

# **IMPACT OF STRATEGIC KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT (KM) PRACTICES ON ERP SYSTEMS IN SELECT MANUFACTURING ORGANIZATIONS IN SAUDI ARABIA**

**Mohammed Majdy M Baslom** 

Business School, Hunan University, Changsha, P.R. China

Ministry of Education, Kindom of Saudi Arabia

baslom.m@hotmail.com

**Shu Tong**

Business School, Hunan University, Changsha, P.R. China

## **Abstract**

*Since ERP has successfully augmented business capacities through production network administration and building client interface in an organization. The main objective of ERP usage is to enhance business performance and reduce costs. Due to increased dependence on knowledge and aligning business strategies with learning, several new capabilities, technical as well as infrastructural, have been developed in order to assist in decision making and smoothening the ERP implementation across all business units. We identify non-linear and non-stationary empirical tools in order to enrich the research methods used for implementing KM practices. the adoption of methods such as Pearson Correlation methods and the Kruskal Wallis and Mann-Whitney Tests will help to predict numerical values, and to get a more accurate condition for the implementation of the KM practices . This study would be an innovation as it aims to introduce an improved algorithm applicable in a multi-functional and multi-scale scenario of a manufacturing organization. This algorithm will break down complex issues into simpler models and smoother, more stable and more regular patterns.*

*Keywords: Knowledge Management, ERP system, Non-linear, Non-Stationary, KW test*

## INTRODUCTION

So far ERP has successfully augmented business capacities through production network administration and building client interface in an organization. The main objective of ERP usage is to enhance business performance and reduce costs. Additionally, with the implementation of the ERP, there has also been cross-functional collaboration and coordination across the organization. ERP has thus institutionalized business procedures and information technology as best practices. However with the introduction of new technology, growth of knowledge and increased global competition, the ERP systems in organizations have started facing challenges and issues. For instance, cloud-ERP system is a response to the knowledge explosion and an attempt to increase the ERP capabilities of storage and retrieval of data.

Such a paradigm shift in ERP usage has been made possible through strategic KM practices. Due to increased dependence on knowledge and aligning business strategies with learning, several new capabilities, technical as well as infrastructural, have been developed in order to assist in decision making and smoothening the ERP implementation across all business units. For instance, KM used the company intranet as a virtual tool to develop collaboration and share new knowledge with the employees.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

In most manufacturing organizations of the recent times, a paradigm shift was felt in the domain of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system when it expanded itself from the confines of the information systems domain to strategic planning and policy making. The reason was that various strategies such as cost efficiency, product design, adaptability, availability of resources, and configurable of products and services in order to gain competitive advantage were linked with knowledge management and continuous learning practices of an organization. For instance, recently the adoption of cloud ERP as a knowledge storage and retrieval resources, has gained much popularity in several developing countries. Likewise, there are several other innovations and ERP- Technology driven practices that are evidence of growing dependence on knowledge management practices and their linkages with business strategies. A detailed and annotated literature review in the study will be made to address to all these issues. The following is a glimpse of it.

### Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)

According to Botta-Genoulaza & Pierre-Alain (2006), an ERP system is “an integrated software package composed by a set of standard functional modules (Production, Sales, Human Resources, Finance, etc.) which attempts to integrate all these functions across a company onto

a single computer system in order to serve needs of individual department holistically.” Hence, in this study, the ERP shall be understood as that system in an organization which integrates various business units and allows a cross functional productive interaction between sales, marketing, finance, and human resource management functions (Samwel& Patrick, 2013; Pelsak, 2006; Samaranayake, 2009). The ERP as a technology not only supports the flow of information across these internal functions and their daily operations and decision-making (Sternad et al., 2011; Pishdad & Haider, 2013; Garg & Garg, 2014; Beheshti et al., 2014; Ifinedo& Nahar, 2009; Garg & Chauhan, 2015) but also creates a database for all stakeholders’ interests (Bidgoli, 2004; Liu, 2011). Most of the studies indicated that the adoption of the ERP system in an organization helps enhancing business forms and diminishing operation costs (Beheshti, 2006); establishing collaboration between all business units and procedures (Harrison, 2004 ) improving the compatibility of workflow within the functional departments (Romney & Marshall, 2012; Mike & Andy, 2001) and improving the prediction of new business operations in different markets (Yahia, 2010; Galy&Sauceda, 2015; Ram et al., 2013).

ERP also closely works with other implementation strategies such as e-commerce, customer relationship management, strategic knowledge management, inventory management, and project management systems (Huang & Yasuda, 2016; Gavidia, 2016). As far as strategic KM and its impact on ERP systems is concerned in manufacturing organizations, the subject of the current study, it is felt that strategic KM unite together with ERP and their synergy is seen in activities such as product data management, automated data collection, compliance management, lean processing, total quality management, advanced planning and scheduling and product life cycle management. Hence, by integrating business strategies and KM practices with the ERP system, it is beneficial for both operations and efficiency to the organization. Often, ERP systems are also developed to resolve data fragmentation issues in organizations (Ahmad & Cuenca, 2013) and attempt to evolve a unified database for managing all the functions of an organization (Graham, 2009)

To give a few examples, ERP contributes to data management by keeping a track of numerical data, statistical results of experiments, designs, inventory, quality measures, routes of product so that any life cycle change within a product can be managed and controlled. The ERP is also capable of accomplishing data accuracy with the help of modules like Master Production Schedule (MPS) and Materials Requirement Planning (MRP) on all types of production lines. ERP tools also assist in all manufacturing operations and quality control benchmark by inspecting and testing raw material, in-process-material and the finished product. The inventory or process management is made much easier by using standardized ERP

procedures in manufacturing organizations such as Kanban and JIT leading to lean Sigma standards and supply management excellence.

