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Abstract
The major focus of this study was to determine the relationship between e-procurement systems and performance of procurement function in commercial banks in Kenya. Within this scope, the study focused on e-tendering, e-sourcing and e-informing which were significant in determining the relationship between e-procurement systems and performance of e-procurement function. The target population constituted 486 members of staff of Kenya Commercial Bank who were of different managerial levels currently working at the bank. From this target population, total 97 participants who were selected by simple random sampling. Total 81 questionnaires were duly completed and returned, thus representing 84%. The instruments for data collection were semi-structured questionnaire which were researcher-administered. Collected data were then processed and analysed using descriptive statistics. The findings were presented through percentages, means, standard deviations and frequencies. The information is displayed by use of tables, bar charts, graphs, pie charts and in prose-form. It was further recommended that procuring entities be aware of the risks posed by the e-systems and should practice lots of internal controls for risk management and to limit quality related problems. Finally management of these financial institutions needed to commit to staff training for both end users and procurement staff in order to keep the dynamism of procurement.
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INTRODUCTION

The goal of any procurement in principle is to obtain the right product or service, at the right place, at the right time, at the right price in the most efficient manner possible. When procurement is done right, done right, the benefits accruing from such best practices include; save time, money, and value addition to their product or service. An organization may however add 25% to 30% on its bottom line if procurement function is not handled correctly.

The consensus is that e-Procurement benefits organizations with respect to procurement cost and process efficiency associated with procurement activities (Choudhury & Hartzel, 2008). This is due to web-based e-Procurement solutions which can support four major B2B tasks in organizations: search, processing, monitoring and control, and coordination (Subramaniam and Shaw, 2012). The benefits of e-Procurement have been verified by many leading companies worldwide, and e- Procurement is a significant tactic in most companies’ e-Business strategies (Deloitte Consulting, 2011).

E-business has the potential to generate huge new wealth and to transform the way business is conducted in unprecedented ways (Amit & Zott, 2001). The use of new technology in procurement seems to promise substantial benefits (Neef, 2001).

The questions that arise are how to capture this possibility as wisely as possible, which e-procurement tools are necessary to have and which ones are not. There is a need for some kind of guidance for assessing the new e-procurement tools and under what circumstances to use them (Gattiker, 2000), since they are considered to be of extreme interest for the development of the procurement function during the coming decade (Carter, Kaufmann, Beall, Carter, Hendrick & Petersen, 2000). The procurement function cannot be viewed in isolation in a firm; it is important that the procurement function operates in conjunction with the corporation, and that the procurement strategies are consistent with corporate competitive strategy (Watts, 1995).

The assumption is that the procurement function can contribute to the success of the corporation: “By developing a procurement strategy that focuses on the character of its competitive strength, a firm can enhance its market position” (Rajagopal & Bernard, 1993). Narasimhan and Carter (1998) argue “that purchasing practices should fundamentally stem from and be linked to those firm priorities if purchasing is to become strategic”.

E-Procurement

Information and communication technologies are changing the way organizations do business, particularly the adoption of e-business and e-commerce. The scope of e-business includes information exchange, commercial transactions and knowledge sharing between organizations
(Croom, 2005), whereas e-commerce focuses only on commercial transactions (Cullen & Webster, 2007). Electronic data interchange (EDI) has become a very integral part of any modern business unit.

Firms in diverse industries use electronic procurement (e-procurement) in an attempt to increase the efficiency of the purchasing/supply management function and to reduce costs. Presutti (2003) defined e-procurement as “a technology solution that facilitates corporate buying using the internet.” Min & Galle (2003) defined e-procurement as “business-to-business purchasing practice that utilizes electronic commerce to identify potential sources of supply, to purchase goods and services, to transfer payment, and to interact with suppliers”. E-procurement is part of a broader concept called information technology (IT), which the American Heritage Dictionary (2005) defines as “the development, installation, and implementation of computer systems and applications”.

While many firms adopt e-procurement in an attempt to achieve the proposed benefits of lower costs and improved efficiency, it should be noted that the use of e-procurement does not guarantee positive outcomes for buyers or suppliers. Emiliani & Stec’s (2005) study of reverse auction use in the wood pallet industry found that suppliers realized few, if any benefits from participation, suppliers engaged in retaliatory pricing when the opportunity presented itself, buyers encountered unanticipated costs, and less-than-optimal buyer-supplier relationships resulted. Some additional challenges associated with the effectiveness of e-procurement include information sharing within and across firms, overcoming the “silo mentality” within the firm, sharing proprietary information with supply chain members, and intellectual property matters. Astute decision-makers recognize that successful implementation of e-procurement applications relies not only on the capabilities of the application itself, but also on non-technical factors such as realignment of the procurement function, integration of the e-procurement system with other relevant systems, redesign of the procurement process, realignment of the purchasing organization, and integrating suppliers at an early stage (Puschmann & Rainer, 2005).

