c				
	Residual	7.310		.031						
	Total	9.826	239							
3. Coe	efficients									
Model		Unstanda	Unstandardized		t	Sig.				
		Coeffic	eients	Coefficients		Oig.				
		В	Std. Error	Beta						
	(Constant)	8.104	.751		10.791	.000				
1	Organizational	260	.065	253	-4.009	.000				
	resources									
	Values system	663	.187	224	-3.541	.000				
2	(Constant)	6.816	.738		9.231	.000				
	Organizational	380	.064	370	-5.918	.000				
	resources					.000				
	Values system	245	.190	083	-1.290	.198				
	Moderator	.068	.012	.359	5.791	.000				
	dictors: (Constant),	Values syste	em. Organiz	ational resourc	es					
a. Pre	alotors. (Constant),		,							

Only two variables (organization resources and moderator) were statistically significant (p-value less than (α = 0.05)) with t values of -5.918 and 5.791 respectively and p values of 0.000. This



implied that changes in the values system moderated the relationship between organisational resources and organisational performance and the null hypothesis was therefore rejected.

CONCLUSION

Changes in values system affected the relationship between organisational resources and organisational performance, depending on the circumstances. The TQM theoretical review was revealed in this study where majority of ISO certified organisations seemed to stress on organisational value. Values system has some variation on the relationship between organisational resources and organisational performance of ISO certified organisations. There is need to strengthen values system among ISO certified organisations in Kenya as it was found that values system positively affect the relationship between organisational resources and organisational performance in Kenya. Regulators should insist on TQM among ISO certified organisations to ensure quality and value. The regulatory organisations should seriously continue to do the supervisory guidance of the organisations since they are charged with both regulation and supervision. Government needs to develop and implement policies that encourage adoption of values system as espoused in this study. Therefore, the study established that there is a link between CoK and TQM, and this can be used as a method to materialize the values and principles contained in article 10 as well as observation of quality standards among ISO certified organisations.

This study focused on the influence of values system on the relationship between organisational resources and performance of ISO certified organisations in Kenya. A further similar study should be conducted focusing on non-ISO certified organisations in Kenya and compare the results. This may help in understanding the causes underlying productivity in organisations and the challenges facing organisation resource conversion among organisations in Kenya. Furthermore, future studies should consider alternatives to the cross-sectional study using the quantitative approach that may be available. A longitudinal research study using qualitative approach may be considered, given available time and financial resources.

REFERENCES

Ainin, S., Salleh, N. A. M., Bahri, S. & Faziharudean, T. M. (2015). Organization's performance, customer value and the functional capabilities of information systems. Information Systems Management, 32(1), 2 -

Beatty, J. (2001). The world according to Drucker: The life and work of the world's greatest management thinker. Magna Publishing Co. Ltd (Book Division).

Bekker, J. C., Rautenbach, C. & Goolam, N. M. I. (2006). Introduction to legal pluralism in South Africa. (2 ed.) LexisNexis Butterworths, Durban.



Bhagat, S., & Bolton, S. (2008). Corporate governance and firm performance. Journal of Corporate Finance (14), 257-273.

Cameron, K. (1995). Downsizing, quality and performance. In the fall and rise of the America quality movement Robert E. Cole. New York: Oxford University Press.

Cameron, K., & Sine, W. (1999). A framework for organisational quality culture. Quality Management Journal, 6(4), 7-25.

Cloke, K., & Goldsmith, J. (2002). The end of management and the rise of organisation democracy. SanFrancisco, CA: John Willey & Sons, Inc.

Fitriya, F., & Locke, S. (2012). Board structure, ownership structure and firm performance: A study of New Zealand listed firms. Asian Academy of Management Journal of

Goetsch, D. L., & Davis, S. (1994). Introduction to total quality, quality, productivity, competitiveness. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, Prentice Hall International Inc

Gorecki, A. (1995, September-October). The meaning of quality. Business Executive: A Journal of the Association of Business Executive, 9(56).

Gorenak M. & Košir S. (2012). The importance of organizational values for organization. International Conference, Management Knowledge and Learning. 563 - 569

Government of Kenya (GOK) 2010. The constitution of Kenya, Nairobi. Government Printer.