### **The Critical Success Factors (CSFs) for ERP Implementation**

In spite of ERP benefits as mentioned above, there are various risks and challenges in the execution of the ERP system. Huang et al. (2004) identifies a few “dangers” including lack of administration and inadequate top management support, insufficient client coordination resulting in conflicts, failure to update business regulatory practices, and inadequate innovation and knowledge management practices. Other serious problems identified are poor planning, inadequate training for end users and their incompatibility with ERP package, high installation and training costs, and lack of coordination with business processes, (Ghosh, 2012; Umble & Umble, 2002). Besides, ERP implementation is considered as costly, complex, and hence has high failure rate (Ahmad & Cuenca, 2013; Suna et al., 2015).

To cope with these challenges and ensure a successful implementation and reduce the failure rate, a few CSFs have been identified in previous studies (Al-Mashari, Al-Mudimigh, & Zairi, 2003; Esteves-Sousa & Pastor-Collado, 2000; Holland & Light, 1999; Umble, Haft, & Umble, 2003). These include management support, training and education, ERP package selection, business process reengineering, project management, vendor support, consultant support, technological infrastructure, change management, business plan and vision, and good communication with all stakeholders and most importantly integration of knowledge management practices.

### **Knowledge Management and ERP implementation**

Tom Davenport (1994) defined Knowledge Management as “the process of capturing, distributing, and effectively using knowledge” and intranet serves as the in-house knowledge platform and an ERP tool to make data and information accessible to geographically dispersed units of an organization. With the help of KM and in order to resolve strategic challenges, ERP tools and techniques such as dashboards, data locators, navigators, best practices databases, have been developed. KM practices in manufacturing organizations are particularly required for creating a learning environment and a continuous improvement schedule. It is accomplished through creation of databases on the company intranet and synergizing them with ERP packages resulting in expansion of the capability of ERP (Lekenes and Munkvold, 2006) and bringing diversity in the ERP usage process.

Vandaie (2008) recommends two types of synergy between KM practices and ERP usage: one, managing the strategies within knowledge processes and learning acquired;

second, concentrating such knowledge processes and newly acquired learning within the ERP package and plan their usage in the organization. In this way, knowledge and learning are shared at all functional levels of the organization. A few researchers (Vandaie, 2008; LeknesMunkvold, 2006) have even asserted that KM and learning supplement ERP in organizations as their synergy systematizes procedures and increases data handling capacity of the organization. Hill et al. (2007) observe that strategy management is not only engaged in formulation, analysis, and implementation of strategies, but also in monitoring their performance and resolving strategic both internal and external issues. Due to the growing use of technology and geopolitical competition, it has been a challenge to manage organizations strategically. In such a state, knowledge management and continuous learning systems are introduced to lessen such turbulence.

### **Strategic Knowledge Management Models**

Each organization has its own unique knowledge management strategy and modeling system for problem-solving, decision making and making improvement. A few models include Nonaka and Takeuchi Model where the focus is on knowledge creation and innovations; or Zack Knowledge Management Model, where refinements takes place in the KM cycle besides its acquisition storage and retrieval through a ERP based distribution system. Similarly, there is Bukowitz and Williams Model which is basically a management framework that includes information databases, information technologies, communication infrastructure, organizational intelligence, skills, and all types of know-how. Another KM model is Karl Wiig's WIIG Model which emphasizes that knowledge could be useful and valuable only if it is organized and synchronized.

All these KM models have one thing in common: their ultimate objective is to make the organization as an intelligent unit that facilitates the creation, storage, retrieval, deployment and distribution of knowledge through an indigenized KM cycle. When such a process starts in an organization, it deeply impacts its ERP system which is modified and customized to meet the challenges created due to the strategic KM practices. The ERP system has already been seen as "a technology that integrates and automates the key business processes" (Aldayel et al., 2011) supporting business processes to achieve operational excellence, improving the decision-making process, and ensuring the survival (Laudon & Laudon, 2012; O'Brien & Marakas, 2010).

## RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

### Research Objectives

This study focuses on the development of research objectives as under:

1. With regard to Saudi Vision 2030 which emphasizes on the creation of a knowledge economy, this study will investigate how Strategic knowledge management practices can influence the ERP usage and build to a very effective IT-enabled organizations
2. Manufacturing organizations in Saudi Arabia are looking for solutions to their data retrieval issues and challenges in the event of change in their business processes. This study will examine how novel KM practices can contribute to data storage and data retrieval systems within the existing ERP systems and whether there is a need to modify, redesign or replace the ERP package.
3. In order to build a knowledge economy, there is a need to align business strategies with learning and knowledge activities of an organization. In other words, there is need to create a learning organization involved in continuous innovation and growth. This study will attempt to identify what strategic KM practices could be adopted to accomplish these objectives and how these KM practices are useful to the ERP system of the organization./
4. There are various critical success factors (CSFs) that can be introduced in order to launch a successful KM system in an organization. This study will first identify risks and challenges that a manufacturing organization might face in the absence of such CSFs and then will recommend solutions that can be effectively used to resolve such items.
5. Last, but not the least, a globally advanced knowledge integrated manufacturing organization needs a highly equipped information retrieval system. Organizations are looking for a platform that can integrate their various internal functions and also provide them an opportunity to coordinate with their external stakeholders like customers and suppliers. This study will attempt to find out how manufacturing organizations can make use of the newly developed ERP systems to accomplish this integration.

Based on the above scientific issues, this study proposes to test and investigate the research model and methods, and to systematically lay out the research content.

