

# **AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PROFITABILITY RATIOS AND MARKET SHARE PRICES OF PUBLICLY TRADED BANKING FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN GHANA**

**Osman Issah**

University for Development Studies School of Business and Law, Ghana

**Iddrisu Mohammed Ngmenipuo** 

Wa Polytechnic Business School, Ghana

ngmenisumba@hotmail.com

## **Abstract**

*The purpose of the paper was to investigate empirically whether ROA, ROE, and ROI together explain variations in the market prices per share of publicly traded banking financial institutions in Ghana for the period 2009 – 2013. We found a significant linear relationship between the ROA, ROE, and ROI together the market prices per share, with the ROE contributing more than the ROA. These findings are consistent with both empirical and theoretical literature which posit a positive linear relationship. The findings have important implications for investors, bank senior management as well as the banks regulator to improve the quality of reporting by banks quoted on the exchange.*

*Keywords: profitability ratios, banking financial institutions, return on assets, return on equity, return on investment, shareholder wealth, Ghana stock exchange*

## **INTRODUCTION**

The overarching goal underlying the managerial policies of a bank, and of course, most other businesses is to maximize the wealth of the shareholders. This implies that bank managers are expected to make decisions that will increase shareholder value in the form of an upward-trending share price for the bank's stock or shares, and hence their overall wealth. Even though

this is the expectation, bank managers may sometimes make decisions that serve their own goals instead of those of the preferences of shareholders. Bank shareholders might prefer that bank managers take some risk in order to earn above-average or higher returns, and this may explain why bank manager compensation is typically tied to a measure of performance such as earnings (Madura, 2015).

Shareholder-return ratios measure the return that shareholders earn from holding shares in the company. Since the goal of maximizing shareholders' wealth, i.e. providing shareholders with an adequate rate of return is a primary objective of most companies (Hill, Jones; & Schilling, 2015).

It is, therefore, a major role of the board of directors of a bank to monitor bank managers to make sure that the managerial decisions they make are targeted at shareholders' wealth maximization. The performance of any commercial bank depends on how well and efficiently its management manages the bank's assets, liabilities, and capital. Increased competition has made efficient management essential for profitability and survival (Madura, 2015).

How banks perform can be assessed in various ways. A popular and useful financial metric used to measure a bank's overall financial performance is its return on assets (ROA). The ROA of a bank is partially determined by movements in market interest rates, as many banks benefit from lower interest rates. In addition, the ROA is highly dependent on economic conditions, since banks can extend more loans to creditworthy customers and may also experience a higher demand for their services (Madura, 2015).

Another useful metric used to measure a bank's overall performance is return on equity (ROE). A bank can increase its ROE by increasing its financial leverage, although its leverage is constrained by capital requirements (Madura, 2015). Investors conclude that the possibility of very high future profits justifies a higher share price. "In July 2008, Lehman reported a \$2.8 billion loss for the second quarter of 2008 (the months April–June), precipitating a 54% fall in its stock price." (Krugman and Wells, 2015, p. 951).

The Bank of Ghana (BOG) uses the ROA and ROE as key profitability performance indicators of banks in Ghana. According to the BOG May 2015 Financial Stability Report, for instance, the banking industry's return on assets (ROA) increased marginally to 6.3 percent in March 2015 from 6.2 percent in March 2014, whilst the return on equity (ROE) decreased to 29.3 percent from 30.7 percent for the same period (BOG, 2015).

Because a high ratio is considered as an indicator of a greater return, some managements will sacrifice the long-term interests of investors in order to achieve an impressive ROA in the short-term. It is believed that an increasing return on assets usually translates into increases in stock price, which tells investors that management is doing a good job at

generating profits from the resources that are entrusted to by business owners. Therefore, ROA is considered as an effective way of measuring the efficiency of manufacturers, but can be suspect when measuring service companies, or companies whose main assets are people (Bloomsbury, 2009).

Empirical research in accounting exists which indicate the many dimensions of the role accounting numbers plays in the capital market by documenting that share prices react strongly to the magnitude of the change in earnings and the persistence of the change in earnings for future periods and that financial statement ratios are useful for predicting changes in future earnings (Wahlen, Baginski, & Bradshaw, 2011).