Hackman, J., & Wageman, R. (1995). Total quality management: Empirical, conceptual and practical issues. Administrative Science Quarterly, 40, 309-342.

Hill, L. & Wetlaufer, S. (1998, July-August). Leadership when there is no one to ask: An interview with Eni's Franco Bernabe. Boston, Harvard Business Review, 81-94.

Juran, J. (1986). The quality triology- A universal approach to managing for quality. ASQC 40th Annual Quality Congress. Anaheim, California.

Kanji, G. K. (1990). Total quality management: The second industrial revolution. Total quality management, 1(1), 3-12.

King, M. (2009). King code on corporate governance for South Africa. Institute of Directors in Southern Africa.

(1996). Factors influencing total quality management failure, Operations and Quality Kiruthu, Z. N. Management.

(2014) Economics in minutes: 200 key concepts explained in an instant. London. Kishtainy, N. Quercus Editions Ltd.

Kleyn, D., & Viljoen, F. (2002). Beginer's guide for law students (3 ed.). Juta & Co.

Kuo, T., Chang, T., Hung, K., & Lin, M. (2009, December). Employees' perspective on the effectiveness of ISO 9000 certification: A total quality management framework. Total Quality Management, 20(12), 1321-1335.

Li, J., & Hambrick, D. C. (2005). Factional groups: A new vantage on demographic fault lines, conflict, and disintegration in work teams. Academy of Management Journal, 48(5), 794-813.

Mankiw, G. N. (1998). Principles of economics. Orlando, FL: The Dryden Press, Harcourt Brace College Publishers.

Mbeche, I. M. (2010). Global manufacturing practices – A world- wide survey of practice in production, planning and control, International Development Research.

Mbeche, I. M., & Omutia, P. (1997). Issues of leadership in the implementation of total quality management in a large organisation. Nairobi Journal of Management, 3., 41-49.

Moyo, D. (2013). Winner take all: China's race for resources and what it means for us. Penguin Books, UK



Noriza, M. S. (2010). Corporate governance compliance and the effects to capital structure in Malaysia. International Journal of Economics and Finance, 2 (1), 123-130.

Okwiri, O. O. (2013). ISO 9001 quality management system audit as an organisational effectiveness evaluation tool. International Journal of information technology and business management. 20(1), 15-29.

Omutia, P. O. (1995). Policies, procedures and leadership issues in the implementation of total quality management: A case study of the Kenya posts and telecommunications corporation. Unpublished Masters Project. United States International University.

Pike, J., & Barnes, R. (1994). TQM in action: A practical approach to continuous performance improvement. London: Chapman & Hall.

Popkin, R. H., & Stroll, A. (1998). Philosophy. (3 Rev. ed.) Athenaeum Press, Itd, Gateshead, Tyne & Wear.

Raiborn, C., & Payne, D. (1996). TQM: Just what the ethicist ordered. Journal of Business Ethics, 15(9), 963 - 972

Roberts, C. (2008). Karl Marx's das kapital: Timeless concepts for today. Media Eight International Publishing Limited, UK.

Rosete, D. (2006). The impact of organisational values and performance management congruency on satisfaction and commitment. Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources. 44(1), 125 - 135

Schmidheiny, S., (1992) Changing course, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Srinivasan, S. (2005). Value-based management in the Indian context. Mumbai: Jaico publishing house.

Starling, G. (2002). Managing the public sector (6th ed.). China Renmin University Press as authorized by Thomson Learning.

Teece, D. J., Pisano, G.P. & Shuen, A. (2007). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. Strategic Management Journal, 18(7), 509-33.

Tichey, N. (1983). Managing strategic change. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Tsifora, E., & Eleftheriadou, P. (2007). Corporate governance mechanisms and financial performance: Evidence from Greek manufacturing sector. Management of International Business and Economics Systems, 1(1).

Tylor, E. B. (1971). Primitive culture: Researches into the development of mythology, philosophy, religion, language, art and custom, first pub. 1903, 2 vols, London: Murray (1871).

US General Accounting Office (1991). Management practices: U.S. companies improve performance through quality efforts. Washington DC.