### Conceptual Framework

The relationship between strategic KM and the ERP system shall be investigated through a structural equation modeling (SEM) based study on the data collected from Saudi manufacturing organizations. The conceptual framework includes Knowledge management as an independent variable and its various principles playing a mediating role between the ERP system and its operational performance in the sampled organization. It is hypothesized that

there will be a positive and significant relationship between all variables with ERP a precedent variable to KM.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

For the purpose of the study, a descriptive research design was adopted. With the help of SPSS software, descriptive statistical methods (e.g standard deviation, coefficient, averages, percentages, paired samples T-test, standard deviations, simple regression analysis tests and normal distribution test) will be used to analyze the variables of the study and their basic qualities as well as to test the hypotheses of the study. The comparisons will be made between their average values during the last five years of adoption of KM practices and what new ERP models on IT regulations have been adopted leading to changes of statistically significant differences in the variables of this study.

During the sampling phase, prior to the selection of the organization, field visits will be made to a number of organizations to confirm the sampling conditions. The purposive sampling method will be used to identify four manufacturing organizations that would meet the conditions of this study particularly that it should be implementing the ERP system and must have recently introduced learning and innovative practices under their KM programs. This framework will also help understand the impact of strategic KM practices on information systems as well as on supply chain operations as they key parts of the ERP system. For the qualitative analyses of interview transcripts, the study will employ the content analysis method in order to identify categories and themes.

The adopted instrument to measure the ERP Systems in Manufacturing Organizations in Saudi Arabia was based on the performance instruments used by Morgan (2003), Cao and Schniederjans (2004), Sin et al. (2006) and Sabherwal and Chan (2001). This instrument is a synthesized measure which was made using combination of traditional accounting-based items, market growth, profitability, company reputation, and product-service innovation. In the study, independent variable was Strategic Knowledge Management KM.

Question: is there an Alignment of KM to the business of the firm?

Question : what is your firm level of Knowledge Communication and Collaboration

Question : what is the firm Knowledge Documentation

Question: what is the firm Knowledge Management Lifecycle

Equation

$$Y = F(S, \epsilon)$$

Y is the ERP Systems in Manufacturing Organizations, G is the Strategic Knowledge Management KM, ,  $\epsilon$  is the error term

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Results of the Equation.

KM	Fuzzy			ERP	Fuzzy			Common concept	Result
Continual development of knowledge	0.31	0.44	0.7	Continues improvement	0.52	0.76	0.93	Continues improvement and continual development of knowledge and information	R
Final system acceptance	0.31	0.45	0.7	Integrate the completed system to the daily operation	0.4	0.6	0.8	Final system acceptance, integrate the completed system to the daily operation	R
Connecting knowledge between suppliers to customers	0.39	0.59	0.79	Connecting suppliers to customers	0.34	0.53	0.75	Connecting suppliers to customers	R
Reward people	0.28	0.41	0.67	Reward people	0.43	0.63	0.83	Reward people	S
Final users training and performance support	0.62	0.9	1	Transfer responsibilities to the final users	0.57	0.83	0.98	Final users training and performance support	R
Conduct reviews and closing project	0.44	0.64	0.84	Conduct reviews and closing project	0.4	0.59	0.8	Conduct reviews and closing project	R

This study will also face issues related to calculating the combined return on investment (ROI) while implementing KM practices on the ERP system of all functional units of the organization under study namely sales and marketing, production, accounting and finance, and human resources. The easy solution would be to calculate the earnings per share of each unit both prior to the implementation of the KM practices and post implementation in each sampled organization. The statistical tests would indicate a strong statistical significance in the differences in average earnings per share (EPS) of both pre and post implementation of KM practices. The study will also have to limit the measurements of financial performance based on ROI as well as EPS in the manufacturing organizations under study.

## CONCLUSIONS

This study would be an innovation as it aims to introduce an improved algorithm applicable in a multi-functional and multi-scale scenario of a manufacturing organization. This algorithm will break down complex issues into simpler models and smoother, more stable and more regular patterns. These new models will then be used with different scales making it much easier to analyze and predict. This will enable to issue early warning for effectively capturing multi-functional and multi-scale characteristics in order to improve the accuracy of forecasting and also optimize the implementation KM practices thereby reducing all kinds of constraints in improving the ERP system.

We Identified non-linear and non-stationary empirical tools in order to enrich the research methods used for implementing KM practices. the adoption of methods such as Pearson Correlation methods and the Kruskal Wallis and Mann-Whitney Tests will help to predict numerical values, and to get a more accurate condition for the implementation of the KM practices .

The research still has some limitations. First, we only focus on the KM practices, to some extent the conclusion are shortsighted. Second, the method is based on some improved algorithms in a multi-functional and multi-scale scenario which may add some complexity and uncertainty of the algorithms. But anyhow, this paper still shows a promising direction to this issue.