This paper will contribute to a better understanding of the role ROA, ROE, and ROI play in determining changes in the market price per share of banks by seeking an answer to the question: 'to what extent do the ROA, ROE, and ROI together explain variations in the market prices of shares of banking financial institutions listed on the Ghana Stock Exchange (GSE) for the period 2009 – 2013.' To answer this question, data will be extracted from the published annual financial statements of the sampled financial institutions from 2009-2013.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: section 2 deals with literature review, section 3 the theoretical framework and hypotheses development, section 4 is on data analysis and model specification, section 5 discusses the empirical results, and finally section 6 deals with the conclusions and recommendations.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Investors, financial economists, and analysts usually are interested in comprehending how the stock market values a firm's equity shares. In a sense, is it expected that the value of a firm's shares should reflect investors' expectations of the firm's future profitability. However, data on expected future profitability does not normally exist. Rather, empirical financial studies must use measures such as current income, sales, assets and debt of the firm, or equivalent financial ratios like ROA, ROE, and ROI as explanatory variables (Koop, 2009).

A number of empirical studies have been done in the area. Provided below is a summary of the studies that have been carried out: Abu Shanab (2008) who examined the impact of returns and risks on the share prices for a sample of 38 industrial public companies in Jordan listed on Amman Security Exchange for the period of 2000 – 2007, found no effect for the returns, risks and dividends on the market value per share. AL Kurdi (2005) also studied the ability of the published accounting information to predict share prices and documented a relationship between the published accounting information of the insurance public companies and their share price. In a study by AL Qudah (2004) which tested the role of accounting

exposure in indicating the real market price of public companies on Amman's Security Exchange; the results showed that the financial data of the public firms are sufficient and appropriate in showing the real share values. A related study by Abu Hasheesh (2003) examined the role of published accounting information in predicting share prices and found that there is a significant positive relationship between the market price per share with the ratios of net profits to equity, net profits to total assets, and dividends to net profits as a total. Kabajeh, AL Nu'aimat, and Dahmash (2012) examined the relationship between ROA, ROE and ROI ratios together and separately with Jordanian insurance public companies share prices. They used four regression models to test the hypotheses of the study; and found that the pooled analysis of the three ratios of ROA, ROE and ROI together indicated a strong and positive relationship with share prices, and a strong explanatory power. They also found that the separated pooled analysis showed a positive but low relationship between each of ROA and ROI ratios with market share prices. However, they found no relationship between the separated pooled analysis and the ROE ratio with market share prices. Dehuan and Zhenhu (2008) explored correlation between firm performance as measured by return on equity, earning per share, profit margin, return on asset, changes in sales, and total asset turnover) and stock price of the top performing stocks listed on Shanghai Stock Exchange, and found that all the variables are significantly correlated with stock price in the year before crisis.

Tondee and Boonmunewai (n.d.), examined the factors affecting the stock price of listed companies in agricultural industry and the food industry group in the stock Exchange of Thailand. Their findings showed that, internal factors in the aspect of return on assets (ROA), return on equity (ROE), and price to book ratio (P/BV) have more positive effect on stock price of listed companies on agricultural industry and the food industry group.

## **THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT**

### **Profitability Analysis**

Profitability analysis looks at the ability of a company to earn profits. Ability of an entity to earn profit is reflected in the entity's operating results reported in its income statement. The ability to earn profits also depends on the assets the entity can deploy in its operations, as reported in its statement of financial position (balance sheet). Thus, relationships between income statement and balance sheet are often used in evaluating profitability (Warren, Reeve & Duchac, 2014).

### **Profitability Ratios**

Business growth is based on the ability to make sustainable profits now and in the future. Profitability ratios measure how efficiently a company uses its resources. The more efficient the

company, the greater is its profitability. It is worthwhile to compare a company's profitability against that of its major competitors in its industry to determine whether the company is operating more or less efficiently than its rivals. Besides, the change in a company's profit ratios over time indicates whether its performance is improving or declining.

A number of different profit ratios can be used, and each of them measures a different aspect of a company's performance (Hill, Jones; & Schilling, 2015). In this paper, we discuss 3 of the most commonly used profit ratios (the return on assets (ROA), the return on equity (ROE), and the return on invested capital (ROI).