A wide variety of Internet-based technologies are available to firms attempting to improve their business position (on-line catalogs, on-line auctions). Internet-based technologies vary in many respects, including the ability to facilitate process integration within and across firms. According to the American Heritage Dictionary (2005), to integrate is to “make into a whole by bringing all parts together; to unify or to make part of a larger unit”. Some firms adopt technologies that involve applications within a single function (electronic requisitions), while others use e-procurement applications that provide for process integration across multiple functions within a single firm (enterprise resource planning systems (ERP), yet others use e-
procurement applications that facilitate integration across organizations (electronic data interchange (EDI)).

Objectives of the Study
The general objective of the study was to determine the relationship between E-Procurement systems and performance of E-procurement function in Commercial banks in Kenya. The study also looked into the following specific objectives:

i. To determine the relationship between e-tendering and performance of banking sector in Kenya

ii. To examine the relationship between e-sourcing and performance of banking sector in Kenya

iii. To determine the relationship between e-informing and performance of banking sector in Kenya

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK
This subsection reviews the various theories which explain the concept of e-procurement and its systems. The specific theories presented include technology acceptance theory, logistics theory, innovation diffusion theory, and transaction cost theory.

Technology Acceptance Theory
Some studies used technology acceptance model or theory of planned behaviour in order to understand the adoption of new technology in public sector setting (Aboelmaged, 2010; Wahid, 2010; Davis, 1989). Although those models suggest perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use as critical antecedents to users' technology adoption process, those models are not specific on the implementation of a new technology such as e-procurement system. Our theoretical framework draws on Croom & Brandon-Jones (2007), which is found useful to understand key challenges of e-procurement implementation in government sector.

Logistics Theory
Logistics is defined as the planning, organization, and control of all activities in the material flow, from raw material until final consumption and reverse flows of the manufactured product, with the aim of satisfying the customer’s and other interest party’s needs and wishes i.e., to provide a good customer service, low cost, low tied-up capital and small environmental consequences (Jonsson & Mattsson, 2005). Logistics is also defined as those activities that relate to receiving
the right product or service in the right quantity, in the right quality, in the right place, at the right
time, delivering to the right customer, and doing this at the right cost.

In most of the cases logistics is seen from the perspective of an operative way of
transporting or moving materials from one point to another or producing service. The credibility
of this operation is based on how good is the design of the system that leads to this kind of
logistics. Logistics systems encompass operative responsibilities, which include administration,
operation and purchase and constructive duties as well as detailed design, (Lumsden, 2003).

Logistics management is that part of procurement management that plans, implements,
and controls the efficient, effective forward and reverses flow and storage of goods, services,
and related information between the point of origin and the point of consumption in order to
meet customer’s requirements. Logistics management activities typically include inbound and
outbound transportation management, fleet management, warehousing, materials handling,
order fulfillment, logistics network design, inventory management, supply or demand planning,
and management of third party logistics services providers. To varying degrees, the logistics
function also includes sourcing and procurement, production planning and scheduling,
packaging and assembly, and customer service. It is involved in all levels of planning and
execution strategic, operational, and tactical. Logistics management is an integrating function
which coordinates and optimizes all logistics activities, as well as integrates logistics activities
with other functions, including marketing, sales, manufacturing, finance, and information
technology, (Van Hoek et al., 2001)

Innovation Diffusion Theory
The Innovation diffusion theory is a model grounded in business study. Since 1940’s the social
scientists coined the terms diffusion and diffusion theory (Dean, 2004). This theory provides a
framework with which we can make predictions for the time period that is necessary for a
technology to be accepted. Constructs are the characteristics of the new technology, the
communication networks and the characteristics of the adopters. We can see innovation
diffusion as a set of four basic elements: the innovation, the time, the communication process
and the social system. Here, the concept of a new idea is passed from one member of a social
system to another. Clemons, (1992) redefined a number of constructs for use to examine
individual technology acceptance such as relative advantage, ease of use, image, compatibility
and results demonstrability. The advantage of the improved system is that it has allowed for
better communication between the banks since they have to communicate to ensure that less
time is taken to realize value on the cheques.
Transaction Cost Theory
Transaction cost theory could serve as a good starting point for the analysis, which explains why certain tasks are performed by firms and others by markets (Coase, 1937). Transaction costs can be divided into coordination costs and transaction risk (Clemons & Row, 1992). Coordination costs are the direct costs of integrating decisions between economic activities (such as search and bargaining costs). Transaction risk is associated with the exposure to being exploited in the relationship (Clemons & Row, 1992).

Uncertainty and asset specificity are two factors, which increase coordination costs and transaction risk, respectively (Williamson, 1985). The use of information technology has facilitated the reduction of coordination costs, which has been extensively documented in the literature (Bakos, 1991). For example, electronic market places, facilitated through IT, reduce the cost of searching for obtaining information about product offerings and prices (Bakos, 1991). Also, collaboration facilitated by information sharing can lower transaction costs (in particular coordination costs) as companies can thereby reduce supply chain uncertainty and thus the cost of contracting.