## REFERENCES

- Abdelghaffar, H, Azim, RHA (2010) Significant factors influencing ERP implementation in large organizations: Evidence from Egypt. In European, Mediterranean & Middle Eastern Conference on Information Systems (EMCIS), Abu Dhabi, UAE, 12–13 April 2010, pp.1–16. Google Scholar
- Ağaoğlu, M., Yurtkoru, E. S., & Ekmekçi, A. K. (2015). The effect of ERP implementation CSFs on business performance: An empirical study on users' perception. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 210(2015), 35-42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.326>
- Ahmad, M. M., & Cuenca, R. P. (2013). Critical success factors for ERP implementation in SMEs. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 29(3), 104-111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2012.04.019>
- Aldayel, A. I., Aldayel, M. S., & AlMudimigh, A. S. (2011). The critical success factors of ERP implementation in higher education in Saudi Arabia: A Case Study. *Journal of Information Technology and Economic Development*, 2(2), 1-16.
- AL-Hudhaif, S. (2012). ERP implementation at King Saud University. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 12(5).
- Ali, N., Tretiakov, A., Whiddett, D., & Hunter, I. (2017). Knowledge management systems success in healthcare: Leadership matters. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 97, 331-340.
- Al-Jabri, IM, Roztock, N (2015) Adoption of ERP systems: does information transparency matter? *Telematics and Informatics* 32(2):300–310. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Al-Johani, AA, Youssef, AE (2013) A framework for ERP systems in SME based on cloud computing technology. *International Journal on Cloud Computing: Services and Architecture (IJCCSA)* 3:3. Google Scholar
- Allen, M. P. (1997). *Understanding regression analysis* (1st ed.). New York: Plenum Press.
- Al-Mashari, M., Al-Mudimigh, A., & Zairi, M. (2003). Enterprise resource planning: A taxonomy of critical factors. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 146(2), 352–364. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217\(02\)00554-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(02)00554-4) The Effects of the CSFs for ERP Implementation on the CBIS's Roles 38
- Al-Muharfi, A. A. (2014). A hybrid approach to measure ERP systems implementation using accountant's perceptions in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 14, 2925-2938. <https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2014.2925.2938>
- Althonayan, M. (2013). *Evaluating stakeholders' performance of ERP systems in Saudi Arabia higher education* (PhD. Thesis, Brunel University).
- Al-Turki, U. M. (2011). An exploratory study of ERP implementation in Saudi Arabia. *Production Planning & Control: The Management of Operations*, 22(4), 403-413. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2010.491490>
- Amba, S. M., & Abdulla, H. (2014). The impact of enterprise systems on small and medium-sized enterprises in the Kingdom of Bahrain. *International Journal of Management and Marketing Research*, 7(1), 49-57.
- Amoako-Gyampah, K., & Salam, A. F. (2004). An extension of the technology acceptance model in an ERP implementation environment. *Information and Management*, 41(6), 731-745. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2003.08.010>
- Arnesen, S (2013) Is cloud ERP solution right for you. *Strategic Finance* 95(2):45–50. Google Scholar

- Aslan, B., Stevenson, M., & Hendry, C. (2015). The applicability and impact of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems: Results from a mixed method study on Make-To-Order (MTO) companies. *Computers in Industry*, 70, 127-143. Retrieved from [http://eprints.lancs.ac.uk/70039/1/Aslan\\_et\\_al\\_2014\\_Final\\_.pdf](http://eprints.lancs.ac.uk/70039/1/Aslan_et_al_2014_Final_.pdf)
- Awa, HO, Ojiabo, OU, Emecheta, BC (2015) Integrating TAM, TPB and TOE frameworks and expanding their characteristic constructs for e-commerce adoption by SMEs. *Journal of Science & Technology Policy Management* 6(1):76–94. Google Scholar, Crossref
- AzadehPishdad, Abrar Haider (2013). ERP institutionalization: exploring the influential factors. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 26(6), 642-660.
- Bahrani, B., & Jordan, E. (2009). Impacts of enterprise resource planning implementation on decision making processes in Australian organisations. *Proceedings of the Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS 2009)*, Hyderabad, India.
- Barakat, Abdullah (2011). Measure the impact of the application of the organization's resources planning on the return on investment in the Saudi industrial companies –applied to the study of industrial companies listed on the Saudi Stock Exchange. *An International Forum on Intellectual Capital in the Arab Business Organizations in Modern Economies*.
- Bhatti, T., & Jayaraman, V. (2008). An empirical validation of the critical success factors for the implementation of ERP Systems. *Proceedings of the European and Mediterranean Conference on Information Systems (EMCIS2008)*, Dubai.
- Birkinshaw, J. (2001). Why is knowledge management so difficult?. *Business strategy review*, 12(1), 11-18.
- Borgman, HP, Bahli, B, Heier, H, Schewski, F (2013) Cloudrise: Exploring cloud computing adoption and governance with the TOE framework. 46th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS), Wailea, Maui, HI, USA, 7–10 January, pp.4425–4435. IEEE. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Bose, R. (2003). Knowledge management-enabled health care management systems: capabilities, infrastructure, and decision-support. *Expert systems with Applications*, 24(1), 59-71.
- Botta-Genoulaza, V., & Pierre-Alain, M. (2006). An investigation into the use of ERP systems in the service sector. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 99(1-2), 202-221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2004.12.015>
- Bradford, M., & Florin, J. (2003). Examining the role of innovation diffusion factors on the implementation success of enterprise resource planning systems. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 4(3), 205-225. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1467-0895\(03\)00026-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1467-0895(03)00026-5)
- Buleje, M. A. (2014). The impact of enterprise resource planning systems on small and medium enterprises (PhD. Thesis, Nova Southeastern University).
- Cartman, C, Salazar, A (2011) The influence of organisational size, internal IT capabilities, and competitive and vendor pressures on ERP adoption in SMEs. *International Journal of Enterprise Information Systems (IJEIS)*, 7(3):68–92. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Carton, F., & Adam, F. (2010). Understanding the impact of technology on managerial decision making – The case of the ERP system. *Proceedings of the 2010 conference on Bridging the Socio-technical Gap in Decision Support Systems: Challenges for the Next Decade*, Amsterdam, 407-418.
- Carutasu, N, Carutasu, G (2016) Cloud ERP implementation. *FAIMA Business & Management Journal* 4(1):31. Google Scholar
- Chen, S., Elbashir, M., Peng, X., & Zhu, D. (2016). The effect of ERP systems competences on business process and organizational performance. *International Journal of Management Theory and Practices*, 17(1), 5-35.
- Cheng, E., & Wang, Y. J. (2006). Business process reengineering and ERP systems benefits. *Proceedings of the 11th Annual Conference of Asia Pacific Decision Sciences Institute Hong Kong*, 201-213.
- Chopra, M, Mungi, J, Chopra, K (2013) A survey on use of cloud computing in various fields. *International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology Research (IJSETR)* 2(2). Google Scholar
- Clohessy, T, Acton, T (2013) Cloud enterprise resource planning (ERP): A viable alternative for Irish e-government. 26th Bled eConference Innovations: Challenges and Impacts for Individuals, Organizations and Society, Bled, Slovenia, 9–13 June, pp.23–31. Google Scholar
- Costa, C., Ferreira, E., Bento, F., & Aparicio, M. (2016). Enterprise resource planning adoption and satisfaction determinants. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 63, 659-671. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.05.090>
- Cronbach, L. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16(3), 297-334. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02310555>