A higher profitability ratio indicates that the future share price will also be high, because the business is positioned to make more money by spending less than its competitors (See <http://wiki.fool.com/Ratios>)

Profitability ratios and investor ratios are the most popular ratios usually included in the annual report. This makes sense because one of the annual report's major objectives is to inform stockholders about the performance and prospects of the entity. Included in this category of ratios are the ROA and ROE (Gibson, 2012).

Effective strategies to grow the business can increase a firm's profitability and thus its stock price. Indeed, investors and Wall Street analysts expect continuous growth. A firm's stock price generally increases only if the firm's rate of growth exceeds investors' expectations. This is because investors discount into the present value of the firm's stock price whatever growth rate they foresee in the future.

Investors acquire shares of common stock in a company because of the return they expect from such investments. This return includes any dividends received plus the change in the market price of the shares of stock while the investor holds them. A rational investor will not be indifferent between two investments that are expected to yield, for example, a 20 percent return if there are differences in the uncertainty, or risk, of earning that 20 percent return. The investor will demand a higher expected return from higher-risk investments to compensate for the additional risk assumed.

It is also important that owners of a bank must know whether their bank is being managed well or not. To do this, they need good measures of bank profitability. A basic measure of bank profitability that takes into account the size of the bank is the return on assets (ROA), which divides the net income of the bank by the amount of its assets. ROA does a good job as a measure of how well a bank manager is doing because it indicates how well a bank's assets are being used to generate profits (Bloomsbury, 2009)

$$ROA = \frac{\text{Net profit after tax}}{\text{Assets}}$$

The return on assets provides information on how efficiently a bank is being run, because it indicates how much profits are generated on average by each currency amount of assets. Acceptable ROAs vary by sector. Banks balance sheets contain massive amounts of assets, and because of that their ROAs always look small (Tycho Press, 2013). In banking, for example, a ROA of 1% or better is considered to be the standard benchmark of superior performance (Choudhry, 2012, Bloomsbury, 2009). “ROA tells us what earnings were generated from invested capital (assets). It is one of the standards of gauging a bank’s profitability. An excellent ROA is in the range of 1.2 to 1.4 per cent.” (Goel, 2014, p. 159).

However, what the bank’s owners (equity holders) care about most is how much the bank is earning on their equity investment. This information is provided by another measure of overall bank performance, the return on equity (ROE), calculated as:

$$\text{ROE} = \frac{\text{net profit after taxes}}{\text{equity capital}}$$

Return on equity for most companies certainly should be in the double digits; since investors often look for 15% or higher, with a return of 20% or more is considered excellent (Bloomsbury, 2009). An ROE above 10% for a bank is considered strong (Choudhry, 2012). Gup (2011), reports that the average return on equity in modern banks is 11 percent to 33 percent, according to the Bank for International Settlements, (BIS) reports over some 20 years. There is a direct relationship between the return on assets, which measures how efficiently the bank is run, and the return on equity which measures how well the owners are doing on their investment. “ROE is an indicator of the shareholders’ wealth maximization. Any decrease indicates a decline of the shareholders’ wealth in the company.” (Goel, 2014, p. 40). “The return on equity (ROE) measure is probably the most commonly encountered, and is usually integrated into bank strategy, with a target ROE level stated explicitly in management objectives.” (Choudhry, 2012, p. 18). This relationship is determined by the equity multiplier (EM), the amount of assets per currency amount of equity capital:

$$\text{EM} = \frac{\text{assets}}{\text{equity capital}}$$

To see this, we note that

$$\frac{\text{net profit after taxes}}{\text{equity capital}} = \frac{\text{net profit after taxes}}{\text{assets}} \times \frac{\text{assets}}{\text{equity capital}}$$

which, according to our definitions, yields

$$\text{ROE} = \text{ROA} \times \text{EM}.$$

The formula in the Equation above tells us what happens to the return on equity when a bank holds a smaller amount of capital (equity) for a given amount of assets. ROE is far the most popular yardstick of financial performance among investors and senior managers. It has been said that, it is not an exaggeration to say that the careers of many senior executives rise and fall with their firms' ROEs. ROE is accorded such importance because it is a measure of the efficiency with which a company employs owners' capital (Higgins, 2012). Professional stock analysts rely more return on equity than either ROA or ROI because it represents the most direct assessment of profitability from a shareholder's perspective (Tycho Press,2013)

Although ROA provides useful information about bank profitability, we have already seen that it is not what the bank's owners (equity holders) care about most. They are more concerned about how much the bank is earning on their equity investment, an amount that is measured by the return on equity (ROE), the net income per currency amount of equity capital (Mishkin, & Eakins, 2012). A firm must earn both a positive ROE and ROA to grow.