Uncertainty in the context of supply chains and more specifically in manufacturing is caused by supply uncertainty, demand uncertainty, new product development uncertainty, and technology uncertainty (Koh, 2006). (Sutcliffe & Zaheer, 1998), classified uncertainty as primary, competitive, and supplier uncertainty. Primary uncertainty is consistent with Koopmans' (1957) and Williamson's (1985) and refers to the "lack of knowledge of states of nature" (Sutcliffe and Zaheer, 1998). Competitive uncertainty arises from the innocent or strategic actions of potential or actual competitors (Sutcliffe and Zaheer, 1998).

LITERATURE REVIEW
This section presents the review of literature related to the study problem. The specific themes reviewed include e-tendering, e-sourcing, e-informing, ERP and study's conceptual framework.

E-Tendering
E-tendering is a process whereby quotation is submitted by a contractor when so required by the client for renovation works or execution of part or complete project or for the materials and components to be supplied by a supplier (Doloi, 2011). E-tendering is a system whereby interested parties or companies offer to build, sell goods or render services for a consideration, in response to an invitation to do so. Generally, the whole essence of E-tendering procedure according to Eriksson and Westerberg (2011) is to select a suitable contractor at a time
appropriate to the circumstances and to obtain from him at the appropriate time, an acceptable tender or offer upon which a contract can be let.

---

E-tendering originated from pre-contract communication between architects and builders (Adewoyin, 2010). By the end of the eighteenth century, the architect’s role was consolidated into construction designer and “leader” of the project coalition, hence establishing traditional procurement. These formative years played a leading role in the evolution of E-tendering practice, affecting both the architect and the builder in terms of preparation of pre-contract documents, evaluation of tenders and the manner of estimating cost, time allowed and method of tender submission, respectively. Early in the nineteenth century, the bill of quantities (BOQ) was introduced thereby becoming the means of providing a common basis upon which contractors could compile their bids (Chou, 2011). Holt et al. (2005) gave an account of pre-construction contracts, which were typically traditionally procured and assigned via the open E-tendering system.

The report of Simon Committee (2004) recommended that tenders should only be called from a limited number of firms carefully selected as being capable of, and likely to do the work
to standard, as it has been noted that open E-tendering often lead to unscrupulous builders being awarded contracts. It was also observed that the open system of E-tendering was conducive to the purchase of inferior materials and speeding up of the work, making good craftsmanship impossible. The Simon committee initiated the move away from the open E-tendering and encouraged the prequalification of contractors. The advent of the standing list therefore commenced and in the committee's view, formed a satisfactory basis for selecting contractors to tender.

The Latham's (2004) report recommended that clients should base her choice of contractor on value for money with proper weighting of selection criteria for skill, experience and previous performance rather than accepting the lowest tender (Holt et al., 2005). Holt et al. (2005) stated that 87 per cent of clients base their selection decisions on price. They also pointed out that bid selection is nearly always based on lowest tender but this may not always be the most economical solution in the long term. The tender process should obtain for the client the most competitive price for the construction at prevalent market condition (Williamson et al., 2004).

**E-sourcing**

Empirical research shows that many large companies in the US and Europe use reverse e-sourcing and that supply managers expect continued expansion in the future (Kaufmann and Carter, 2004). In reverse e-sourcing, suppliers compete dynamically, in real-time, for a buyer's business and typically bid down the price of an item to be purchased. Using the internet, suppliers submit multiple electronic bids during a fixed time period, often 30 minutes or less. E-sourcing can reduce purchase prices, save time, streamline the bidding process, and enable suppliers from anywhere in the world to compete for a buyer's business (Smart & Harrison, 2003). Risks of e-sourcing include damaging supplier relationships, switching to suppliers who are not capable, underestimating the total costs associated with using suppliers with lower purchase prices, and negatively impacting the supply market in the long run by driving out qualified suppliers (Smeltzer & Carr, 2003). To attain the greatest benefits, purchasing processes should be evaluated and improved before adopting e-procurement tools such as e-sourcing (Presutti, 2003).

Although clearly not appropriate for every purchase, e-sourcing can be an effective tool if risks are carefully assessed and e-auctions are used judiciously (Kaufmann & Carter, 2004). A Purchasing Magazine survey of US companies shows that in 2004, 27 percent of buyers surveyed used e-auctions, up from 15 percent who reported using e-auctions in 2003 (Hannon, 2004). For large companies (sales over $100 million), the rate of use is even higher with 38
percent using e-auctions based on a 2002 survey of US companies (Chew et al., 2003). Supply managers are using e-sourcing for commodity-type items and one-time purchases (Handfield et al., 2002). E-sourcing has successfully been used to source indirect materials, production materials, and support services (Gabbard, 2003).