- Davenport, T. H. (2007). *Mission Critical: Realizing the Promise of Enterprise Systems*. Harvard Business School Press, U.S.A.
- Davenport, T. H., De Long, D. W., & Beers, M. C. (1998). Successful knowledge management projects. *Sloan management review*, 39(2), 43.
- Denis, J. L., &Lehoux, P. (2013). Organizational theories. Knowledge translation in health care: Moving from evidence to practice, 308-319.
- Dezdar, S., &Sulaiman, A. (2009). Successful enterprise resource planning implementation: Taxonomy of critical factors. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 109(8), 1037-1052.
- Doms, M., Dunne, T., & Roberts, M. (1995). The role of technology use in the survival and growth of manufacturing plants. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 13(4), 523-542. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7187\(95\)00503-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7187(95)00503-X)
- Duan, J, Faker, P, Fesak, A, Stuart, T (2013) Benefits and drawbacks of cloud-based versus traditional ERP systems. Proceedings of the 2012-13 course on Advanced Resource Planning. Google Scholar
- Dwivedi, A. N., Bali, R. K., &Naguib, R. N. G. (2006, January). Implications for healthcare knowledge management systems: A case study. In *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, 2005. IEEE-EMBS 2005. 27th Annual International Conference of the* (pp. 5668-5671). IEEE.
- Elbeltagi, I, Al Sharji, Y, Hardaker, G, Elsetouhi, A (2013) The role of the owner-manager in SMEs' adoption of information and communication technology in the United Arab Emirates. *Journal of Global Information Management (JGIM)* 21(2):23–50. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Elragal, A., & Al-Serafi, A. (2011). The effect of ERP system implementation on business performance: An exploratory case-study. *Communications of the IBIMA*, 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.5171/2011.670212>
- Esteves, J. (2009). A benefit realization road-map framework for ERP usage in small and medium-sized enterprises. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 22(12), 25-35. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17410390910922804>
- Falkman, G., Torgersson, O., Jontell, M., &Gustafsson, M. (2005). SOMWeb-Towards an Infrastructure for Knowledge Sharing in Oral Medicine. *Studies in health technology and informatics*, 116, 527-532.
- Fichman, RG (1992) Information technology diffusion: A review of empirical research. 13th International Conference on Information Systems, Texas, USA, 13–16 December, pp.195–206. Google Scholar
- Fichman, RG, Kemerer, CF (1993) Adoption of software engineering process innovations: The case of object orientation. *Sloan Management Review* 34(2):7–22. Google Scholar
- Fornell, C, Larcker, DF (1981) Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: Algebra and statistics. *Journal of Marketing Research* 382–388. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Galy, E., &Sauceda, M. (2015). Post-implementation practices of ERP systems and their relationship to financial performance. *Information & Management*, 51(3), April 2014,310-319.
- Gangwar, H, Date, H, Ramaswamy, R (2015) Understanding determinants of cloud computing adoption using an integrated TAM-TOE model. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management* 28(1):107–130. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Ghosh, R., (2012). A comprehensive study on ERP failures stressing on reluctance to change as a cause of failure. *Journal of Marketing and Management*, 3(1), 123-134.
- Gold, A. H., Malhotra, A. &Segars, A. H. (2001). Knowledge management: An organizational capabilities perspective. *Journal of management information systems*, 18(1), 185-214.
- Götz, O, Liehr, GK, Krafft, M (2010) Evaluation of structural equation models using the partial least squares (PLS) approach. *Handbook of Partial Least Squares*, 691–711. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Graham, J. (2009). *Enterprise resource planning in higher education* (PhD Thesis, University of Missouri-Columbia).
- Guptill, J. (2005). Knowledge management in health care. *Journal of health care Finance*, 31(3), 10-14.
- Ha, I, Yoon, Y, Choi, M (2007) Determinants of adoption of mobile games under mobile broadband wireless access environment. *Information & Management* 44(3):276–286. Google Scholar, Crossref, ISI
- Hair, J. F., Black, B., Babin, B., Anderson, R., & Tatham, R. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis* (6th ed.). Pearson.
- Hair, JJF, Hult, GTM, Ringle, C, Sarstedt, M (2013) A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). SAGE Publications. Google Scholar
- Hammer, M., &Champy, J. (1993). *Reengineering the corporation: A manifesto for business revolution*. Harper Collins. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-6813\(05\)80064-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-6813(05)80064-3)