As a performance measure, ROA has the benefit that it is less sensitive to leverage than ROE. However, it is sensitive to working capital—for example, an equal increase in the firm's receivables and payables will increase total assets and thus lower ROA. To avoid this problem, we can consider the firm's return on invested capital (ROI), calculated as:

$$\text{Return on invested capital} = \frac{\text{EBIT}(1 - \text{tax})}{\text{Book value of equity} + \text{net debt}}$$

Like return on assets or return on equity, return on investment measures a company's profitability and its management's ability to generate profits from the funds investors have placed at its disposal (Bloomsbury, 2009). The return on invested capital measures the after-tax profit generated by the business itself, excluding any interest expenses (or interest income), and compares it to the capital raised from equity and debt holders that has already been deployed (i.e., is not held as cash). Of the three measures of operating returns, ROI is the most useful in assessing the performance of the underlying business (Berk, DeMarzo, & Harford, 2015, Gibson, 2012).

There is some empirical evidence to suggest that ROA and ROE are highly correlated, with most differences in interfirm ROEs driven by the same factors that are responsible for differences in ROAs: age of firm/products, degree of competition, production process and input mix, cyclicity of demand (Sutton, 2004).

ROA and its components differ across industries depending on their economic characteristics and across firms within an industry depending on the design and implementation of their strategies (Tycho Press,2013). To increase shareholder value, managers must pursue

strategies that increase the profitability of the company and ensure that profits grow (Hill, Jones; & Schilling, 2015).

While shareholders tend to show more interest in ROI and ROE than ROA, many companies rely on ROA as a means to track the changing efficiency of their asset use over time (Tycho Press, 2013). It is worthy to note that none of the profitability ratios presented mean much by themselves. Considered together, however, they provide a detailed and comprehensive picture of not just the focal company, but its position within its industry and trends in ROA, ROI, and ROE serve as a proxy for the quality of company management (Tycho Press, 2013).

At present, no regulatory agency such as the SEC or the FASB/IASB accepts responsibility for determining either the content of financial ratios or the format of presentation for annual reports, except for the ratio earnings per share. Many practical and theoretical issues relate to the computation of financial ratios. As long as each firm can exercise its opinion as to the practical and theoretical issues, there will be a great divergence of opinion on how a particular ratio should be computed (Gibson, 2012). However, large firms disclose aspects of their financial performance, including return on assets (Bloomsbury, 2009).

### **How do earnings affect share prices?**

A strong driver of share price is a company's earnings. As earnings rise and are retained by the company, the value of the shares to the shareholder rises and so does the price of the shares as investors, keen to gain access to the higher earnings, become increasingly willing to pay the higher prices.

Wall Street often distinguishes between "good firms" and "good investments." A good firm may be highly profitable, with a correspondingly high ROE. But if its stock price is bid up to a level commensurate with this ROE, its P/B ratio will also be high, and the stock price may be a relatively large multiple of earnings, thus reducing its attractiveness as an investment. The high ROE of the firm does not by itself imply that the stock is a good investment. Conversely, troubled firms with low ROEs can be good investments if their prices are low enough (Bodie, Kane & Marcus, 2014).

One strategy that works reasonably well when selection companies to invest in, is to invest in companies that consistently earn higher rates of return on assets and on equity than competing firms in the same industry (Lynn, 2012).

According to McGregor (1989) another main role of the stock market is to act as a barometer of the financial health for the companies that are listed. Thus creditors tend to favorably consider companies whose shares are performing very well for financing purposes.



This preferential treatment is due to the relationship, which exists between a company's earnings and its share price. Over the long term, strong earnings are a good indication that the company will be able to meet its debt requirements. As a result, the company will receive cheap finance because of the lower risk associated with it (as cited in Sunde & Sanderson, 2009).