Empirical research on e-sourcing consists primarily of case studies (Carter et al., 2004), in-depth analysis involving surveys within a few firms (Jap, 2003), and quasi-experiments (Jap, 2003). The research is emerging in several areas. Case studies of e-sourcing participants have identified the benefits of and problems with e-auctions (Carter et al., 2004). Lower purchase prices, lower transactions costs, lower inventory levels, and inclusion of a wider pool of suppliers are the primary benefits for buying organizations from e-sourcing (Carter et al., 2004).

Other studies have described the e-sourcing process and ways to increase the success of e-sourcing (Kaufmann & Carter, 2004). Clarity of specifications, lots that are attractive to suppliers and degree of competition have consistently been identified as e-auction success factors (Kaufmann and Carter, 2004).

E-informing

E-informing is the gathering and distributing purchasing information both from and to internal and external parties using Internet technology (McFarlan, 2004). Using Internet technology to buy goods and services from a number of known or unknown suppliers. Nelson et al. (2001), purchasing accounts for the majority of organisational spending. As such, the advent of web-based electronic procurement has been heralded as a ‘revolution’ because of its potential to reduce the total cost of acquisition (Croom, 2010; Rai et al. 2006). The e-procurement revolution is expected to enhance the status and influence of the purchasing function within organizations (Osmonbekov et al, 2002).

Existing literature has emphasized the important contribution of e-procurement in reducing total purchasing costs. These benefits broadly arise through lower prices from suppliers and reduced costs in the ‘requisition to payment’ process (Kameshwaren et al. 2007; Mishra et al. 2007). Whilst it is has been widely contended that e-procurement will have considerable implications for the design of the procurement process, Lancioni et al. (2000) note that the precise nature of these changes remains unclear. Yen & Ng (2003) carried out a case study investigation of textile and apparel e-commerce implementation in Hong Kong.

Kennedy & Deeter-Schmelz (2001) conclude that ‘organizational characteristics and organizational influences’ are significant motivators to the use of e-procurement. In other words, the extent to which e-procurement is used and developed is strongly influenced by the general disposition of the organization as a whole. The relationship between user perceptions and the
level of compliance has been noted by a number of authors (de Boer et al. 2002; Croom & Johnston, 2003; Interfaces, 2006).

**Enterprise Resource Planning**

Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) is a cross-functional enterprise system driven by an integrated suite of software modules that supports the basic internal business processes of a company, (Al-Mashari et al, 2003). ERP gives a company an integrated real-time view of its core business processes, such as production, order processing and inventory management, tied together by ERP application software and a common database maintained by a database management system. ERP systems track business resources such as cash, raw materials, and production capacity and the status of commitments made by the business such as customer orders, purchase orders, and employee payroll, no matter which department (manufacturing, purchasing or sales) has entered into the system, (Dezdar, 2010).

Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system solutions are currently in high demand by both manufacturing and service organizations, because they provide a tightly integrated solution to an organization’s information system needs. ERP allows employees to manage their company with one system that integrates the entire business process and creates an enterprise-wide view of significant corporate information. Today, organizations face a new challenge of increasing competition, expanding markets and enhancement in customer expectations and thus ERP systems have been developed to provide a total business system in order to improve business performance, (Al-Mashari et al, 2003).

Even though the use of ERP systems is growing and becoming more popular, these systems are still somewhat unfamiliar in the private sector industry, (Nah et al., 2003). Many firms know how beneficial ERP systems are, but they still hesitate to adopt these systems due to their high cost and risk. Without a doubt, a successful ERP implementation is essential for the benefits from such systems, so this issue is always considered top priority in the ERP related research area. It is obvious that several important factors must be considered for successful implementation, but most firms have no idea what factors should be considered most heavily (Zhang et al., 2005).

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

**Research Design**

The design for the study is descriptive research. The researcher describes the state of affairs of the problem of investigation. The design is appropriate for the study because the manipulation of independent variables such as sex, age, professional qualifications and teaching experience
is not possible since the variables have already manifested themselves. Cooper and Schindler (2006) stated that research design is the manner in which data is collected, measured and analyzed in order to achieve certain research objectives. Chandran (2004) stated that the research design is a way to accomplish the research objectives through empirical evidence that is obtained economically. The considerations that determine the research design to be used include: research purpose, categories of data required, data sources and the cost implications.

**Target Population**

According to Ngechu (2004) a study population is a well-defined or specified set of people, group of things, households, firms, services, elements or events which are being investigated. Target population should suit a certain specification, which the research is studying and the population should be homogenous. Keya (1989) states that individuals or things or elements that fit a research specification. The population can be divided into sets, population or strata and which are mutually exclusive.