- Hansen, M. T., Nohria, N., & Tierney, T. (1999). What's your strategy for managing knowledge. *The knowledge management yearbook 2000–2001*, 1-10.
- Hart, C., & Snaddon, R. (2014). The organizational performance impact of ERP systems on selected companies. *The South African Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 25(1), 14-28. <https://doi.org/10.7166/25-1-615>
- Hassabelnaby, Hassan, R., & David, & Vonderembse, Mark, A. (2012). The Impact of ERP Implementation on Organizational Capabilities and Firm Performance (October 26, 2011). *Benchmarking: the International Journal*, 19, 2012.
- Hinkin, TR (1995) A review of scale development practices in the study of organizations. *Journal of Management* 21(5):967–988. Google Scholar, SAGE Journals, ISI
- Holsapple, C., & Sena, M. (2005). ERP plans and decision-support benefits. *Decision Support Systems*, 38(4), 575-590. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2003.07.001>
- Hooshang M. Beheshti, Bruce K. Blaylock, Dale A. Henderson, James G. Lollar (2014). Selection and critical success factors in successful ERP implementation. *Competitiveness Review*, 24(4), 357-375.
- Hou, C., & Papamichail, K. N. (2010). The impact of integrating enterprise resource planning systems with business intelligence systems on decision-making performance: An empirical study of the semiconductor industry. *International Journal of Technology, Policy and Management*, 10(3), 201-226. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTPM.2010.034510>
- Hunton, J. E., Lippincott, B., & Reck, J. L. (2003). Enterprise resource planning systems: comparing firm performance of adopters and non-adopter. *International Journal of Accounting Information System*, 4(3), 165-184.
- Hwang, D., Min, H. (2015). Identifying the drivers of enterprise resource planning and assessing its impacts on supply chain performances. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 115(3), 541-569.
- Hwang, Y. (2014). User experience and personal innovativeness: An empirical study on the Enterprise Resource Planning systems *Computers in Human Behavior*, 34, 227-234.
- Iqbal, U, Uppström, E, Juell-Skielse, G (2012) Cloud ERP implementation challenges: A study based on ERP life cycle model. *Advances in Enterprise Information Systems II* 2(2):389. Google Scholar
- Iyer, B, Henderson, JC (2012) Business value from clouds: Learning from users. *MIS Quarterly Executive* 11(1):51–60. Google Scholar
- Jahangir, K., Somers, T., & Bhattacharjee, A. (2007). The impact of ERP implementation on business process outcomes: A factor-based study. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 24(1), 101-134. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MIS0742-1222240103>
- Jeyaraj, A, Rottman, JW, Lacity, MC (2006) A review of the predictors, linkages, and biases in IT innovation adoption research. *Journal of Information Technology* 21(1):1–23. Google Scholar, Crossref, ISI
- Jones, MC, Cline, M, Ryan, S (2006) Exploring knowledge sharing in ERP implementation: An organizational culture framework. *Decision Support Systems* 41(2):411–434. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Ke, W, Wei, KK (2008) Organizational culture and leadership in ERP implementation. *Decision Support Systems* 45(2):208–218. Google Scholar, Crossref, ISI
- Kendall, JD, Tung, LL, Chua, KH, Ng, CHD, Tan, SM (2001) Receptivity of Singapore's SMEs to electronic commerce adoption. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems* 10(3): 223–242. Google Scholar, Crossref
- King, P. (2002). The promise and performance of enterprise systems in higher education (Respondent Summary). ECAR Respondent Summary.
- Kinuthia, JN (2014) Technological, Organizational, and Environmental Factors Affecting the Adoption of Cloud Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Systems (Doctoral dissertation, Eastern Michigan University). Google Scholar
- Klaus, H., Rosemann, M., & Gable, G. (2000). What is ERP? *Information Systems Frontiers*, 2(2), 141-162. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026543906354>
- Kurbel, K. E. (2013). *Enterprise resource planning and supply chain management: Functions, business processes and software for manufacturing companies*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-31573-2>
- Laudon, K. C., & Laudon, J. (2012). *Essential of management information systems* (10th ed.). Prentice Hall.
- Law, CC, Ngai, EW (2007) ERP systems adoption: An exploratory study of the organizational factors and impacts of ERP success. *Information & Management* 44(4):418–432. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Lee, D., Lee, S., Olson, D., & Chung, S. (2010). The effect of organizational support on ERP implementation. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*. 110(2), 269-283. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635571011020340>

- Lee, H., Kelley, D., Lee, J., & Lee, S. (2012). SME survival: The impact of internationalization, technology re-sources, and alliances. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 50(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-627X.2011.00341.x>  
The Effects of the CSFs for ERP Implementation on the CBIS's Roles 40
- Lenart, A (2011) ERP in the cloud—benefits and challenges. *Research in Systems Analysis and Design: Models and methods*, 39–50. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Leyh, C. (2016). Critical success factors for ERP projects in small and medium-sized enterprises – The perspective of selected ERP system vendors. In F. Piazzolo & M. Felderer (Eds.), *Multidimensional Views on Enterprise Information Systems, Lecture Notes in Information Systems and Organisation* (pp. 7-22). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-27043-2\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-27043-2_2)
- Li, YH (2008) An empirical investigation on the determinants of e-procurement adoption in Chinese manufacturing enterprises. *International Conference on Management Science and Engineering*, Long Beach, CA, USA, 10–12 September, pp. 32–37. IEEE. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Lin, H. (2010). An investigation into the effects of IS quality and top management support on ERP system usage. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 21(3), 335-349. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783360903561761>
- Lin, HF, Lin, SM (2008) Determinants of e-business diffusion: A test of the technology diffusion perspective. *Technovation* 28(3):135–145. Google Scholar, Crossref, ISI
- Liu, H, Wang, X (2010) Strategy research of enterprise information planning based on TOE-TAM model: Case study for ERP implementation of a discrete manufacturing. *2nd International Workshop on Database Technology and Applications*, Wuhan, China, 27–28 November, pp.1–5. IEEE. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Liu, P. (2011). Empirical study on influence of critical success factors on ERP knowledge management on management performance in high-tech industries in Taiwan. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(8), August 2011, 10696-10704.
- Low, C, Chen, Y, Wu, M (2011) Understanding the determinants of cloud computing adoption. *Industrial Management & Data Systems* 111(7):1006–1023. Google Scholar, Crossref, ISI
- Lutovac, M, Manojlov, D (2012) The successful methodology for enterprise resource planning (ERP) implementation. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing* 8(12):1838–1847. Google Scholar
- Maditinos, D., Chatzoudes, D., & Tsairidis, C. (2011). Factors affecting ERP system implementation effectiveness. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 25(1), 60-78. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17410391211192161>
- Marston, S, Li, Z, Bandyopadhyay, S, Zhang, J, Ghalsasi, A (2011) Cloud computing—The business perspective. *Decision Support Systems* 51(1):176–189. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Mijac, M, Picek, R, Stapic, Z (2013) Cloud ERP system customization challenges. *Central European Conference on Information and Intelligent Systems*, Varazdin, Croatia, 18–20, September, pp. 132–140. Google Scholar
- Mike, K., & Andy, N. (2001). Enterprise resource planning: analyzing the impact. *Integrated Manufacturing Systems*, 12(2), 103-113.
- Motalab, MB, Shohag, SAM (2011) Cloud computing and the business consequences of ERP use. *International Journal of Computer Applications* 28(8):31–37. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Munther Al-Nimer, Ahmed Omush and Adel Almasarwah (2017). ERP implementation in banks: success factors & impact on financial performance. *Banks and Bank Systems*, 12(4), 17-30.
- Nah, F. F., Zuckweiler, K. M., & Lau, J. I. (2003). ERP implementation: Chief information officers' perceptions of critical success factors. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 16(1), 5-22. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327590IJHC1601\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327590IJHC1601_2)
- Nah, FFH, Zuckweiler, KM, Lee-Shang, LJ (2003) ERP implementation: Chief information officers' perceptions of critical success factors. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction* 16(1):5–22. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Navaneethakrishnan, CMA (2013) Comparative study of cloud based ERP systems with traditional ERP and analysis of cloud ERP implementation. *International Journal of Engineering and Computer Science* 2(9):2866–2869. Google Scholar
- Ngai, E., Law, C., & Wat, F. (2008). Examining the critical success factors in the adoption of enterprise resource planning. *Computers in Industry*, 59(6), 548–564. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2007.12.001>
- Nicolaou, A., & Bhattacharya, S. (2006). Organizational performance effects of ERP systems usage: The impact of post-implementation changes. *Accounting Information Systems*, 7, 18-35.
- Nunnally, J., & Bernstein, I. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.