According to the empirical literature and theoretical framework, the following hypotheses can be formulated:

H0: There is no significant linear relationship between the return on assets (ROA), return on equity (ROE), and the return on investment (ROI) together and market price per share of Banking financial institutions listed on the Ghana Stock Exchange.

H1: There is a significant statistical relationship between the return on assets (ROA) return on equity (ROE), and the return on investment (ROI) together and market price per share of Banking financial institutions listed on Ghana Stock Exchange.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### Data

Our data was extracted from the annual published financial statements of banking financial institutions listed on the Ghana Stock Exchange (GSE) for which consecutive data is available for the period 2009-2013. All banking financial institutions listed on the GSE for the period 2009-2013 were sampled. Because variables were calculated over this period, the study only maintained firms that provided data over the five-year period. This excluded newly listed firms and firms that did not exist between 2009 and 2013. Firms with missing values were also excluded from the sample, as well as non-financial firms with capital structures likely to be significantly different from those included in the sample, and which might constitute serious outliers. This period was considered important for two reasons: (1) from the table below it is clear that the Global Financial Crises which ended in 2009 impacted the financial performance of banks in Ghana, just as it did in many other economies globally. Performance declined during the period 2006 – 2009 and started to pick up again in 2010.

Table 1. Profitability indicators (%)

Ratio	December							
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Average Profitability ratio	19.0	16.2	13.3	9.8	14.6	17.8	21.5	23.8
Average ROA	4.8	3.7	3.2	2.8	3.8	3.9	4.8	6.2
Average ROE	27.4	25.8	23.7	17.5	20.4	19.7	25.8	31.1

Source: Bank of Ghana Stability Report-February 2014

(2) the 2009 and beyond also coincided with a rigorous adoption of IAS 1 (revised) whose objective is to give greater importance to comprehensive income in order to provide the public and analysts with more reliable and relevant information on current as well as the future financial performance of entities.

The sources of data were the annual financial statements of the banks included in the sample. The income statements and balance sheets data were available at the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the Ghana Stock Exchange (GSE) websites. The data extracted from the income statements and balance sheet was organised into a panel data set. The panel nature of the data allowed the study to use a panel regression model for testing the model. A panel data consists of a time-series for each cross-sectional member in the data set. Hsiao (2003) and Baltagi (1995) look at the advantages of a panel data approach. The data was organised in excel spreadsheet and processed, and we used the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM Statistics version 20) to generate the relevant inferential statistics for analysis and interpretation in the next section.

### **Model specification**

The dependent variable is the market price per share, and the independent variables are return on assets, return on equity, and return on investments. The general empirical model has the form:

$$y_{i,t} = \alpha + \beta X_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t};$$

with the subscript  $i$  denoting the cross-sectional dimension and  $t$  representing the time series dimension.

The left-hand variable  $y_{i,t}$  represents the dependent variable in the model, market price per share for the  $i$ th firm at time  $t$ ,  $\alpha$  represent the firm-specific intercepts,  $\beta$  is a  $3 \times 1$  vector of parameters,  $X_{i,t}$  contains the set of explanatory variables for the  $i$ th company in the  $t$ th period. The fixed-effects model, by allowing different company intercepts, serves as a solution for models, which may not be fully specified, and  $\varepsilon_{i,t}$  is a disturbance term defined as  $\varepsilon_{i,t} = \mu_i + \nu_{i,t}$ , where  $\mu_i$  denotes the unobservable individual effect, and  $\nu_{i,t}$  indicates the remainder of the disturbance. An obvious way to deal with the fixed-effects of those omitted variables that are specific to each firm, but remain constant over time is to introduce dummy variables into the regression model. Because of this, the fixed-effects model is also referred to as the least squares dummy variable (LSDV) model. It provides a common set of partial regression coefficients while allowing a different intercept for each of the cross-sectional units. The set of explanatory variables  $X_{i,t}$  is represented by return on assets, return on equity, and return on investment.