Mugenda and Mugenda, (2003), explain that the target population should have some observable characteristics, to which the research intends to generalize the results of the study. For purpose of this study the target population was stratified through top management level, middle level managers and low level management. The target population was composed of 486 members of staff in different managerial levels currently working at the Kenya Commercial Bank. This population suited the research in view of determining the relationship between e-procurement systems and the performance of banking sector in Kenya. As a result, they are well conversed with the e-procurement systems and its functions on performance of banking sector in Kenya.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>No in Position</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top Management</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Level Management</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Level Management</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>486</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: KCB HR, (2014)

**Sampling Design**

Ngechu (2004) emphasizes the importance of selecting a representative sample by use of a sampling frame. From the sampling frame, the required number of subjects, respondents, elements or firms is selected in order to make a sample. Stratified random sampling technique
will be used to select the sample. According to Deming (1990) stratified random sampling technique produce estimates of overall population parameters with greater precision and ensures a more representative sample is derived from a relatively homogeneous population. Stratification aims to reduce standard error by providing some control over variance. From each stratum the study used simple random sampling to select 97 respondents; this represents 20% of the entire population. According to Mugenda and Mugenda (1999), a representative sample is one that represents at least 10% of the population of interest. Random sampling frequently minimizes the sampling error in the population. This in turn increases the precision of any estimation methods used (Cooper and Schindler, 2003).

Table 2. Sampling Frame

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Sample reference</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top Management</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Level Management</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Level Management</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>486</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>97</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Collection

Primary data is information gathered directly from respondents. The research used questionnaires. The questionnaire was used to collect mainly quantitative data. However some qualitative data were also collected from the open ended questions. Secondary data obtained involved the collection and analysis of published material and information from other sources such as annual reports, published data. The researcher administered a questionnaire to each member of the target population. The questionnaire was designed and tested with a few members of the population for further improvements. This was done in order to enhance its validity and accuracy of data collected.

Secondary data was also collected to generate additional information for the study from the documented data or available reports. Secondary data is for evaluating historical or contemporary confidential or public records, reports, government documents and opinions (Cooper and Schindler, 2003). Mugenda and Mugenda (2003) add that, numerical records can also be considered as a sub category of documents and those records include figures, reports and budgets. This basically implied the incorporation of valuable statistical data in the study. The researcher administered the questionnaire individually to selected employees of Kenya Commercial bank. The research exercised care and control to ensure all questionnaires issued to the respondents are received. To achieve this, the research maintained a register of questionnaires sent, and check listed them against those received.
Pilot Testing

The researcher carried out a pilot study to pre-test and validate the questionnaire and the interview guide. According to Cooper and Schindler (2003), the pilot group can range from 25 to 100 subjects depending on the method to be tested but it does not need to be statistically selected. This is in line with a qualitative research design methodology employed in this research. According to Somekh, and Cathy (2005) validity is the degree by which the sample of test items represents the content the test is designed to measure. Content validity which is employed by this research is a measure of the degree to which data collected using a particular instrument represents a specific domain or content of a particular concept. Mugenda and Mugenda (1999) contend that the usual procedure in assessing the content validity of a measure is to use a professional or expert in a particular field.

To establish the validity of the research instruments the research was seeking opinions of experts in the field of study especially the lecturers. This facilitated the necessary revision and modification of the research instrument thereby enhancing validity and reliability. Reliability refers to the consistency of measurement and is frequently assessed using the test–retest reliability method (Walliman, 2001). Reliability is increased by including many similar items on a measure, by testing a diverse sample of individuals and by using uniform testing procedures.

The researcher selected a pilot group of 10 individuals from the target population to test the reliability of the research instrument. This was achieved by first stratifying the individuals according to their level of management. The research also put in consideration gender equity and geographical background of individuals. The pilot data was not included in the actual study. The pilot study only allow for pre-testing of the research instrument. The clarity of the instrument to the respondents was established so as to enhance the instrument’s validity and reliability. The pilot study enabled the researcher to familiarize with the study area and its administration procedure as well as identifying items that require modification. The result from the pilot helped the research to correct inconsistencies that arose from the instruments to that they capture what is intended.

Data Processing and Analysis

The researcher perused completed questionnaires and document analysis recording sheets. Quantitative data collected was analyzed using SPSS and presented through percentages, means, standard deviations and frequencies. The information is displayed by use of bar charts, graphs and pie charts and in prose-form. This involved tallying up responses, computing percentages of variations in response as well as describing and interpreting the data in line with the study objectives and assumptions through use of SPSS. Content analysis was also used to
test data that is qualitative nature or aspect of the data collected from the open ended questions. According to Baulcomb, (2003), content analysis uses a set of categorization for making valid and replicable inferences from data to their context. A multivariate regression model was applied to determine the relative importance of each of the three variables with respect to the effects of e-procurement on performance. This will be in an effort to establish the extent to which each independent variable affect the dependent variable as shown by the size of the beta coefficients. The regression model is as follows:

\[ Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \beta_3X_3 + \varepsilon \]

Where \( Y \) is the dependent variable (performance), \( \beta_0 \) is the regression constant, \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \) and \( \beta_4 \) are the coefficients of independent variables, \( X_1 \) is E-Tendering, \( X_2 \) is E-sourcing, and \( X_3 \) is E-informing.