- Nwankpa, J. (2015). ERP system usage and benefit: A model of antecedents and outcomes. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 45(2015), 335-344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.12.019>
- O'Brien, J., & Marakas, G. (2010). *Management information systems* (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Ogan Yigitbasiglu (2016). Firms' information system characteristics and management accounting adaptability. *International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, 24(1), 20-37.
- Oliveira, T, Martins, MF (2010) Understanding e-business adoption across industries in European countries. *Industrial Management & Data Systems* 110(9):1337–1354. Google Scholar, Crossref, ISI
- Omotayo, F. O. (2015). Knowledge Management as an important tool in Organisational Management: A Review of Literature. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 1.
- Oz, E. (2008). *Management information systems* (6th ed.). Boston: Course Technology Press.
- Pan, M., & Jang, W. (2008). Determinants of the adoption of enterprise resource planning within the technology-organization-environment framework: Taiwan's communications industry. *The Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 48(3), 94-102.
- Panorama (2016) Report on ERP systems and enterprise software. Panorama Consulting Group, South Quebec Street, USA 1–15. Google Scholar
- Pareek, R (2014) Analytical study of cloud ERP and ERP. *International Journal of Engineering and Computer Science* 3(10):8710–871. Google Scholar
- Peslak, Alan, R. (2006). Enterprise resource planning success: An exploratory study of the financial executive perspective. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 106(9), 1288-1303.
- Premaratne Samaranayake (2009). Business process integration, automation, and optimization in ERP: Integrated approach using enhanced process models. *Business Process Management Journal*, 15(4), 504-526.
- Premkumar, G (2003) A meta-analysis of research on information technology implementation in small business. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce* 13(2):91–121. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Princely Ifinedo, Nazmun Nahar (2009). Interactions between contingency, organizational IT factors, and ERP success, *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 109(1), 118-137.
- Rabaai, A. (2009). The impact of organisational culture on ERP systems implementation: Lessons from Jordan. *Proceedings of the Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS)*, Hyderabad, India.
- Rabaai, A., Bandara, W., & Gable, G. G. (2009). ERP system in the higher education sector: A descriptive case study. *Proceedings of the 20th Australasian Conference on Information Systems*, Melbourne, 456-470.
- Rajan, C., & Baral, R. (2015). Adoption of ERP system: An empirical study of factors influencing the usage of ERP and its impact on end user. *IIMB Management Review*, 27(2), 105-117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2015.04.008>
- Ram, J, Corkindale, D, Wu, ML (2013) Implementation critical success factors (CSFs) for ERP: Do they contribute to implementation success and post-implementation performance? *International Journal of Production Economics* 144(1):157–174. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Ram, J., & Corkindale, D. (2014). How 'critical' are the critical success factors (CSFs)? Examining the role of CSFs for ERP. *Business Process Management Journal*, 20(1), 151-174. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-11-2012-0127>
- Ram, J., Corkindale, D., & Wu, Ming-Lu (2013). Implementation critical success factors (CSFs) for ERP: Do they contribute to implementation success and post implementation performance? *International Journal of Production Economics*, 144(1), July 2013, 157-174.
- Ram, J., Wu, M., & Tagg, R. (2014). Competitive advantage from ERP projects: Examining the role of key implementation drivers. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(4), 663-675. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2013.08.004>
- Ramayah, T., Yan, L. C., & Sulaiman, M. (2005). SME e-readiness in Malaysia: Implications for planning and implementation. *Sasin Journal of Management*, 11(1), 103-120.
- Rogers, E (1995) *Diffusion of Innovations*. New York, NY: Free Press. Google Scholar
- Rogers, EM (2010) *Diffusion of Innovations*. Simon and Schuster. Google Scholar
- Romm, T, Pliskin, N, Weber, Y, Lee, AS (1991) Identifying organizational culture clash in MIS implementation: When is it worth the effort? *Information & Management* 21(2):99–109. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Romney & Marshall (2012). *Accounting Information Systems*. Pearson Higher Education Global Edition, 12/E - 686.