The model is therefore specified as follows:

$$P_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ROA_{it} + \beta_2 ROE_{it} + \beta_3 ROI_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Where:

Pit = closing market price of share for firm i in time t;

ROAit = return on assets for firm i in time t;

ROEit = return on equity for firm i in time t;

ROIit = return on investment for firm i in time t;

$\varepsilon$  = an error term, normally distributed about a mean of 0 (for purposes of computation, the  $\varepsilon$  is assumed to be 0.)

Table 2. Definition and Description of Variables in the Model

	Definition	Variable	Description
1.	Market price of share	Pit	
2.	Return on Assets	ROAit	$\frac{\text{EBIT}}{\text{Total assets}}$
3.	Return on Equity	ROEit	$\frac{\text{Profit after tax (PAT)}}{\text{Shareholders' equity}}$
4.	Return on Investment	ROIit	$\frac{\text{EBIT}}{\text{Investment}}$

### Analytical Tests

We test the validity of the model and explanatory power of the explanatory variables using the  $R^2$ . If  $R^2 = 0$  then  $X$  does not have any explanatory power for  $Y$ . The test of the hypothesis  $R^2 = 0$  can therefore be interpreted as a test of whether the regression explains anything at all. The test of  $R^2 = 0$  will be used as a test of whether all of the explanatory variables jointly have any explanatory power for the dependent variable.

The test is performed according to the following strategy:

1. If p-value is less than 5% (i.e. 0.05), we conclude  $R^2 \neq 0$ .
2. If p-value is greater than 5% (i.e. 0.05), we conclude  $R^2 = 0$ .

## EMPIRICAL RESULTS

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
MKT SHARE PRICE	45	.1000	45.5000	4.251333	10.3319572
ROA	45	.0098	.0931	.040369	.0209388
ROE	45	.0523	.4998	.226976	.1141659
ROI	45	.0818	.6960	.317698	.1629194
Valid N (listwise)	45				

The minimum share price was 0.10 and the maximum share price was 45.50, with the mean share price being 4.25 cedis meaning that most of the banks are doing well.

The minimum ROA is .0098 and the maximum is .0931 with a mean figure of .0404. ROAs in the range of 1.2 to 1.4 per cent are considered excellent (Goel, 2014).

The minimum ROE is .0523 and the maximum is 0.4998 with a mean figure of 0.2270. According to the empirical literature, an ROE above 10% is considered strong (Choudhry, 2012).

Table 4. OLS regression results

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics
	B	Std. Error	Beta			VIF
(Constant)	-5.133	3.244		-1.582	.121	
ROA	36.362	145.738	.074	.250	.804	4.500
ROE	11.487	59.197	.127	.194	.847	22.070
ROI	16.711	36.652	.264	.456	.651	17.230

In our evaluation of a multiple regression equation, an approach to reducing the effects of multicollinearity is to carefully select the independent variables that are included in the regression equation. A general rule, if the correlation between two independent variables is between -0.70 and 0.70, there likely is not a problem using both of the independent variables. A more precise test is to use the variance inflation factor. It is usually written VIF.

From table 4 above it is apparent that there is a multicollinearity problem as indicated by VIFs of 22.070 and 17.230 for ROE and ROI respectively. A VIF greater than 10 is considered unsatisfactory, indicating that the independent variable should be removed from the analysis. We retained ROE as the variable that best captures what we want to measure and delete the ROI (Cooper & Schindler, 2014) and rerun the regression and the results are shown in table 6 below.

Also, because we gathered these data over consecutive years we anticipated that there might be problems with autocorrelation. To check this, we examined the Durbin-Watson statistic from the output. The value of the Durbin-Watson statistic can range from 0 to 4. The value of  $d$  is 2.00 when there is no autocorrelation among the residuals. When the value of  $d$  gets close to 0, this indicates positive autocorrelation. Values beyond 2 indicate negative autocorrelation (Cooper & Schindler, 2014). The  $d = 2.01$  for our data is considered to not present any significant problem of collinearity and will not affect the validity of our results.