In addition Correlation analysis to determine the strength of relationship between the variable, correlation analysis is the statistical tool that can be used to determine the level of association of two variables (Levin & Rubin, 1998). Correlation analysis help to detect any chance of multicollinearity among the study variable. Correlation value of 0 shows that there is no relationship between the dependent and the independent variables. On the other hand, a correlation of \( \pm 1.0 \) means there is a perfect positive or negative relationship (Hair et al., 2010). The values were interpreted between 0 (no relationship) and 1.0 (perfect relationship).

**ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS**

**Response Rate**

The study targeted 97 respondents out of which 81 respondents filled and returned their questionnaires this constituted 84%, which is acceptable as recorded by Kothari (2004) a response of above 60% is acceptable.

**Gender Participation**

Results depicted in figure 2 revealed that majority of the respondents were male comprising 70 percent while 30 percent were female.

![Figure 2: Gender Participation at the Kibuye Market in Kisumu](image)

Series1, Male, 69.6, 70%
Series1, Female, 30.4, 30%
As shown in Figure 2, the larger segment (69.6%) emphasized dominance of men participation at the bank, thus beating the female (30.4%).

Table 3: Age bracket of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 25 years</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-35 years</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-45 years</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-55 years</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 55 years</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study revealed that 28.4 percent of the respondents were between 36-45 years old, 27.2% were between 46-55 years old, 24.7% were Above 55 years old, 12.3 percent were between 25-35 years old, while the remaining 7.4% were below 25 years and above (Table 3).

Level of education reached by the respondents

Figure 3: Highest level of education reached by the respondents

This section aimed at establishing the highest level of education reached by the respondents. Findings from the study revealed that most of the respondents had attained a diploma shown by 46.8 percent, 35.4% were undergraduates, 16.5% had reached secondary school, while the remaining (1.3%) were graduates (Figure 3).
Job Tenure at Bank

Table 4: Duration of time respondents have been employed in the bank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 year</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to 5 years</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 10 years</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 years</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td><strong>81</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The study further determined the duration of time respondents have been employed in the bank. Findings from the study revealed that majority of the respondents have been employed in the bank for between 5 and 10 years as was shown by 40.5 percent, 25.3 percent for less than 1 year, 21.5 percent for more than 10 years, while 12.7 percent had been employed in the bank for between 2 and 5 years.

E-procurement

*Whether E-tendering influences the performance of the bank*

Figure 4: Whether E-tendering influences the performance of the bank
**Extent that E-sourcing influences the performance of the bank**

Forty three of the respondents indicated that E-sourcing influences the performance of the bank to a little extent, 36.7% to a moderate extent, 7.6% to no extent, 6.3% to a very great extent, and the remaining 6.3% indicated that E-sourcing influences the performance of the bank to a great extent.

**Level of agreement with statements on E-tendering and performance**

This question was framed with a Likert scale where respondents were given five choices which had values attached on them, i.e. Strongly Agree had a score of 5; Agree of 4; Agree nor Disagree had a score of 3; Disagree had a score of 2 while Strongly Disagree had a score of 1. Means for the percentages of observations for each item were calculated. Cooper and Schindler (2003) notes that the use of percentages is important for two reasons; first they simplify data by reducing all the numbers to range between 0 and 100. Second, they translate the data into standard form with a base of 100 for relative comparisons. The mean was the most convenient method to analyze Likert type of questions to find out where most of the observations fell and comment conclusively.

For instance in this section, the study aimed at establishing the extent to which respondents agreed with statements on E-tendering and performance. Results revealed that most respondents agreed that Open system of E-tendering leads to the purchase of inferior materials and speeding up of the work as shown by a mean of 3.99; that tenders should only be called from a limited number of firms carefully selected as being capable of doing the work to standard as shown by a mean of 3.96; this matches Eriksson and Westerberg literature that the
whole essence of E-tendering procedure is to select a suitable contractor at a time appropriate to the circumstances and to obtain from him at the appropriate time, an acceptable tender or offer upon which a contract can be let (Eriksson and Westerberg, 2011); that the bank has a well-established E-tendering system as shown by a mean of 3.85; that Open E-tendering often lead to unscrupulous suppliers being awarded contracts as shown by a mean of 3.82; that E-tendering enables the selection of a suitable contractor at a time appropriate to the circumstances as shown by a mean of 3.56; and that bid selection is always based on lowest tender but this may not always be the most economical solution in the long term as shown by a mean of 3.29.