- Ruivo, P., Oliveira, T., Neto, M. (2012) ERP post-adoption: Use and value—an empirical study on Portuguese SMEs. *Knowledge and Technologies in Innovative Information Systems* 67–81. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Saini, SL, Saini, DK, Yousif, JH, Khandage, SV (2011) Cloud computing and enterprise resource planning systems. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering, London, U.K, 6–8 July*, pp.1–4. Google Scholar
- Saleh, M. F., Abbad, M., & Al-Shehri, M. (2013). ERP implementation success factors in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Computer Science and Security (IJCSS)*, 7(1), 15-30.
- Salim, SA (2013) Cloud ERP adoption-A process view approach. 17th The Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS), Jeju Island, South Korea, June 18–22, pp. 203–281. Google Scholar
- Samwel, M., Patrick, O. (2013). Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) System Implementation: A Case for User Participation. *Procedia Technology*, 9, 518- 526.
- Schniederjans, D., & Yadav, S. (2013). Successful ERP implementation: An integrative model. *Business Process Management Journal*, 19(2), 364-398. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14637151311308358> Fadelelmoula 41
- Sen, A., & Srivastava, M. (1990). *Regression analysis: Theory, methods and applications* (1st ed.). Springer-Verlag.
- Shang, S., & Seddon, P. (2003). A comprehensive framework for assessing and managing the benefits of enterprise systems: The business manager's perspective. In G. Shanks, P. B. Seddon, & L. P. Willcocks (Eds.), *Second-wave enterprise resource planning systems* (pp. 74-101). Cambridge University Press.
- Silva, N., &Gunawardana, K. (2011). The impact of enterprise resource planning systems on management accounting in private companies in Sri Lanka. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1742377>
- Simona Sternad, Miro Gradisar, SamoBobek (2011). The influence of external factors on routine ERP usage. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 111(9), 1511-1530.
- Singh, A, Nagpal, S (2014) Implementation of ERP in cloud computing. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research* 3(10): 10. Google Scholar
- Son, I, Lee, D (2011) Assessing a new IT service model, cloud computing. 15th The Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS), Brisbane, Australia, 7–11 July 2011, pp.1–10. Google Scholar
- Sönmez, A. (2013). Firm entry, survival, and exit. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 2(9), 160 -167. <https://doi.org/10.5901/ajis.2013.v2n9p160>
- Suna, H., Nib, W., &Lamc, R. (2015). A step-by-step performance assessment and improvement method for ERP implementation: Action case studies in Chinese companies. *Computers in Industry*, 68(2015), 40–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2014.12.005>
- Tingting Huang, Kazuhiko Yasuda (2016). Comprehensive review of literature survey articles on ERP. *Business Process Management Journal*, 22(1), 2-32.
- Tornatzky, LG, Fleischer, M (1990) *The Processes of Technological Innovation*, MA: Lexington Books. Google Scholar
- Tsai, W., Shaw, M., Fan, Y., Liu, J., Lee, K., & Chen, H. (2011). An empirical investigation of the impacts of internal/external facilitators on the project success of ERP: A structural equation model. *Decision Support Systems*, 50(2), 480-490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2010.11.005>
- Umble, E. J., &Umble, M. (2002). Avoiding ERP implementation failure. *Industrial Management*, 44(1), 25-33.
- Umble, E. J., Haft, R. R., &Umble, M. M. (2003). Enterprise resource planning: Implementation procedures and critical success factors. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 146(2), 241–257. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217\(02\)00547-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(02)00547-7)
- Uwizeyemungu, S., & Raymond, L. (2012). Impact of an ERP system's capabilities upon the realisation of its business value: A resource-based perspective. *Information Technology and Management*, 13(2), 69-90. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10799-012-0118-9>
- Vemuri, V., &Palvia, S. (2006). Improvement in operational efficiency due to ERP systems implementation: Truth or myth? *Information Resources Management Journal*, 19(2), 18-36. <https://doi.org/10.4018/irmj.2006040102>
- Wang, E., & Chen, J. (2006). Effects of internal support and consultant quality on the consulting process and ERP system quality. *Decision Support Systems*, 42(2), 1029-1041. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2005.08.005>
- Wen, KW, Chen, Y (2010) E-business value creation in small and medium enterprises: A US study using the TOE framework. *International Journal of Electronic Business* 8(1):80–100. Google Scholar, Crossref

- Weng, F, Hung, MC (2014) Competition and challenge on adopting cloud ERP. *International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology* 5(4):8. Google Scholar
- Wieder, B., Booth, P., Matolcsy, Z., & Ossimitz, M. (2004). The impact of ERP systems on firm and business process performance. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 19(1), 13-29. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17410390610636850>
- Xu, W., Ou, P., & Fan, W. (2017). Antecedents of ERP assimilation and its impact on ERP value: A TOE-based model and empirical test. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 19(1), 13-30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-015-9583-0>  
The Effects of the CSFs for ERP Implementation on the CBIS's Roles 42
- Yahia ZareMehjerdi (2010). Enterprise resource planning: risk and benefit analysis. *Business Strategy Series*, 11(5), 308-324.
- Yeh, C., Xu, Y. (2013). Managing critical success strategies for an enterprise resource planning
- Yu, C. (2005). Causes influencing the effectiveness of the post-implementation ERP system. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 105(1), 115–132. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570510575225>
- Zhang, J, Wang, R (2013) Applied research on a cloud-based ERP service system within the SOA framework. Fifth International Conference on Computational and Information Sciences (ICCIS), Shiyang, China, 21– June, pp.1401–1404. IEEE. Google Scholar, Crossref
- Zhang, L., Lee, M., Zhang, Z., & Banerjee, P. (2003). Critical success factors of enterprise resource planning systems implementation success in China. *Proceedings of the 36th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'03)*.
- Zhu, K, Dong, S, Xu, SX, Kraemer, KL (2006) Innovation diffusion in global contexts: Determinants of post-adoption digital transformation of European companies. *European Journal of Information Systems* 15:601–616. Google Scholar, Crossref