Table 5. ANOVA

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	P-value
Regression	944.703	2	472.352	5.287	.009b
Residual	3752.268	42	89.340		
Total	4696.971	44			

Table 6. OLS regression results

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Collinearity Statistics	
	B	Std. Error	Beta			Tolerance	VIF
(Constant)	-5.054	3.208		-1.575	.123		
ROA	30.371	143.769	.062	0.211	.834	.224	4.463
ROE	35.594	26.368	.393	1.350	.184	.224	4.463

Table 6 presents results from the OLS regression using the banking industry dataset for the publicly traded banks in Ghana. Since we are interested in investigating how ROA and ROE of firms influence their share price, we select closing market price per share as our dependent variable and ROA and ROE as the explanatory variables.

The column headed “standardized coefficients” or “Beta” gives the regression coefficients expressed in standardized form. When these are used, the regression Y intercept is zero. Standardized coefficients are useful when the variables are measured on different scales. The beta coefficients also show the relative contribution of the three independent variables to the explanatory power of this equation (Cooper & Schindler, 2014). This table reveals that the estimated standardized coefficient on ROA is .062 whilst that on ROE is 0.393 suggesting that both contribute to variations in share price. Using these for explaining the equation, we see that even though the two coefficients shows a positive linear relationship, the ROE contributes significantly more than the ROA. In fact, the ROE has about six times the explanatory power of the ROA.

## Test of the Coefficients

In Table 6, the coefficient of determination of 0.201, means that the ROA and ROE together explain about 20.1% of the variation in the share prices.

Testing the null hypothesis can be based on a  $p$ -value. In the case of the  $F$ -statistic, the  $p$ -value is defined as the probability of observing an  $F$ -value as large as or larger than the  $F$  test statistic, assuming the null hypothesis is true. If the  $p$ -value is less than our selected significance level, then we decide to reject the null hypothesis.

From table 5, the ANOVA shows the  $F$ -statistic of 5.29 with  $p$ -value equal to .009. It is clearly less than our significance level of .05. This provides provides overwhelming evidence that our model is well fit and valid. Therefore, we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that at least one of the regression coefficients is not equal to zero, or the  $R^2$  is zero at the 5% level of significance.

The hypothesis test of whether  $R^2 = 0$  yields a  $p$ -value of much less than 5%, indicating that ROA and ROE have statistically significant explanatory power for the dependent variable (market price per share).

Table 7 presents a summary of the expected signs of the coefficients of the explanatory variables according to our theoretical model.

Table 7. Expected and obtained Signs

Explanatory variables	Expected sign	Sign Obtained from our model
ROA	+	+
ROE	+	+
ROI	+	+

## CONCLUSIONS

We find that there is a positive linear relationship between ROA, ROE, ROI and the market price of shares of banking financial institutions quoted on the Ghana Stock Exchange (GSE). This finding is consistent with prior findings in the empirical literature reviewed. The positive signs obtained for the coefficients of the independent variables is also in line with the theoretical framework.

The implication is that when bank assets are efficiently deployed and utilized by bank management profitability will increase and this can consequently lead to increases in market prices of their shares, and hence shareholder wealth will be maximized.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

At present, neither the SEC nor the Bank of Ghana requires the disclosure of these ratios. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as well as the BOG should make it a specific requirement for banking financial institutions to disclose the ROA and ROE since they are key performance indicators (KPIs) for banks.

Boards of director could also require management to set targets for these ratios as benchmarks for comparison and for assessing their performance over across time. Boards should also ensure that the company issues accurate financial reports and put adequate financial controls. This actually requires faithful compliance with IFRS.

To managers, they must always bear in mind that for a financial institution to survive, it must balance the demands of three constituencies: shareholders, creditors (including depositors for deposit taking financial institutions), and regulators. If financial institution managers do not generate adequate profits, shareholders may become dissatisfied with management and sell their stock, driving the stock price lower (Kidwell, Blackwell, Whidbee, & Sias, 2012).

## LIMITATIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH

There are only nine banks listed on the Ghana stock exchange. This number does not give a large number of observations. This could pose a problem of external validity. Future research could include all banking financial institutions in Ghana, whether publicly traded or not. The increase in sample size will improve the validity of the results.