Table 5: Level of agreement with statements on E-tendering and performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std dev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-tendering enables the selection of a suitable contractor at a time</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>appropriate to the circumstances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The bank has a well-established E-tendering system</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenders should only be called from a limited number of firms carefully</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>selected as being capable of doing the work to standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open E-tendering often lead to unscrupulous suppliers being awarded</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open system of E-tendering leads to the purchase of inferior materials</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and speeding up of the work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bid selection is always based on lowest tender but this may not always</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>be the most economical solution in the long term</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E-Sourcing

**Respondents’ opinion on whether E-sourcing influences the performance of the bank**

Figure 6: Respondents' opinion on whether E-sourcing influences the performance of the bank
More than half (68%) of the respondents indicated that E-sourcing influences the performance of the bank, while the rest (32%) indicated that it did not.

**Extent to which E-sourcing influences the performance of the bank**

Figure 7: Extent to which E-sourcing influences the performance of the bank

Majority of the respondents (31.6%) of the respondents felt that E-sourcing influences the performance of the bank, 26.6% to a great extent, 22.8% to a moderate extent, 10.1% to a little extent while only 8.9% of them felt that it did not affect the performance of the bank at all.

**Level of agreement with statements relating to e-sourcing and performance**

This section aimed at establishing the respondents Level of agreement with statements relating to e-sourcing and its influence on performance. Results depicted in table 6 revealed that most respondents strongly agreed that E-sourcing reduces purchase prices; that in reverse e-sourcing, suppliers compete dynamically for a buyer's business and typically bid down the price of an item to be purchased; that E-sourcing streamline the bidding process; This matches Croom & Brandon-Jones literature that cites that some of the benefits of adopting e-procurement include savings in purchasing transaction cost resulted from less paperwork, less mistakes and more efficient purchasing process (Croom & Brandon-Jones, 2007) This matches Doloi literature that E-tendering is a system whereby interested parties or companies offer to build, sell goods or render services for a consideration, in response to an invitation to do so (Doloi, 2011); that E-sourcing enables suppliers from anywhere in the world to compete for a buyer's business; and that purchasing processes should be evaluated and improved before
adoption e-procurement tools such as e-sourcing as shown by means of 4.13, 3.97, 3.74, 3.6, and 3.6 respectively.

Table 6: Level of agreement with statements relating to e-sourcing and performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In reverse e-sourcing, suppliers compete dynamically for a buyer's business and typically bid down the price of an item to be purchased</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-sourcing reduces purchase prices</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-sourcing streamline the bidding process</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-sourcing enables suppliers from anywhere in the world to compete for a buyer's business</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>purchasing processes should be evaluated and improved before adopting e-procurement tools such as e-sourcing</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E-Informing

*Whether E-informing influences the performance of the bank*

The researcher also sought the respondents' opinion on whether E-informing influences the performance of the bank. From the findings, 76% of the respondents felt that E-informing influences the performance of the bank while the remaining 24% felt that it did not.
**Extent that E-informing influences the performance of the bank**

![Bar chart showing the extent of E-informing influence on bank performance](chart.png)

Majority (33%) of the respondents indicated that E-informing influences the performance of the bank to a very great extent, 27% of them felt it affected to a great extent, 16% to a moderate extent, 14% to a little extent and only 10% to no extent.

**Level of agreement with statements relating to E-informing and performance**

Findings from the study revealed that respondents agreed that E-informing facilitates effective communication within an organization as shown by a mean of 4.1; This matches Aberdeen Group literature that, e-procurement enables companies to decentralize operational procurement processes and centralize strategic procurement processes as a result of the higher supply chain transparency provided by e-procurement systems (Aberdeen Group, 2011); that E-informing enables companies to decentralize operational procurement processes and centralize strategic procurement processes as shown by a mean of 3.9; that E-informing enhances performance of an organization as shown by a mean of 3.7; and that E-informing enhances performance of an organization as shown by a mean of 3.5
Table 7: Level of agreement with statements relating to E-informing and performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Stdev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-informing enhances performance of an organization</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.0781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-informing facilitates effective communication within an organization</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.8332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-informing enables companies to decentralize operational procurement processes and centralize strategic procurement processes</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.0838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-informing enhances performance of an organization</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.9781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-informing facilitates effective communication within an organization</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.0781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Pearson’s Coefficient of Correlation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>E-procurement</th>
<th>E-Sourcing</th>
<th>E-Informing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation (r)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-procurement</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation (r)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Sourcing</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation (r)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Informing</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation (r)</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).**

As shown in table 8 above, all the independent variables were found to have a positive correlation with Performance. The Pearson’s’ correlation coefficient between E-sourcing and Performance was highest at 0.838, indicating a strong correlation, then E-procurement with a correlation coefficient of 0.6 (Strong correlation). The correlation coefficient of E-Informing was 0.426 (weak), indicating that its contribution to performance was least but still significant compared with the other independent variables. However, correlation coefficients between independent variables were ignored as correlation does not always indicate causality.
SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS

E-procurement
Study aimed at establishing the extent to which respondents agreed with statements on E-tendering and performance. Results revealed that most respondents agreed that Open system of E-tendering leads to the purchase of inferior materials and speeding up of the work as shown by a mean of 3.99; that tenders should only be called from a limited number of firms carefully selected as being capable of doing the work to standard as shown by a mean of 3.96; that the bank has a well-established E-tendering system as shown by a mean of 3.85; that Open E-tendering often lead to unscrupulous suppliers being awarded contracts as shown by a mean of 3.82; that E-tendering enables selection of a suitable contractor appropriate to circumstances as shown by a mean of 3.56; and that bid selection is always based on lowest tender but this may not always be the most economical solution in long term as shown by a mean of 3.29.

E-Sourcing
This section aimed at establishing the respondents Level of agreement with statements relating to e-sourcing and performance. Results revealed that most respondents strongly agreed that E-sourcing reduces purchase prices; that in reverse e-sourcing, suppliers compete dynamically for a buyer's business and typically bid down the price of an item to be purchased; that E-sourcing streamline the bidding process; that E-sourcing enables suppliers from anywhere in the world to compete for a buyer's business; and that purchasing processes should be evaluated and improved before adopting e-procurement tools such as e-sourcing as shown by means of 4.13, 3.97, 3.74, 3.6, and 3.6 respectively.

E-Informing
Findings from the study revealed that respondents agreed that E-informing facilitates effective communication within an organization as shown by a mean of 4.1. Study also found out that that E-informing enables companies to decentralize operational procurement processes and centralize strategic procurement processes as shown by a mean of 3.9; that E-informing enhances performance of an organization as shown by a mean of 3.7; and that E-informing enhances performance of an organization as shown by a mean of 3.5.

CONCLUSIONS
The study established that Open system of E-tendering leads to the purchase of inferior materials and speeding up of the work. That tenders should only be called from a limited number
of firms carefully selected as being capable of doing the work to standard; that the bank has a well-established E-tendering system; that Open E-tendering often lead to unscrupulous suppliers being awarded contracts; that E-tendering enables the selection of a suitable contractor at a time appropriate to the circumstances; and that bid selection is always based on lowest tender but this may not always be the most economical solution in the long term.

The study concludes that E-sourcing reduces purchase prices; that in reverse e-sourcing, suppliers compete dynamically for a buyer's business and typically bid down the price of an item to be purchased; that E-sourcing streamline the bidding process; that E-sourcing enables suppliers from anywhere in the world to compete for a buyer's business; and that purchasing processes should be evaluated and improved before adopting e-procurement tools such as e-sourcing.

From the findings, the researcher concludes that ERP gives a company an integrated real-time view of its core business processes; that ERP systems track business resources such as cash, raw materials, and production capacity; that ERP systems provide a tightly integrated solution to an organization’s information system needs and that ERP allows employees to manage their company with one system that integrates the entire business process and creates an enterprise-wide view of significant corporate information.

Finally, the study concludes that E-informing facilitates effective communication within an organization as shown by a mean of 4.1; that E-informing enables companies to decentralize operational procurement processes and centralize strategic procurement processes as shown by a mean of 3.9; that E-informing enhances performance of an organization as shown by a mean of 3.7; and that E-informing enhances performance of an organization as shown by a mean of 3.5

RECOMMENDATIONS
The study recommends that Procurers are aware of risks and are, as a rule, risk averse. They have a lot to lose and little to gain, if things go wrong. So the initial way to face risk was through political commitment. Risk management may often exist but implicitly, without formal structure or using the name. Plus, as time goes by, more systematic ways to deal with risk emerge. Thus internal controls for such E-procurement should be put in place to avoid quality issues e.g. address the issue of better organizational set ups to encourage and facilitate the procurement of products and services not yet in the market and manage the associated risk. This may include strategic partnership with suppliers, commitment to R&D, staff motivation, encouraging global procurement and implementing project management for public procurement for innovation to mitigate risks associated with innovation as long as policy makers and legislators have not
amended the present laws governing Public Procurement. Recommendations to management of Commercial banks and the Kenya banking Sector to commit to staff training for both end-users and procurement staff by conducting in-house training and seminars in the procurement profession and keep up with the dynamism in procurement e.g. INCOTERMS 2010, language, contract interpretation etc.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY
The study used questionnaire as the only instrument for collecting data. The research had no much control on the respondent in regard to the information they filled in the questionnaires. Some of the respondents also were not willing to give full information in fear that it could leak to their competitors. Data collection was also limited to two months which may have not been sufficient. A few of the respondents approached were reluctant in giving information fearing that the information sought would be used to intimidate them or print a negative image about them or the Bank.
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