## REFERENCES

- Abu Hashish, K. (2003). The Role of Published Accounting Information in Predicting of Stock Prices", An Applied Study on Listed Corporations on Amman Stock Exchange, Al Basa'er Magazine/ Petra University, Amman, issue 2
- Abu Shanab, S.A, (2008). The Impact of Returns and Risks on share prices: An Applied Study on Industrial Corporations listed at Amman Stock Exchange, unpublished Doctorate Thesis, Arab Amman University for Higher Studies, Amman, Jordan
- AL Kurdi, A. (2005). The Ability Range of Published Accounting Information on Stock Prices Prediction: An Applied Study on Public Shareholding Corporations on Amman Security Exchange, unpublished Doctorate Thesis, Arab Academy for Financial and Banking Sciences, Amman, Jordan
- AL Qudah, Y. M. (2005). The role of Accounting Revelation in showing the Real Market Value of Exchanged Corporations' Stocks in Amman Security Exchange", unpublished thesis, Arab Amman University for Higher Studies, Amman, Jordan
- Baltagi, B.H. (1995), *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data*. Chichester: Wiley York.
- Berk, J., DeMarzo, P. and Harford, J. (2015). *Fundamentals of corporate finance* (3rd ed.). Pearson Education
- Bloomsbury (2009). *Qfinance: The ultimate resource*. Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Bodie, Z, Kane A, and Marcus A. J (2014). *Investments* (10th ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill

- BOG (2014). Bank of Ghana Monetary Policy Report, Financial Stability, Vol. 5, No.2/2014, February 2014. Retrieved, 18 October 2015, from [http://www. https://bog.gov.gh/index](http://www.https://bog.gov.gh/index).
- BOG (2015). Bank of Ghana Monetary Policy Report, Financial Stability, Vol. 5, No.2/2015, March 2015. Retrieved, 18 October 2015, from [http://www. https://bog.gov.gh/index](http://www.https://bog.gov.gh/index).
- Charles W. L. Hill; Gareth R. Jones; and Melissa A. Schilling (2015). Strategic management theory (11th ed.). Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Choudhry, M (2012). The principles of banking. Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Cooper, D. R. & Schindler, P. S. (2014). Business research methods (12th ed.). Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Dehuan, J., & Zhenhu, J. (2008). Firm Performance and Stock Returns: An Empirical Study of the Top Performing Stock Listed on Shanghai Stock Exchange. Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal. Available online at: [www.Findarticles.com](http://www.Findarticles.com)
- Gibson, C. H. (2012). Financial reporting and analysis (13th ed.). Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Goel, S. (2014). Financial statements analysis: Cases from corporate India. Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Gup, B. E. (2011). Banking and financial institutions: A guide for directors, investors, and counterparties. Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Hsiao, C. (2003), Analysis of Panel Data. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Higgins, R. C. (2012). Analysis for financial management (10th ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill
- Kabajeh, AL Nu'aimat, and Dahmash (2012). The Relationship between the ROA, ROE and ROI Ratios with Jordanian Insurance Public Companies Market Share Prices. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Vol. 2 No. 11; June 2012
- Kidwell, D. S., Blackwell, D. W., Whidbee, D. A., and Sias, R. W. (2012). Financial institutions, markets, and money. Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Koop, G. (2009). Analysis of economic data (3rd ed.). Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Krugman P. and Wells R. (2015). Economics (4th ed.). Madison, New York, NY: Worth Publishers
- Lynn S. (2012). The Shareholder Value Myth. Retrieved from <http://www.ebook3000.com/>
- Madura, J (2015). Financial Markets and Institutions (11th ed.) Stanford: Cengage Learning
- McGregor, R., (1989). The Mechanics of the Johannesburg Stock Exchange. Juta and Co, Ltd., Cape Town, ISBN: 0-7021-2248-3.
- Mishkin, F. S. and Eakins, S. G. (2012). Financial markets and institutions (7th ed.). Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Sutton (2004). Corporate Financial Accounting and Reporting. Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Tycho Press (2013). Stock marketing investing for beginners: Essentials to start investing successfully [Adobe Digital Editions version]. Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>
- Wahlen, J.M. & Baginski, S.P. & Bradshaw, M. (2011). Financial reporting, financial Statement analysis, and valuation: A strategic perspective (7th ed.). Mason, OH: Thompson South Western Publishing.
- Warren, C. S., Reeve, J. M., and Duchac, J. E. (2014). Financial and Managerial Accounting (13th ed.). Retrieved from <http://www.freebookspot.es/>